

Appendix C.

Statistical Methodology

MAIL LIST MODEL

Classification analysis was performed to predict the probability that an addressee on the 1992 mail list operated a farm, and thereby separated the preliminary mail list into probable farm and probable nonfarm classes. The analysis was used to reduce the preliminary census mail list of 3.78 million records to a final mail list size of 3.55 million records. All 3.55 million addresses on the final mail list received a census of agriculture report form.

Records from the 1987 final census mail list were used to build a 1992 prediction model for the 1992 analysis. Classification and Regression Trees (CART) software analyzed characteristics of known 1987 farm and nonfarm operations to determine which were most useful in predicting farm and nonfarm classes. Record characteristics such as the source of the mail list record, number of source lists on which the record appeared, expected value of agricultural sales, and geographic location were used to separate mail list records into model groups. (Sources included the previous agriculture census mail list, the Internal Revenue Service administrative records, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and special commodity lists.) The proportion of 1987 census farm records in each model group was calculated to provide an estimate of the probability that an addressee in the group operated a farm.

After the model groups were defined, each address record on the 1992 preliminary mail list was assigned to a model group by matching record characteristics to model group characteristics. Records belonging to the groups with the highest farm probability were those more likely to be farms according to the classification tree methodology. The model, followed by analyst reviews, was used to remove 229,700 records from the preliminary mail list (those in model groups with the lowest farm probability), and thereby designated the 3.55 million records with the highest farm probability to receive the census report form. This procedure was used to obtain a more complete census enumeration of farm operations without excessive respondent burden and data collection cost.

CENSUS SAMPLE DESIGN

Each of the 3.55 million name and address records on the census mail list was designated to receive one of three different types of census report forms. The three forms were the nonsample form, the screener form, and the

sample form. Sections 1 through 20 and 27 through 32 of the sample form are identical to sections on the nonsample form. The sample form, sections 21 through 26, contains additional questions on usage of fertilizers and chemicals, farm production expenditures, value of machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income. The screener form is identical to the nonsample form with questions added in section 1 to allow quick identification of nonfarm addresses. These three different forms were used to reduce the response burden of the census, while providing reliable information on a large number of data items.

The sample form was mailed to all mail list records in Alaska, Hawaii, and Rhode Island, and to a sample of records in other States selected from the final mail list. Addresses were selected into the sample with certainty (1) if they were expected to have large total value of agricultural products sold or large acreage, (2) if they were multiunit operations (i.e., separate farms in more than one location), (3) if they had other special characteristics, or (4) if they were in a county with less than 100 farms in 1987. Other addresses in counties containing 100 to 199 farms in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 2, and other addresses in counties containing 200 farms or more in 1987 were systematically sampled at a rate of 1 in 6. This differential sampling scheme was used to provide reliable data for the sample sections of the report form for all counties. When a nonsample large farm was identified during processing, a supplemental form that contained the additional sample data inquiries was mailed.

To determine which mail list records would receive the screener form, all mail list records not designated for the sample were sorted by model group farm probability as specified by the mail list model. The 412,000 mail list records in the model groups with the lowest probability of being farms and with an expected total value of agricultural product sales less than \$25,000 were designated to receive the screener report form. The remaining mail list records received the nonsample report form.

CENSUS ESTIMATION

The 1992 Census of Agriculture used two types of statistical estimation procedures. These estimation procedures accounted for nonresponse to the data collection and for the sample data collection. These procedures are necessary because some farm operators never respond to

the census despite numerous attempts to contact them, and the estimates for the sample data are based on a sample of farm operators rather than a full enumeration.

Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation

A statistical estimation procedure was used to account for nonrespondent farm operators to the census. We excluded large and unique farm operations that received intensive telephone followup during census processing, assuming complete response from them. A stratified systematic sample of remaining census nonrespondents were contacted by enumerators using a computer-assisted telephone interview system. Five sample strata were defined based on expected value of sales, previous census status, and whether the record was identified by the mail list model to receive the screener report form. The nonresponse survey telephone interview was designed to provide sufficient information to determine the farm status of each record.

In situations where the nonresponse survey case could not be contacted, the contact person refused to cooperate, or when no phone number could be obtained, a screener report form was sent by certified mail.

Estimates of the proportion of census nonrespondents that operated farms were made for each stratum in the State using survey results and applied to the total number of census nonrespondents in that stratum. The number of census nonrespondents that operated farms for each county by stratum was then derived. This estimation procedure is based on the assumption that the distribution of farms in a stratum by county is the same for census nonrespondents as for census respondents.

Certain census respondent farms which exhibited "rare" commodities were designated as "ineligible" to represent census nonrespondent farms and were excluded from the nonresponse weighting operation. The procedure explained below was performed with only the eligible respondent cases: Within each stratum in a county, a noninteger nonresponse weight was calculated and assigned to each eligible respondent farm record. The noninteger nonresponse weight is the ratio of the sum of the estimated number of nonrespondent farms from the nonresponse survey and the number of eligible census respondent farms to the number of eligible census respondent farms. Stratum controls were established to ensure that this weight was never greater than 2.0. The noninteger nonresponse weight was used in the calculation of the final weight for the sample items. The noninteger nonresponse weight was randomly rounded to an integer weight of either 1 or 2 for each record for tabulating the complete count items for publication.

Table A quantifies the effect of the nonresponse estimation procedure on selected census data items. The percentages in these tables are the percents of the census values contributed by nonresponse estimation. These indicate the potential for bias in published figures resulting from nonresponse to the census. The estimates provided

in these tables do not reflect the effect of item nonresponse to individual census data items. The effect of item nonresponse is discussed in the Census Nonsampling Error section.

Table A. Percent of State Totals Contributed by Whole Farm Nonresponse Estimation: 1992

Item	Percent of total
Farms	17.8
Land in farms.....acres	10.1
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹\$1,000	4.8
Market value of agricultural products sold ..\$1,000	2.7
Harvested croplandacres	6.7
Corn for grain or seedacres	7.4
Wheat for grainacres	4.7
Livestock and poultry inventory:	
Cattle and calvesnumber	11.8
Hogs and pigsnumber	5.0
Hens and pullets of laying agenumber	1.5

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

Sample Estimation

Sample data estimates the population totals that would have resulted from a complete census for the items in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. The estimates were obtained from a ratio estimation procedure that resulted in the assignment of a weight to each respondent record containing sample items. For any given county, a sample item total was estimated by multiplying the data items for each farm in the county by the corresponding sample weight and summing over all sample records in the county.

Each respondent sample farm was assigned a sample weight for use in producing estimates for all sample items. For example, if the weight given to a sample farm had the value 6, all sample data items reported by that farm would be multiplied by 6. The weight assigned to a sample certainty farm was 1.

Other than certainty farms, within a county, the ratio estimation procedure for farms was performed in three steps using three variables. The first variable contained eight 1992 total value of agricultural production (TVP) groups. Both the second and third variables, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and farm acreage, contained two groups. The three sets of groups were as follows:

TVP	SIC	Acres
\$1 to \$999	01 All crops	1 to 69
\$1,000 to \$2,499	02 All livestock	70 or more
\$2,500 to \$4,999		
\$5,000 to \$9,999		
\$10,000 to \$24,999		
\$25,000 to \$49,999		
\$50,000 to \$99,999		
\$100,000 or more		

The first step in the estimation procedure was to classify the sample records into 32 mutually exclusive initial post strata formed by the three sets of groups. The total and sample farm counts were expanded to account for nonresponse. Each cell containing sample farm records was assigned an initial sample weight equal to the ratio of the total farm count to the sample farm count. This weight was approximately equal to the inverse of the probability of selecting a farm for the census sample.

The second step in the estimation procedure was to combine, if necessary, the 32 initial post strata to increase the reliability of the ratio estimation procedure. Any stratum that contained less than 10 sample farms after nonresponse adjustment or had a weight greater than two times the mail sample rate was collapsed with another stratum. The mail sample rate was either 2 or 6, depending on whether the county had a 1 in 2 or 1 in 6 sample selection rate. The collapsing occurred within the initial 32 post strata according to a specified collapsing pattern. After the collapsing process was completed, new total farm counts and sample farm counts were computed from each of the final post strata and were used to calculate final sample weights.

The final step consisted of assigning the noninteger final post stratum weight to the sample farm records in each post stratum. The weight is the ratio of total farm count to sample farm count in each final post stratum. The noninteger sample weight, the product of the noninteger final post stratum weight and the nonresponse weight, was randomly rounded to an integer weight for tabulation. If, for example, the final weight for the farms in a particular post stratum was 7.2, then 0.2 or one-fifth of the sample farms in this post stratum were randomly assigned a weight of 8 and the remaining four-fifths received a weight of 7.

CENSUS SAMPLING ERROR

The sample for the 1992 Census of Agriculture is only one of a large number of possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same sample design. Sample refers to the sample for both the nonresponse survey and the selection of farms to receive the sample report forms. Estimates derived from all the possible samples would differ from each other only by random variation.

The standard error or sampling error of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples and thus is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The percent relative standard error of an estimate is defined as 100 times the standard error of the estimate divided by the value of the estimate.

If all possible samples were selected, each of the samples were surveyed under essentially the same conditions, and an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

1. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.65 standard errors below the estimate to 1.65 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.
2. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from 1.96 standard errors below the estimate to 1.96 standard errors above the estimate would include the average value of all possible samples.

The following example illustrates the computations necessary for producing a confidence interval for an estimate. Assume that the estimate of number of farms for a State is 94,382 and the relative standard error of the estimate is .1 percent (0.001). Multiplying 94,382 by 0.001 yields 94, the standard error; therefore, a 90-percent confidence interval is 94,227 to 94,537 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.65 x 94). If corresponding confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size and design, approximately 90 percent of these intervals would contain the figure obtained from a complete enumeration. Similarly, a 95-percent confidence interval is 94,198 to 94,566 (i.e., 94,382 plus or minus 1.96 x 94).

Census items were classified as either complete count or sample count items. Complete count items were asked of all farm operators. Examples of complete count items were land in farms, harvested cropland, livestock inventory and sales, crop acreage, quantities harvested and crop sales, land use, irrigation, government loans and payments, conservation acreage, type of organization, and operator characteristics.

Sample count items were asked only of a sample of farm operators. These items appeared only in sections 21 through 26 of the sample report form. Sample count items were included under the following section headings: commercial fertilizers, chemicals, production expenses, farm machinery and equipment, value of land and buildings, and farm-related income.

Variability, measured as percent relative standard error, in the estimates of complete count items is due only to the nonresponse survey estimation procedure. Variability in the estimates of sample count items is due to both the nonresponse survey estimation procedure and the census sample selection and estimation procedure. Thus, variability in the sample count item estimates tends to be larger than the variability in the complete count item estimates.

Table B provides the generalized reliability estimates of the estimated number of farms in a county reporting complete count and sample count items. The top half of the table shows the percent relative standard error for estimated number of farms in a county reporting a complete count item and the bottom half a sample count item. These are derived from regression equations. Separate regression equations were used for complete count items and sample count items. Each regression equation was fit with the estimated number of farms in a county reporting an item as the independent variable and the relative variance of that estimate as the dependent variable for all counties in the State. For sample count items, only data

from counties sampled at a rate of 1 in 6 are used in the estimation of the regression equation.

Table B. Reliability Estimates for Number of Farms in a County Reporting a Complete Count Item or Sample Count Item: 1992

Farms	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
COMPLETE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	5.9
50	3.5
75	2.1
100	.7
150	.6
200	.5
300	.4
500	.3
750	.3
1,000	.2
1,500	(X)
2,000	(X)
SAMPLE COUNT ITEM	
Number of farms reporting:	
25	31.7
50	24.3
75	21.3
100	19.7
150	17.8
200	16.8
300	15.8
500	14.9
750	14.4
1,000	14.2
1,500	(X)
2,000	(X)

To illustrate the use of this table, assume that the estimate of the number of farms reporting hogs and pigs for a particular county, as given in county table 15, is 89. Since hogs and pigs is a complete count data item, refer to the first part of table B and use the estimated percent relative standard error of the estimate from the row with farm count equal to or just less than the estimated number of farms, 89. For this example, the percent relative standard error of the estimate comes from the row for 75 farms reporting. For sample count items, follow the same procedure using the second part of table B. For counties with fewer than 100 farms in the 1987 Census of Agriculture, variability in sample count item estimates comes only from nonresponse survey estimation procedures; thus, the estimated relative standard error for a sample count item in these counties may be obtained using the first part of table B.

Table C presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms, and table D presents the percent relative standard error of selected State data items for all farms with sales of \$10,000 or more.

Table E presents the percent standard error for percent change in State totals from 1987 to 1992. The general

purpose of the percent change estimate is to provide a relative measure of the difference in a characteristic between censuses. The relative change for a given characteristic is defined as the ratio of the difference of the 1992 and the 1987 estimate for that characteristic to the 1987 estimate. This ratio is multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent change. The percent standard error of a percent change estimate, then, is the standard error of the ratio multiplied by 100.

Table F presents the percent relative standard error for State and county totals for selected data items. The percent relative standard error of the estimate for the same item differs among counties in the State. Reasons for this are differences among counties in (1) the total number of farms, (2) the number of large farms included with certainty, (3) the size classifications of the farms sampled, (4) the amount of nonresponse, (5) the general agricultural characteristics, and (6) the specific characteristic being measured.

CENSUS NONSAMPLING ERROR

The accuracy of the census counts are affected jointly by sampling errors, described in the previous section, and nonsampling errors. Extensive efforts were made to compile a complete and accurate mail list for the census, to design an understandable report form with instructions, and to minimize processing errors through the use of quality control measures on specific operations. Nonsampling errors arise from incompleteness of the census mail list, duplication in the mail list, incorrect data reporting, errors in editing of reported data, and errors in imputation for missing data. These specific nonsampling errors are further discussed in this section. Evaluation studies will be conducted to measure the extent of certain nonsampling errors such as coverage error and classification error.

Census Coverage

The main objective of the census of agriculture is to obtain a complete and accurate enumeration of U.S. farms with accurate data on all aspects of the agricultural operation. However, the high cost and availability of resources for enumeration place restrictions on feasible data collection methodologies. The past six agriculture censuses have been conducted by mail enumeration with telephone contact for selected nonrespondents. The completeness of such an enumeration thus depends to a large extent on the coverage of farm operations by the census mail list.

The past five censuses of agriculture have included approximately 91 percent of farms in the United States and approximately 96 percent of agriculture production. Complete enumeration of agricultural operations satisfying the farm definition of \$1,000 or more in agricultural sales is complicated by fluctuations in agricultural operations qualifying for enumeration, the variety of arrangements under which farms are operated, the multiplicity of names used

by an operation, the number of operations in which an operator participates, the accuracy of data reporting, and other factors. A new mail list is compiled for each census because no current single list of agricultural operations is comprehensive.

An evaluation of census coverage has been conducted for each census of agriculture since 1945. The evaluation provides estimates of the completeness of census farm count and major census data items. In addition, the evaluation helps to identify problems in the census enumeration and provide information that can form the basis for improvements. The results of the 1992 Coverage Evaluation program will be published in volume 2, Subject Series (Part 2): Coverage Evaluation.

The evaluation of coverage for the 1992 census was designed to measure four components of error in the census mail list and in farm classification. Mail list error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms not on the census mail list (undercount) and a measurement of farms enumerated more than once in the census (overcount). Classification error includes two components of error, a measurement of farms classified as nonfarms in the census (undercount) and of nonfarms classified as farms in the census (overcount). Classification error arises from reporting and processing errors. Mail list undercount dominates all coverage errors. Net coverage error is defined as the difference between undercounted and overcounted farms. Measurements of these errors, as well as a description of the complete coverage program, will be available in the Coverage Evaluation report.

Mail List Coverage

A major problem with mail enumeration for the census of agriculture is the difficulty encountered in compiling a complete mail list. The percentage of farms included on the census mail list varies considerably by State. Several reasons have contributed to farm operator names not being included on the census mail list—the operation may have been started after the mail list was developed, the operation may be so small as not to appear in any of the agriculture-related source lists used in compiling the census list, or the operation may have been falsely classified as a nonfarm prior to mailout. A large proportion of the farms not included on the mail list are small in both acres and sales of agricultural products.

The 1992 Census of Agriculture Coverage Evaluation used the area segment sample of the 1992 June Agricultural Survey (JAS) of the National Agricultural Statistical Service (NASS) to estimate farms not on the census mail list. The Census Bureau contracted with NASS to augment the JAS data collection. The survey data collected by NASS will be protected under the confidentiality of title 13, U.S. Code. These JAS survey records were matched to the census mail list. Records that did not match were mailed a census of agriculture report form to estimate mail list

coverage. Estimates of farms not on the census mail list are computed using a capture-recapture dual frame estimator which will be described in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Table G provides coverage evaluation estimates for one component of coverage error associated with the census of agriculture; that is, the error due to farms not on the census mail list. Also provided are estimates of selected characteristics of farms not on the mail list, estimates of characteristics of farms not on the mail list as a percentage of total farms in the State, and the percent relative standard error associated with each estimate. The estimate of total farms in the State is based on census farm count plus the estimated number of farms not on the census mail list. This estimate of total farms in the State was not adjusted for the components of error associated with classification and list duplication error. Estimates of these errors will be made at the regional, rather than the State level, and will be provided in the Coverage Evaluation report mentioned earlier.

Respondent and Enumerator Error

Incorrect or incomplete responses to the mailed census report form or to the questions posed by a telephone enumerator introduce error into the census data. Such incorrect information can lead, in some cases, to incorrect classification of farms. This type of reporting error is measured by the Classification Error Survey discussed later in this section. To reduce all types of reporting error, detailed instructions for completing the report form were provided to each addressee. Questions were phrased as clearly as possible based on tests of the census report form and each respondent's answers were checked for completeness and consistency.

Item Nonresponse

As information flows from data collection to tabulation, various types of item nonresponses are identified on the report forms. Nonresponse to particular questions on the report form that logically should be present may create a type of nonsampling error in both complete count and sample count data. When information from reporting farms is used to edit or impute for item nonresponse, the data may be biased due to characteristics of the nonreporting respondents differing from those reporting the item. Any attempt to correct the data items may not completely reflect this difference either at the element level (individual farm operation) or on the average.

Processing Error

All phases of processing for each report form are sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. The processing of the report forms includes clerical screening for farm activity, computerized check-in of report forms and follow-up of nonrespondents, keying and transmittal of

completed report forms, computerized editing of inconsistent and missing data, review and correction of individual records referred from the computer edit, review and correction of tabulated data, and electronic data processing. These operations undergo a number of quality control checks to ensure as accurate an application as possible, yet some errors are not detected and corrected.

Classification Error

An evaluation study of classification errors was conducted in the 1992 Census of Agriculture as part of the census coverage evaluation program. A sample of census mail list respondents was selected, and these addresses were reenumerated to determine whether they were a farm or nonfarm. A farm status determination was made based on the evaluation report form and compared with the census farm status which was based on the data reported on the report form. Differences in status were reconciled.

In past censuses, the proportion of farms undercounted due to classification errors was higher for farms with small values of sales. For the 1987 census, the classification error rate was higher for (1) farms with small values of sales, (2) farms with a small number of acres, (3) full-owner farms than part-owner or tenant farms, (4) operators with principal occupation other than farming, and (5) males than females. Results from the 1992 Classification Error Survey will be published in the Coverage Evaluation report.

EDITING DATA AND IMPUTATION FOR ITEM NONRESPONSE

The Census of Agriculture Complex Edit and Imputation System performs the following functions:

- Ensuring reasonable relationships between/among data items, values for various sizes of farms, and combinations of commodities.
- Ensuring necessary consistencies are present. There are more than 70 distinct consistency requirements.
- Ensuring geographic, legal, and physical constraints are met.

The system must perform these and similar functions for 900 data keycodes for sample records and 850 data keycodes for nonsample records.

For the 1992 Census of Agriculture, as in previous censuses, all reported data were keyed and then edited by computer. The edits were used to determine whether the reports met the minimum criteria to be counted as farms in the census. The complex edit and imputation system provided the basis for deciding to accept, impute (supply), delete, or alter the reported value for each data record item.

Whenever possible, edit imputations, deletions, and changes were based on component or related data on the respondent's report form. For some items, such as operator characteristics, data from the previous census were used when available. Values for other missing or unacceptable reported data items were calculated based on reported quantities and known price parameters.

When these and similar methods were not available and values had to be supplied, the imputation process used information reported for another farm operation in a geographically adjacent area with characteristics similar to those of the farm operation with incomplete data. For example, a farm operation that reported acres of corn harvested, but did not report quantity of corn harvested, was assigned the same bushels of corn per acre harvested as that of the last nearby farm with similar characteristics that reported acceptable yields during that particular execution of the computer edit. The imputation for missing items in each section of the report form was conducted separately; thus, assigned values for one operation could come from more than one respondent.

Prior to the imputation operation, a set of default values and relationships were assigned to the possible imputation variables. The relationships and values varied depending on the item being imputed. For example, different default values were assigned for several standard industrial classification and total value of sales categories when imputing hired farm labor expenses. These values and item relationships for the possible imputation variables were stored in the computer in a series of matrices.

Each execution of the computer edit consisted of records from only one State. The computer records were sorted by reported State and county. For a given execution of the edit, the stored entries in the various matrices were retained in memory only until a succeeding record having acceptable characteristics for some sections of the report form was processed by the computer. Then the acceptable responses of the succeeding operation replaced those previously stored. When a record processed through the edit had unreported or unacceptable data, the record was assigned the last acceptable ratio or response from an operation with a similar set of characteristics. Once each execution of the computer edit for a State was completed, the possible imputation variables were reset to the default values and relationships for subsequent executions.

After the initial computer edit, keyed reports not meeting the census farm definition were reviewed to ensure that the data were keyed correctly. Edit referrals were generated for about 25 percent of the reports included as farms; they were reviewed for keying accuracy to ensure that the computer edit actions were correct. If the results of the computer edit were not acceptable, corrections were made and the record was reedited.

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
F FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS						
Farms ----- number	40 759	1.2				
Land in farms ----- acres	10 025 581	.7				
Average size of farm ----- acres	246	1.3				
M MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD						
Total sales (see text) ----- farms	40 759	1.2				
\$1,000-----	3 521 217	.2				
Average per farm ----- dollars	86 391	1.2				
Farms by value of sales:						
Less than \$1,000 (see text) ----- farms	6 248	1.6				
\$1,000-----	1 591	.7				
\$1,000 to \$2,499 ----- farms	5 822	1.5				
\$1,000-----	9 781	1.5				
\$2,500 to \$4,999 ----- farms	5 983	1.6				
\$1,000-----	21 449	1.6				
\$5,000 to \$9,999 ----- farms	5 402	1.6				
\$1,000-----	37 973	1.6				
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms	4 105	1.7				
\$1,000-----	57 433	1.8				
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms	1 095	2.2				
\$1,000-----	24 348	2.2				
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms	1 878	2.1				
\$1,000-----	59 180	2.1				
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms	781	2.3				
\$1,000-----	34 715	2.3				
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms	2 397	1.9				
\$1,000-----	172 251	1.8				
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms	3 133	—				
\$1,000-----	522 246	—				
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms	2 221	—				
\$1,000-----	775 201	—				
\$500,000 or more ----- farms	1 694	—				
\$1,000-----	1 805 049	—				
Sales by commodity or commodity group:						
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	17 254	1.2				
\$1,000-----	1 428 964	.3				
Grains ----- farms	8 740	1.2				
\$1,000-----	232 370	.5				
Corn for grain ----- farms	5 904	1.2				
\$1,000-----	113 223	.5				
Wheat ----- farms	2 282	1.0				
\$1,000-----	37 749	.4				
Soybeans ----- farms	4 169	1.2				
\$1,000-----	74 421	.6				
Sorghum for grain ----- farms	414	1.4				
\$1,000-----	2 369	.8				
Barley ----- farms	34	4.2				
\$1,000-----	135	4.8				
Oats ----- farms	379	4.8				
\$1,000-----	1 618	1.5				
Other grains ----- farms	806	1.0				
\$1,000-----	2 854	.9				
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms	2 013	.7				
\$1,000-----	195 724	.2				
Tobacco ----- farms	1 657	1.2				
\$1,000-----	136 468	.4				
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms	4 205	1.2				
\$1,000-----	24 646	.9				
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms	2 307	1.2				
\$1,000-----	142 650	.3				
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms	1 830	1.4				
\$1,000-----	62 591	.4				
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	999	1.2				
\$1,000-----	138 874	.2				
Other crops ----- farms	6 228	1.2				
\$1,000-----	495 642	.3				
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms	27 748	1.1				
\$1,000-----	2 092 253	.1				
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms	3 678	.4				
\$1,000-----	1 462 126	(L)				
Dairy products ----- farms	806	.8				
\$1,000-----	199 118	.1				
Cattle and calves ----- farms	22 162	1.2				
\$1,000-----	244 382	.8				
Hogs and pigs ----- farms	3 745	1.4				
\$1,000-----	167 903	.4				
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms	304	2.2				
\$1,000-----	306	3.0				
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms	2 384	1.5				
\$1,000-----	18 418	1.0				
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms	1 516	1.5				
\$1,000-----	7 274	1.0				
F FARM PRODUCTION EXPENSES¹						
Total farm production expenses ----- farms	40 763	1.2				
\$1,000-----	2 867 358	.3				
Average per farm ----- dollars	70 342	1.2				
Livestock and poultry purchased ----- farms	12 547	1.7				
\$1,000-----	317 816	.3				
Feed for livestock and poultry ----- farms	23 992	1.4				
\$1,000-----	909 360	.2				
Commercially mixed formula feeds ----- farms	10 453	1.8				
\$1,000-----	803 754	.2				
Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees ----- farms	16 472	1.6				
\$1,000-----	84 756	.7				
Commercial fertilizer ----- farms	26 917	1.4				
\$1,000-----	191 665	.8				
Agricultural chemicals ----- farms	17 101	1.6				
\$1,000-----	148 906	.6				
Petroleum products ----- farms	38 041	1.2				
\$1,000-----	125 189	.6				
Electricity ----- farms	22 967	1.3				
\$1,000-----	48 141	.5				
Hired farm labor ----- farms	13 720	1.5				
\$1,000-----	252 721	.3				
Contract labor ----- farms	4 859	2.6				
\$1,000-----	35 626	1.0				
Repair and maintenance ----- farms	32 210	1.2				
\$1,000-----	136 684	.7				
Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment ----- farms	11 177	1.9				
\$1,000-----	37 966	1.4				
Interest expense ----- farms	15 610	1.5				
\$1,000-----	147 611	.8				
Secured by real estate ----- farms	11 872	1.7				
\$1,000-----	108 254	.9				
Not secured by real estate ----- farms	6 843	2.2				
\$1,000-----	39 356	1.1				
Cash rent ----- farms	9 311	1.9				
\$1,000-----	103 710	.8				
Property taxes ----- farms	38 653	1.2				
\$1,000-----	69 922	1.1				
All other farm production expenses ----- farms	34 949	1.2				
\$1,000-----	257 285	.3				
NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT)¹						
Farms with net gains ² ----- farms	19 024	1.4				
\$1,000-----	689 273	.5				
Average net gain ----- dollars	36 232	1.5				
Farms with net losses ----- farms	21 739	1.5				
\$1,000-----	127 587	1.9				
Average net loss ----- dollars	5 869	2.4				
GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME						
Government payments ----- farms	8 794	1.2				
\$1,000-----	61 901	.5				
Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms	7 232	2.5				
\$1,000-----	60 327	2.7				
Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms	2 257	4.0				
\$1,000-----	19 813	4.4				
Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms	3 488	3.7				
\$1,000-----	18 025	4.2				

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)		
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE							
Total cropland	farms--	34 600	All operators	farms--	40 759		
	acres--	5 475 712		acres--	10 025 581		
Harvested cropland	farms--	27 177	Full owners	farms--	27 673		
	acres--	3 332 666		acres--	4 631 046		
Farms by acres harvested:			Part owners	farms--	10 136		
1 to 9 acres	farms--	5 688		acres--	4 692 503		
	acres--	25 165	Tenants	farms--	2 950		
10 to 19 acres	farms--	4 777		acres--	702 032		
	acres--	62 419			.7		
20 to 29 acres	farms--	3 180	OWNED AND RENTED LAND				
	acres--	72 294	Land owned	farms--	37 885		
30 to 49 acres	farms--	3 408		acres--	7 495 274		
	acres--	125 135	Owned land in farms	farms--	37 809		
50 to 99 acres	farms--	3 520		acres--	6 978 183		
	acres--	237 182	Land rented or leased from others	farms--	13 198		
100 to 199 acres	farms--	2 497		acres--	3 116 113		
	acres--	344 717	Rented or leased land in farms	landlords--	32 050		
200 to 499 acres	farms--	2 442		farms--	13 086		
	acres--	764 572	Rented or leased to others	acres--	3 047 398		
500 to 999 acres	farms--	1 063		farms--	4 616		
	acres--	729 386		acres--	585 806		
1,000 acres or more	farms--	602			1.5		
	acres--	971 796			1.5		
Cropland:			OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS				
Pasture or grazing only	farms--	18 984	Operators by place of residence:				
	acres--	1 187 114	On farm operated		28 453		
Other cropland	farms--	11 658			7 769		
	acres--	955 932	Not on farm operated		4 537		
Total woodland	farms--	25 615			1.2		
	acres--	3 326 683	Not reported				
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and			OPERATORS BY PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION				
woodland pastured	farms--	9 089	Operators by principal occupation:				
	acres--	819 263	Farming		18 817		
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc.	farms--	23 573	Other		21 942		
	acres--	403 923			1.3		
Irrigated land	farms--	4 701	Operators by days worked off farm:				
	acres--	724 792	Any		21 843		
Acres irrigated:			200 days or more		16 051		
1 to 9 acres	farms--	1 399			1.3		
	acres--	4 197	Operators by sex:				
10 to 49 acres	farms--	1 093	Male	farms--	37 303		
	acres--	26 670		acres--	9 423 540		
50 to 99 acres	farms--	570	Female	farms--	3 456		
	acres--	39 661		acres--	602 041		
100 to 199 acres	farms--	614	Average age of operator	years--	55.0		
	acres--	85 250			1.7		
200 to 499 acres	farms--	661	FARMS BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION				
	acres--	204 452	Individual or family (sole proprietorship)	farms--	35 985		
500 to 999 acres	farms--	235		acres--	7 515 748		
	acres--	159 140	Partnership	farms--	3 173		
1,000 acres or more	farms--	129		acres--	1 455 314		
	acres--	205 422	Corporation:				
Harvested cropland irrigated	farms--	4 591	Family held	farms--	1 121		
	acres--	713 047		acres--	827 733		
Pasture and other land irrigated	farms--	286	More than 10 stockholders	farms--	36		
	acres--	11 745	10 or less stockholders	farms--	1 085		
Land under federal acreage reduction programs:			Other than family held	farms--	176		
Diverted under annual commodity programs	farms--	3 337		acres--	68 634		
	acres--	56 281	More than 10 stockholders	farms--	40		
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve	farms--	4 168	10 or less stockholders	farms--	136		
Programs	acres--	304 625	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc.	farms--	304		
		1.0		acres--	158 152		
					2.0		
					.9		
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS¹							
Estimated market value of land and buildings	farms--	40 763	Hired Farm Labor				
\$1,000--		1 436 532	Hired workers by days worked:				
Average per farm	dollars--	280 562	150 days or more	farms--	6 579		
Average per acre	dollars--	1 131		workers--	17 779		
		1.4	Less than 150 days	farms--	11 984		
				workers--	46 805		
					1.7		
					.8		
					1.7		
					1.7		
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT¹							
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment	farms--	40 717	INJURIES AND DEATHS				
\$1,000--		1 421 195	Farm-related injuries:				
Average per farm	dollars--	34 904	Operator and family members	farms--	243		
		1.4		number--	275		
			Hired workers	farms--	228		
				number--	480		
					.6		
					2.1		
					2.1		
					1.1		
					.6		
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS¹							
Commercial fertilizer	farms--	26 759	Farm-related deaths:				
acres on which used--		3 381 915	Operator and family members	farms--	5		
		1.4		number--	5		
		.9	Hired workers	farms--	4		
				number--	4		
					16.1		
					10.2		
					10.2		

See footnotes at end of table.

C-8 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table C. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for All Farms: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
F FARMS BY SIZE						
1 to 9 acres	farms ..	2 859	Cattle and calves sold	farms ..	22 162	
	acres..	12 323	number ..	612 588	.8	
10 to 49 acres	farms ..	10 443	\$1,000 ..	244 382	.8	
	acres..	285 779	number ..	3 844	1.4	
50 to 69 acres	farms ..	3 756	Hogs and pigs inventory	farms ..	1 000 813	
	acres..	216 910	number ..	3 745	.5	
70 to 99 acres	farms ..	3 826	Hogs and pigs sold	farms ..	1 865 702	
	acres..	318 026	number ..	167 903	.4	
100 to 139 acres	farms ..	4 167	\$1,000 ..			
	acres..	480 879	Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory	farms ..	374	
140 to 179 acres	farms ..	2 721	number ..	8 237	2.0	
	acres..	426 862	Sheep and lambs sold	farms ..	265	
180 to 219 acres	farms ..	2 140	number ..	6 178	2.2	
	acres..	424 215	Horses and ponies inventory	farms ..	5 615	
220 to 259 acres	farms ..	1 473	number ..	31 087	1.3	
	acres..	350 816	Horses and ponies sold	farms ..	1 346	
260 to 499 acres	farms ..	4 374	number ..	5 186	1.5	
	acres..	1 545 711	P Poultry			
500 to 999 acres	farms ..	2 972	Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms ..	1 800	
	acres..	2 013 208	number ..	24 144 218	1.2	
1,000 to 1,999 acres	farms ..	1 407	Hens and pullets of laying age	farms ..	1 686	
	acres..	1 890 561	number ..	20 337 392	.2	
2,000 acres or more	farms ..	621	Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms ..	2 407	
	acres..	2 060 291	number ..	749 018 187	.2	
					(L)	
F FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION						
Cash grains (011)	farms ..	2 862	C CROPS HARVESTED			
	acres..	987 205	Corn for grain or seed	farms ..	7 896	
Field crops, except cash grains (013)	farms ..	6 407	acres ..	647 833	.6	
	acres..	3 071 236	bushels ..	60 513 790	.5	
Vegetables and melons (016)	farms ..	1 151	Corn for silage or green chop	farms ..	485	
	acres..	230 592	acres ..	44 557	.4	
Fruits and tree nuts (017)	farms ..	2 101	tons, green ..	688 152	.3	
	acres..	389 626	Sorghum for grain or seed	farms ..	655	
Horticultural specialties (018)	farms ..	918	acres ..	39 948	.7	
	acres..	66 109	bushels ..	1 711 391	.6	
General farms, primarily crop (019)	farms ..	1 753	Wheat for grain	farms ..	2 332	
	acres..	1 145 743	acres ..	292 362	1.0	
Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021)	farms ..	19 140	bushels ..	12 371 069	.4	
	acres..	3 137 804	Oats for grain	farms ..	838	
Dairy farms (024)	farms ..	675	acres ..	30 812	1.3	
	acres..	304 777	bushels ..	1 920 703	.9	
Poultry and eggs (025)	farms ..	3 418	Cotton	farms ..	2 015	
	acres..	356 600	acres ..	431 625	.2	
Animal specialties (027)	farms ..	1 840	bales ..	668 950	.2	
	acres..	147 486	Tobacco	farms ..	1 658	
General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029)	farms ..	494	acres ..	40 403	.4	
	acres..	188 403	pounds ..	88 150 533	.4	
L LIVESTOCK						
Cattle and calves inventory	farms ..	23 339	Soybeans for beans	farms ..	4 193	
	number ..	1 258 062	acres ..	513 781	.6	
Beef cows	farms ..	20 549	bushels ..	14 391 870	.6	
	number ..	599 899	Irish potatoes	farms ..	118	
Milk cows	farms ..	1 168	acres ..	620	3.4	
	number ..	102 001	cwt ..	104 993	4.4	
			Sweetpotatoes	farms ..	112	
			acres ..	1 840	2.7	
			bushels ..	565 334	3.5	
			Peanuts for nuts	farms ..	6 095	
			acres ..	630 305	1.2	
			pounds ..	1 717 836 338	.4	
			Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)	farms ..	14 241	
			acres ..	508 575	.9	
			tons, dry ..	1 221 143	.9	
			Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)	farms ..	2 307	1.2
			acres ..	101 193	.4	
			Land in orchards	farms ..	4 146	1.4
			acres ..	153 247	.7	

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains of less than \$1,000.

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:
1992**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
F FARMS AND LAND IN FARMS					
Farms ----- number	17 304	1.1	Total farm production expenses ----- farms	16 810	1.2
Land in farms ----- acres	7 453 582	.6	\$1,000----- \$1,000	2 733 676	.3
Average size of farm ----- acres	431	1.2	Average per farm ----- dollars	162 622	1.3
M MARKET VALUE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SOLD					
Total sales (see text) ----- farms	17 304	1.1	Livestock and poultry purchased ----- farms	7 178	1.7
\$1,000----- \$1,000	3 450 423	.2	\$1,000----- \$1,000	307 781	.3
Average per farm ----- dollars	199 400	1.1	Feed for livestock and poultry ----- farms	10 559	1.5
Farms by value of sales:			Commercial mixed formula feeds ----- farms	895 353	.2
\$10,000 to \$19,999 ----- farms	4 105	1.7	\$1,000----- \$1,000	6 199	1.7
\$1,000----- \$1,000	57 433	1.8	Average per farm ----- dollars	800 289	.2
\$20,000 to \$24,999 ----- farms	1 095	2.2	Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees ----- farms	10 362	1.6
\$1,000----- \$1,000	24 348	2.2	\$1,000----- \$1,000	82 803	.7
\$25,000 to \$39,999 ----- farms	1 878	2.1	Commercial fertilizer ----- farms	12 767	1.5
\$1,000----- \$1,000	59 180	2.1	Agricultural chemicals ----- farms	175 277	.8
\$40,000 to \$49,999 ----- farms	781	2.3	Petroleum products ----- farms	10 613	1.6
\$1,000----- \$1,000	34 715	2.3	Electricity ----- farms	145 495	.6
\$50,000 to \$99,999 ----- farms	2 397	1.9	Hired farm labor ----- farms	16 422	1.3
\$1,000----- \$1,000	172 251	1.8	\$1,000----- \$1,000	115 151	.6
\$100,000 to \$249,999 ----- farms	3 133	-	Electricity ----- farms	13 236	1.3
\$1,000----- \$1,000	522 246	-	Contract labor ----- farms	45 176	.5
\$250,000 to \$499,999 ----- farms	2 221	-	Repair and maintenance ----- farms	15 468	1.3
\$1,000----- \$1,000	775 201	-	\$1,000----- \$1,000	121 533	.6
\$500,000 or more ----- farms	1 694	-	Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment ----- farms	6 777	2.1
\$1,000----- \$1,000	1 805 049	-	\$1,000----- \$1,000	34 978	1.5
Sales by commodity or commodity group:			Interest expense ----- farms	10 429	1.5
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	10 864	1.2	\$1,000----- \$1,000	134 613	.7
\$1,000----- \$1,000	1 410 231	.3	Secured by real estate ----- farms	7 936	1.6
Grains ----- farms	6 636	1.2	\$1,000----- \$1,000	96 720	.8
\$1,000----- \$1,000	226 750	.5	Not secured by real estate ----- farms	5 091	2.2
Corn for grain ----- farms	4 614	1.2	\$1,000----- \$1,000	37 893	1.1
\$1,000----- \$1,000	110 365	.4	Interest expense ----- farms	1 000 000	-
Wheat ----- farms	2 019	.9	Property taxes ----- farms	1 000 000	-
\$1,000----- \$1,000	37 223	.4	All other farm production expenses ----- farms	1 000 000	-
Soybeans ----- farms	3 479	1.2	\$1,000----- \$1,000	245 744	.3
\$1,000----- \$1,000	72 452	.6	NET CASH RETURN FROM AGRICULTURAL SALES FOR THE FARM UNIT (SEE TEXT) ¹		
Sorghum for grain ----- farms	366	1.3	All farms ----- number	16 810	1.2
\$1,000----- \$1,000	2 308	.8	\$1,000----- \$1,000	622 356	.6
Barley ----- farms	27	4.2	Average per farm ----- dollars	37 023	1.4
\$1,000----- \$1,000	130	4.9	Farms with net gains ² ----- number	12 757	1.4
Oats ----- farms	319	1.6	\$1,000----- \$1,000	677 681	.5
\$1,000----- \$1,000	1 520	1.5	Average net gain ----- dollars	53 122	1.5
Other grains ----- farms	727	1.0	Farms with net losses ----- number	4 053	3.1
\$1,000----- \$1,000	2 751	.9	\$1,000----- \$1,000	55 325	2.7
Cotton and cottonseed ----- farms	1 958	.7	Average net loss ----- dollars	13 650	4.1
\$1,000----- \$1,000	195 528	.2	GOVERNMENT PAYMENTS AND OTHER FARM-RELATED INCOME		
Tobacco ----- farms	1 552	1.2	Government payments ----- farms	5 955	1.1
\$1,000----- \$1,000	136 072	.4	\$1,000----- \$1,000	55 741	.4
Hay, silage, and field seeds ----- farms	1 783	1.2	Other farm-related income ¹ ----- farms	3 654	3.0
\$1,000----- \$1,000	19 280	.9	\$1,000----- \$1,000	43 991	3.2
Vegetables, sweet corn, and melons ----- farms	1 570	1.2	Customwork and other agricultural services ----- farms	1 426	4.6
\$1,000----- \$1,000	140 416	.3	\$1,000----- \$1,000	17 714	4.7
Fruits, nuts, and berries ----- farms	977	1.3	Gross cash rent or share payments ----- farms	1 470	5.3
\$1,000----- \$1,000	60 980	.4	\$1,000----- \$1,000	9 416	5.7
Nursery and greenhouse crops ----- farms	630	1.2	Forest products and Christmas trees ----- farms	670	7.0
\$1,000----- \$1,000	137 516	.2	\$1,000----- \$1,000	13 805	4.8
Other crops ----- farms	5 597	1.2	Other farm-related income sources ----- farms	872	5.2
\$1,000----- \$1,000	493 689	.3	\$1,000----- \$1,000	3 057	10.0
Livestock, poultry, and their products ----- farms	12 047	1.0	COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION LOANS		
\$1,000----- \$1,000	2 040 192	.1	Total ----- farms	1 176	1.1
Poultry and poultry products ----- farms	3 379	.3	\$1,000----- \$1,000	31 625	.4
\$1,000----- \$1,000	1 461 841	(L)			
Dairy products ----- farms	712	.7			
\$1,000----- \$1,000	198 858	.1			
Cattle and calves ----- farms	8 705	1.1			
\$1,000----- \$1,000	199 421	.7			
Hogs and pigs ----- farms	2 430	1.5			
\$1,000----- \$1,000	164 563	.4			
Sheep, lambs, and wool ----- farms	85	2.6			
\$1,000----- \$1,000	131	2.9			
Other livestock and livestock products (see text) ----- farms	668	1.6			
\$1,000----- \$1,000	15 379	1.1			
Value of agricultural products sold directly to individuals for human consumption (see text) ----- farms	563	1.8			
\$1,000----- \$1,000	6 234	1.0			

See footnotes at end of table.

C-10 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:
1992—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	
LAND IN FARMS ACCORDING TO USE						
Total cropland	farms-- acres--	15 374 4 551 878	1.1 .5	Individual or family (sole proprietorship) farms-- acres--	14 215 5 272 646	1.1 .7
Harvested cropland	farms-- acres--	13 762 3 069 071	1.1 .4	Partnership--	1 950 1 240 872	1.0 .4
Cropland:				Corporation:		
Pasture or grazing only	farms-- acres--	7 557 717 533	1.1 .9	Family held	farms-- acres--	.8 .3
Total woodland	farms-- acres--	11 077 2 105 405	1.1 .7	More than 10 stockholders	farms--	26
Pastureland and rangeland other than cropland and woodland pastured	farms-- acres--	3 647 547 420	1.0 .6	10 or less stockholders	farms--	857
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc.	farms-- acres--	10 058 248 879	1.0 .8	Other than family held	farms-- acres--	130 58 596
Irrigated land	farms-- acres--	3 627 713 090	.9 .2	More than 10 stockholders	farms--	31
Harvested cropland irrigated	farms-- acres--	3 594 702 364	.2 .2	10 or less stockholders	farms--	99
Pasture and other land irrigated	farms-- acres--	190 10 726	.2 1.8	Other—cooperative, estate or trust, institutional, etc.	farms-- acres--	126 108 731
Land under federal acreage reduction programs:						
Diverted under annual commodity programs	farms-- acres--	3 056 55 310	1.0 .3	Hired workers by days worked:		
Conservation Reserve or Wetlands Reserve Programs	farms-- acres--	2 514 214 499	1.2 .7	150 days or more	farms--	5 317
VALUE OF LAND AND BUILDINGS¹						
Estimated market value of land and buildings	farms-- \$1,000--	16 810 7 546 981	1.2 1.0	workers--	16 463	1.6 .7
Average per farm	dollars--	448 958	1.6	Less than 150 days	farms-- workers--	7 458 38 417
Average per acre	dollars--	1 023	1.3			1.7 1.8
VALUE OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT¹						
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment	farms-- \$1,000--	16 805 1 107 676	1.2 .8	INJURIES AND DEATHS		
Average per farm	dollars--	65 914	1.5	Farm-related injuries:		
				Operator and family members	farms-- number--	150 165
				Hired workers	farms-- number--	207 456
AGRICULTURAL CHEMICALS¹						
Commercial fertilizer	farms-- acres on which used--	12 729 3 019 879	1.5 .9	Farm-related deaths:		
				Operator and family members	farms-- number--	4 (D)
				Hired workers	farms-- number--	4 (D)
TENURE OF OPERATOR						
All operators	farms-- acres--	17 304 7 453 582	1.1 .6	FARMS BY SIZE		
Full owners	farms-- acres--	8 931 2 583 059	1.1 .8	1 to 9 acres		904
Part owners	farms-- acres--	6 640 4 257 044	1.0 .4	10 to 49 acres		2 225
Tenants	farms-- acres--	1 733 613 479	1.4 .7	50 to 69 acres		899
OWNED AND RENTED LAND						
Land owned	farms-- acres--	15 604 4 977 893	1.0 .7	70 to 99 acres		1 106
Owned land in farms	farms-- acres--	15 571 4 697 278	1.0 .7	100 to 139 acres		1 521
Land rented or leased from others	farms-- acres--	8 435 2 812 433	1.1 .5	140 to 179 acres		1 241
Rented or leased land in farms	landlords-- farms-- acres--	25 104 8 373 2 756 304	.8 1.1 .4	180 to 219 acres		1 148
Land rented or leased to others	farms-- acres--	2 054 336 744	1.5 1.8	220 to 259 acres		903
OPERATOR CHARACTERISTICS						
Operators by place of residence:				260 to 499 acres		2 992
On farm operated		11 957	1.1	500 to 999 acres		2 483
Not on farm operated		3 300	1.3	1,000 to 1,999 acres		1 299
Not reported		2 047	.9	2,000 acres or more		583
Operators by principal occupation:				FARMS BY STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION		
Farming		11 804	1.0	Cash grains (011)		1 398
Other		5 500	1.4	Field crops, except cash grains (013)		4 343
Operators by days worked off farm:				Vegetables and melons (016)		619
Any		6 927	1.2	Fruits and tree nuts (017)		370
200 days or more		4 448	1.3	Horticultural specialties (018)		575
Operators by sex:				General farms, primarily crop (019)		1 243
Male		16 143	1.1	Livestock, except dairy, poultry, and animal specialties (021)		4 583
Female		1 161	1.2	Dairy farms (024)		613
Average age of operator	years--	53.3	1.5	Poultry and eggs (025)		3 295
				Animal specialties (027)		239
				General farms, primarily livestock and animal specialties (029)		26
LIVESTOCK						
Cattle and calves inventory	farms-- number--			Cattle and calves sold	farms-- number--	8 765
Beef cows	farms-- number--			Beef cows	farms-- number--	910 320
Milk cows	farms-- number--			Milk cows	farms-- number--	7 573
				Cattle and calves sold	farms-- number--	404 564
				Beef cows	farms-- number--	763
				Milk cows	farms-- number--	100 818
				Cattle and calves sold	farms-- number--	8 705
				Beef cows	farms-- number--	478 475
				Milk cows	farms-- number--	\$1,000-- 199 421
				Cattle and calves sold	farms-- number--	2 374
				Beef cows	farms-- number--	963 847
				Milk cows	farms-- number--	2 430
				Cattle and calves sold	farms-- number--	1 816 182
				Beef cows	farms-- number--	164 563
				Milk cows	farms-- number--	110
				Sheep and lambs of all ages inventory	farms-- number--	3 557
				Sheep and lambs sold	farms-- number--	81
				Horses and ponies inventory	farms-- number--	3 056
				Horses and ponies sold	farms-- number--	343
				Horses and ponies sold	farms-- number--	2 558

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table D. Reliability Estimates of State Totals for Farms With Sales of \$10,000 or More:
1992—Con.**

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Item	Total	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
POULTRY					
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory	farms ..	.8	Cotton	farms ..	1 959
number ..	24 105 937	.3	acres ..	430 993	.2
Hens and pullets of laying age	farms ..	.8	bales ..	668 253	.2
number ..	20 318 895	.2	Tobacco	farms ..	1 552
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms ..	.2	acres ..	402 056	.4
number ..	749 001 857	(L)	pounds ..	87 804 541	.4
CROPS HARVESTED					
Corn for grain or seed	farms ..	5 705	Soybeans for beans	farms ..	3 492
acres ..	617 241	1.2	acres ..	495 296	.6
bushels ..	58 752 006	.6	bushels ..	13 975 861	.6
Corn for silage or green chop	farms ..	391	Irish potatoes	farms ..	45
acres ..	43 151	.9	acres ..	571	4.8
tons, green ..	670 679	.4	Sweetpotatoes	farms ..	64
Sorghum for grain or seed	farms ..	588	acres ..	1 778	.7
acres ..	38 920	1.2	Peanuts for nuts	bushels ..	558 143
bushels ..	1 668 809	.6	farms ..	5 531	1.2
Wheat for grain	farms ..	2 038	acres ..	626 202	.4
acres ..	285 822	.9	pounds ..	1 710 564 431	.3
bushels ..	12 176 635	.4	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass	farms ..	6 169
Oats for grain	farms ..	680	silage, green chop, etc. (see text)	acres ..	339 549
acres ..	28 701	1.3	tons, dry ..	896 400	.8
bushels ..	1 814 190	.9	Vegetables harvested for sale (see text)	farms ..	1 570
			acres ..	98 259	1.2
			Land in orchards	farms ..	1 632
			acres ..	124 339	1.3
					.6

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

²Farms with total production expenses equal to market value of agricultural products sold are included as farms with gains of less than \$1,000.

Table E. Reliability Estimates of Percent Change in State Totals: 1987 to 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	All farms		Farms with sales of \$10,000 or more	
	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate	Percent change from 1987 to 1992	Standard error of estimate
Farms-----number--	-6.4	1.3	-4.5	1.2
Land in farms -----acres--	-6.7	.8	-6.2	.7
Average size of farm -----acres--	-4.4	1.6	-1.8	1.5
Estimated market value of land and buildings ¹ :				
Average per farm -----dollars--	24.0	2.4	21.5	2.3
Average per acre -----dollars--	22.9	2.2	21.9	2.1
Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹ :				
Average per farm -----dollars--	7.5	2.0	8.9	2.1
Farms by size:				
1 to 9 acres -----	-.6	1.8	-5.5	1.4
10 to 49 acres -----	-4.7	1.6	-5.3	1.1
50 to 179 acres -----	-7.3	1.6	3.2	1.9
180 to 499 acres -----	-9.9	1.5	-9.1	1.7
500 to 999 acres -----	-7.0	1.1	-8.9	1.0
1,000 to 1,999 acres -----	-3.2	—	-3.8	—
2,000 acres or more -----	2.8	—	3.7	—
Total cropland -----farms--	-8.2	1.3	-4.0	1.3
acres--	-5.3	.7	-2.8	.7
Harvested cropland -----farms--	-10.3	1.3	-4.3	1.3
acres--	1.0	.7	3.8	.6
Irrigated land -----farms--	-5.7	1.1	-8.4	1.0
acres--	13.2	.4	13.5	.4
Market value of agricultural products sold -----\$1,000--	25.1	.3	26.1	.3
Average per farm -----dollars--	33.7	1.9	31.9	1.7
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops -----\$1,000--	42.1	.6	43.4	.6
Livestock, poultry, and their products -----\$1,000--	15.7	.2	16.3	.2
Farms by value of sales:				
Less than \$2,500 -----	-8.4	1.3	(X)	(X)
\$2,500 to \$4,999 -----	-7.4	1.8	(X)	(X)
\$5,000 to \$9,999 -----	-6.9	1.8	(X)	(X)
\$10,000 to \$24,999 -----	-2.3	2.1	-2.3	2.1
\$25,000 to \$49,999 -----	-11.7	2.2	-11.7	2.2
\$50,000 to \$99,999 -----	-16.9	1.9	-16.9	1.9
\$100,000 to \$249,999 -----	-20.4	(L)	-20.4	(L)
\$250,000 to \$499,999 -----	9.7	—	9.7	—
\$500,000 or more -----	81.2	—	81.2	—
Total farm production expenses ¹ -----\$1,000--	22.6	1.5	23.8	1.6
Average per farm -----dollars--	31.0	1.9	31.3	2.0
Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹ -----\$1,000--	-6.4	1.3	-5.7	1.4
Average per farm -----dollars--	43.9	1.7	40.4	1.3
Operators by principal occupation:				
Farming -----	-3.2	1.2	-5.2	1.1
Other -----	-9.0	1.5	-2.9	1.6
Operators by days worked off farm:				
Any -----	-12.7	4.5	-9.1	4.7
200 days or more -----	-12.9	4.5	-7.6	4.8
Livestock and poultry:				
Cattle and calves inventory -----farms--	-7.9	1.3	-6.3	1.2
number--	-.7	1.0	.3	.9
Beef cows -----farms--	-6.4	1.3	-4.9	1.3
number--	-1.1	1.2	.6	1.1
Milk cows -----farms--	-20.8	1.1	-15.3	.8
number--	4.3	.2	5.1	.2
Cattle and calves sold -----farms--	-9.9	1.3	-8.1	1.2
number--	-10.1	.9	-7.8	.8
Hogs and pigs inventory -----farms--	-33.8	1.1	-32.6	1.2
number--	-.6	.6	-4.8	.6
Hogs and pigs sold -----farms--	-33.5	1.2	-32.1	1.2
number--	-.2	.6	1.0	.6
Sheep and lambs inventory -----farms--	7.5	2.9	-4.3	3.0
number--	-.6	3.8	-29.1	2.9
Chickens 3 months old or older inventory -----farms--	-31.5	1.0	-23.9	.8
number--	-8.1	.3	-8.1	.3
Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold -----farms--	-14.5	.3	-14.2	.3
number--	22.9	.1	22.9	.1
Selected crops harvested:				
Corn for grain or seed -----farms--	-25.2	1.1	-16.1	1.3
acres--	18.1	.9	23.3	.9
bushels--	39.7	.9	43.5	.9
Wheat for grain -----farms--	-50.4	.6	-46.9	.7
acres--	-29.9	.4	-27.3	.4
bushels--	-6.8	.5	-4.2	.5
Cotton -----farms--	16.3	1.3	20.1	1.3
acres--	86.3	.9	87.3	.9
bales--	133.7	1.0	134.4	1.0
Tobacco -----farms--	-19.6	1.3	-16.5	1.4
acres--	31.0	.9	32.2	.9
pounds--	34.1	.9	35.0	.9
Soybeans for beans -----farms--	-30.5	1.0	-25.6	1.1
acres--	-32.4	.6	-31.1	.5
bushels--	-14.0	.7	-13.1	.7
Peanuts for nuts -----farms--	-13.8	1.3	-10.3	1.4
acres--	12.1	.6	12.9	.6
pounds--	18.5	.6	19.1	.6
Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text) -----farms--	-12.2	1.2	-7.6	1.2
acres--	-5.2	1.0	-9.9	1.0
tons, dry--	13.5	1.2	16.7	1.2

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-13

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia	40 759	1.2	10 025 581	.7	246	1.3	280 562	1.6	1 421 195	.8
Appling	535	1.6	105 538	1.5	197	2.2	146 366	5.9	13 008	4.9
Atkinson	244	1.6	77 659	1.2	318	2.0	264 742	5.0	10 122	6.8
Bacon	349	1.5	78 739	1.8	226	2.3	161 715	18.9	9 725	7.0
Baker	130	1.6	108 840	.7	837	1.8	663 593	2.7	13 473	1.7
Baldwin	118	1.0	32 976	1.8	279	2.0	234 771	8.0	3 249	7.8
Banks	469	.7	49 397	1.2	105	1.4	298 312	5.6	9 534	4.2
Barrow	386	.8	35 851	1.3	93	1.5	324 119	8.3	9 458	6.6
Bartow	390	1.0	85 075	1.1	218	1.5	380 056	10.2	10 913	7.9
Ben Hill	183	1.8	47 071	1.8	257	2.5	281 250	4.2	8 978	2.3
Berrien	436	1.6	129 216	1.1	296	1.9	242 458	6.4	18 606	5.3
Bibb	134	1.2	17 105	2.2	128	2.5	192 550	9.7	3 108	10.6
Bleckley	201	1.6	62 983	1.3	313	2.0	225 893	5.4	9 277	4.5
Brantley	230	1.4	27 561	2.9	120	3.2	148 839	15.5	4 973	18.1
Brooks	441	1.9	168 861	.8	383	2.1	358 333	10.4	18 350	4.7
Bryan	51	3.2	15 948	1.6	313	3.5	289 946	7.4	1 407	3.0
Bullock	558	1.6	213 943	.9	383	1.8	362 354	4.8	27 347	4.1
Burke	315	1.2	166 511	.7	529	1.4	316 262	4.3	16 087	3.9
Butts	139	1.4	29 213	2.2	210	2.6	312 918	5.1	2 652	8.9
Calhoun	114	.8	113 861	.5	999	.9	782 102	2.0	17 152	.8
Camden	50	1.4	17 944	2.3	359	2.7	236 580	6.6	684	4.5
Candler	229	1.5	57 074	1.8	249	2.3	267 065	12.7	6 992	8.3
Carroll	771	1.3	82 549	1.7	107	2.2	176 432	5.1	16 090	13.2
Catoosa	242	.9	29 451	1.7	122	1.9	232 011	9.8	4 432	4.9
Charlton	88	1.8	21 697	2.9	247	3.4	254 060	5.1	2 562	3.4
Chatham	40	1.1	8 518	4.8	213	5.0	304 982	5.8	1 288	4.2
Chattahoochee	16	2.4	5 901	10.3	369	10.6	197 796	12.8	234	11.3
Chattooga	257	1.2	52 651	1.7	205	2.1	157 635	10.4	4 160	8.7
Cherokee	473	.8	33 641	1.7	71	1.9	323 186	7.2	8 467	4.2
Clarke	76	1.1	11 559	2.3	152	2.5	340 871	4.8	3 152	5.1
Clay	49	1.0	42 678	.4	871	1.1	651 475	3.4	5 110	.9
Clayton	56	1.5	4 519	4.1	81	4.4	256 987	8.7	1 069	6.8
Clinch	89	1.5	13 563	3.8	152	4.1	163 407	9.1	2 195	9.8
Cobb	136	1.1	10 192	4.1	75	4.3	389 793	6.7	2 845	8.6
Coffee	711	1.9	178 861	1.5	252	2.4	239 744	4.8	29 899	6.3
Colquitt	693	1.9	198 184	.8	286	2.1	274 121	3.5	35 497	3.4
Columbia	154	1.4	26 984	2.5	175	2.9	411 522	9.8	2 728	6.6
Cook	266	1.6	72 636	1.3	273	2.0	242 912	6.8	11 688	8.7
Coweta	333	1.0	41 972	2.3	126	2.5	248 513	8.5	5 322	10.5
Crawford	122	1.1	37 973	1.4	311	1.8	222 540	4.1	4 234	5.1
Crisp	199	1.3	109 923	.8	552	1.5	463 337	2.7	14 775	1.8
Dade	191	1.5	25 802	2.3	135	2.8	226 966	6.6	3 289	6.3
Dawson	170	1.2	19 060	2.3	112	2.6	369 978	6.4	3 852	4.3
Decatur	342	1.4	168 593	.8	493	1.6	555 631	6.7	22 679	2.4
De Kalb	51	1.2	3 046	5.6	60	5.8	263 905	8.4	732	4.7
Dodge	394	2.0	97 215	1.5	247	2.5	141 533	6.2	14 615	9.2
Dooly	240	1.2	156 805	.3	653	1.3	474 792	3.3	27 143	3.0
Dougherty	166	1.2	71 135	.5	429	1.3	534 707	3.7	7 651	2.2
Douglas	103	1.6	8 151	6.0	79	6.2	195 810	9.2	1 597	8.0
Early	314	1.5	184 137	.7	586	1.6	496 107	4.3	22 909	3.1
Echols	85	2.0	16 362	2.3	192	3.1	173 488	5.0	2 954	2.9
Effingham	182	1.1	43 775	1.7	241	2.1	263 778	8.7	4 163	8.3
Elbert	314	1.3	54 233	2.0	173	2.4	174 339	11.4	6 830	5.8
Emmanuel	381	1.5	123 702	1.3	325	2.0	180 576	8.0	12 439	3.2
Evans	168	1.4	40 608	2.2	242	2.6	208 384	7.4	6 661	6.8
Fannin	176	1.4	15 577	2.6	89	3.0	185 608	7.1	4 309	6.7
Fayette	208	.6	22 212	2.8	107	2.9	319 373	14.7	3 615	16.5
Floyd	424	.9	73 659	1.4	174	1.6	220 682	8.2	8 851	6.7
Forsyth	502	.7	36 260	1.8	72	1.9	350 143	5.8	8 724	4.6
Franklin	666	1.2	74 641	1.7	112	2.1	220 390	7.1	16 588	3.9
Fulton	235	1.3	21 975	2.5	94	2.8	341 331	16.0	4 796	8.9
Gilmer	252	.9	25 376	1.4	101	1.7	243 132	10.6	7 676	5.0
Glascock	78	1.5	28 535	2.3	366	2.8	271 677	5.0	2 032	4.2
Glynn	41	2.5	9 681	4.6	236	5.2	317 055	6.8	879	7.4
Gordon	538	1.2	73 869	1.6	137	2.0	229 833	6.5	13 274	4.6
Grady	521	1.2	137 637	1.0	264	1.5	354 003	10.3	26 151	3.2
Greene	207	1.4	46 748	1.4	226	2.0	243 360	8.7	6 356	10.0
Gwinnett	345	1.0	24 239	2.2	70	2.4	349 870	16.4	5 358	7.1
Habersham	455	.8	36 074	1.8	79	1.9	332 446	19.4	13 032	2.0
Hall	689	.7	53 944	1.3	78	1.4	249 648	7.8	21 978	3.2
Hancock	102	1.9	35 387	2.1	347	2.9	199 833	11.5	1 581	12.7
Haralson	255	1.4	31 529	2.7	124	3.1	180 348	13.6	4 243	7.1
Harris	219	1.0	31 037	1.8	142	2.1	185 535	6.0	3 404	12.4
Hart	452	1.0	58 529	1.7	129	1.9	181 247	7.3	10 517	6.4
Heard	153	1.3	24 242	2.2	158	2.6	224 654	9.1	2 335	6.8
Henry	355	.9	45 624	1.5	129	1.8	361 521	8.7	7 889	8.6
Houston	222	1.0	73 417	1.2	331	1.6	473 999	19.3	11 512	10.1
Irwin	351	1.2	135 247	.7	385	1.4	358 269	5.7	19 459	4.3
Jackson	746	.9	83 074	1.3	111	1.6	262 826	6.8	19 940	7.6
Jasper	201	1.0	60 811	1.4	303	1.7	265 534	5.9	6 273	7.2
Jeff Davis	263	1.5	72 626	1.6	276	2.2	178 183	6.4	6 916	7.2
Jefferson	295	1.3	136 082	1.1	461	1.7	318 549	18.6	10 894	6.2
Jenkins	178	1.2	77 532	.8	436	1.4	286 022	3.3	7 163	5.6
Johnson	224	1.9	71 379	1.8	319	2.6	146 355	7.1	5 696	6.1
Jones	157	1.7	31 394	2.3	200	2.9	229 735	5.9	4 081	5.0

See footnotes at end of table.

C-14 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farms		Land in farms		Average size of farm		Average market value of land and buildings per farm ¹		Estimated market value of all machinery and equipment ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (acres)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Lamar -----	203	.7	39 712	1.5	196	1.7	277 964	9.4	4 978	11.3
Lanier -----	107	1.3	40 955	1.5	383	2.0	326 318	3.5	4 256	2.8
Laurens -----	599	1.4	168 051	1.1	281	1.8	191 816	5.7	21 511	5.4
Lee -----	136	1.4	104 768	.6	770	1.5	646 231	2.3	12 252	.8
Liberty -----	49	1.7	15 583	1.9	318	2.5	171 719	6.0	958	10.0
Lincoln -----	163	2.0	32 657	2.4	200	3.1	182 255	5.5	3 027	7.4
Long -----	67	1.6	11 969	3.8	179	4.1	157 550	6.5	2 337	3.8
Lowndes -----	363	1.7	73 023	2.1	201	2.7	258 008	15.6	8 447	8.4
Lumpkin -----	229	1.1	23 284	2.8	102	3.0	342 690	14.1	6 477	7.3
McDuffie -----	211	1.4	33 785	1.7	160	2.2	217 873	4.7	5 868	3.7
McIntosh -----	33	2.1	8 003	3.6	243	4.2	135 696	8.5	430	9.1
Macon -----	274	1.0	120 839	.9	441	1.4	339 283	4.3	18 792	5.1
Madison -----	605	.9	61 757	1.6	102	1.8	176 996	5.8	15 033	5.5
Marion -----	133	1.4	45 448	1.7	342	2.2	219 764	5.0	5 201	4.9
Meriwether -----	268	1.0	68 729	1.3	256	1.6	312 913	18.1	5 306	9.3
Miller -----	288	1.7	121 588	.8	422	1.9	352 225	3.4	18 091	4.6
Mitchell -----	463	1.7	205 573	.7	444	1.9	411 323	3.8	33 530	6.1
Monroe -----	179	1.1	44 599	2.1	249	2.4	305 501	7.8	5 071	3.7
Montgomery -----	231	1.2	64 901	1.7	281	2.1	162 285	7.1	5 699	4.3
Morgan -----	366	1.1	93 061	1.2	254	1.6	270 945	6.6	13 000	5.3
Murray -----	216	.9	32 950	1.7	153	1.9	220 303	7.4	5 183	15.0
Muscogee -----	44	1.9	4 870	10.3	111	10.5	305 556	11.8	989	7.4
Newton -----	255	.9	45 845	1.6	180	1.8	334 544	10.6	6 420	6.4
Oconee -----	298	.6	51 836	1.3	174	1.4	353 630	4.1	9 877	4.0
Oglethorpe -----	303	.7	55 310	1.2	183	1.3	226 517	5.8	7 659	4.7
Paulding -----	220	.7	18 644	1.6	85	1.8	240 380	14.1	3 254	15.2
Peach -----	157	1.4	44 470	1.3	283	1.9	380 252	3.0	8 169	6.0
Pickens -----	207	.9	18 254	2.6	88	2.7	266 501	14.1	3 638	4.7
Pierce -----	357	1.6	80 905	1.6	227	2.2	234 772	6.9	11 932	12.8
Pike -----	253	1.0	45 450	1.4	180	1.7	239 540	10.8	5 161	10.5
Polk -----	310	1.4	46 014	2.4	148	2.7	251 800	12.8	6 673	14.0
Pulaski -----	137	1.9	80 396	.7	587	2.0	498 782	3.7	10 840	2.4
Putnam -----	166	1.8	34 746	1.6	209	2.4	177 743	4.0	5 949	2.4
Quitman -----	24	.9	11 559	1.5	482	1.7	300 715	5.8	1 068	2.5
Rabun -----	131	1.5	12 733	2.4	97	2.9	260 592	7.8	3 380	3.6
Randolph -----	126	2.0	95 876	.8	761	2.2	598 831	4.8	13 284	1.1
Richmond -----	113	1.6	15 974	3.0	141	3.4	190 292	10.5	2 926	7.3
Rockdale -----	118	1.4	12 836	3.6	109	3.8	254 593	9.0	2 225	8.6
Schley -----	91	1.3	37 923	1.5	417	1.9	287 223	5.2	3 453	3.3
Sc生生 -----	282	1.4	138 803	.8	492	1.6	396 142	9.3	14 464	3.0
Seminole -----	184	1.4	108 967	.7	592	1.6	548 510	2.6	17 403	3.5
Spalding -----	213	.9	24 086	2.9	113	3.1	385 008	9.8	3 462	18.0
Stephens -----	172	1.1	15 521	3.1	90	3.3	136 069	4.4	3 305	2.8
Stewart -----	97	1.8	49 043	1.7	506	2.5	333 372	4.6	6 396	2.1
Sumter -----	314	1.3	169 989	.6	541	1.4	434 211	2.7	21 692	3.3
Talbot -----	127	.9	38 313	1.9	302	2.1	208 314	8.7	2 591	10.6
Taliaferro -----	68	.7	19 314	3.0	284	3.1	206 084	7.7	2 487	2.2
Tattnall -----	539	2.0	119 873	1.2	222	2.3	220 834	7.3	24 151	4.3
Taylor -----	168	1.6	54 356	1.9	324	2.5	230 036	5.8	5 717	11.3
Telfair -----	276	1.8	71 097	1.9	258	2.6	175 743	11.3	7 578	4.8
Terrell -----	199	1.2	142 824	.5	718	1.3	508 941	2.4	14 216	2.3
Thomas -----	465	1.4	174 020	.9	374	1.7	398 321	6.0	17 014	5.8
Tift -----	365	1.9	114 487	.8	314	2.0	403 117	3.5	23 059	2.9
Toombs -----	332	1.7	88 811	1.5	268	2.3	235 027	6.5	12 244	9.7
Towns -----	128	2.0	9 910	4.4	77	4.8	224 938	9.6	2 689	7.7
Treutlen -----	116	1.7	32 800	1.9	283	2.5	241 273	5.0	2 981	4.3
Troup -----	244	1.3	40 783	1.9	167	2.3	143 647	11.1	3 490	8.7
Turner -----	278	1.7	98 824	.9	355	1.9	386 611	4.3	15 784	2.4
Twigg -----	113	2.0	31 161	2.3	276	3.0	170 284	6.1	3 152	7.4
Union -----	261	1.2	21 973	2.4	84	2.7	243 258	7.4	11 025	7.2
Upson -----	175	1.2	32 865	1.7	188	2.1	238 169	7.4	4 186	10.3
Walker -----	527	1.0	88 829	1.4	169	1.7	246 914	12.5	10 378	12.1
Walton -----	434	.7	55 779	1.4	129	1.5	292 592	8.3	7 178	6.5
Ware -----	296	1.5	53 895	2.1	182	2.6	157 121	6.0	6 860	3.1
Warren -----	136	1.8	47 000	1.8	346	2.6	236 992	6.8	3 223	14.9
Washington -----	299	1.1	111 801	1.2	374	1.7	220 984	7.2	11 219	7.8
Wayne -----	283	1.6	54 445	1.7	192	2.3	167 523	8.1	7 108	6.4
Webster -----	80	1.6	53 291	1.1	666	2.0	422 846	3.9	6 208	1.6
Wheeler -----	155	1.5	48 755	1.8	315	2.3	173 699	4.9	6 213	2.5
White -----	297	.8	24 127	2.0	81	2.2	287 097	6.2	7 390	5.4
Whitfield -----	395	1.5	38 691	2.3	98	2.8	200 580	10.2	7 663	12.9
Wilcox -----	292	1.6	115 516	.9	396	1.9	273 399	4.1	15 043	5.8
Wilkes -----	319	1.2	93 078	1.2	292	1.7	278 742	5.6	6 226	5.6
Wilkinson -----	99	1.7	31 838	2.1	322	2.7	142 523	9.3	1 818	14.8
Worth -----	454	1.6	200 061	.6	441	1.8	375 525	3.1	29 360	2.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms	Value		
Georgia -----										
Appling -----	34 904	1.4	3 521 217	.2	86 391	1.2	40 763	1.2	2 867 358	.3
Atkinson -----	24 314	5.2	31 104	.7	58 138	1.7	535	1.7	24 482	2.6
Bacon -----	41 484	7.0	38 247	.4	156 750	1.6	244	1.7	30 852	1.3
Baker -----	27 865	7.2	18 576	1.0	53 225	1.8	349	1.7	14 115	3.4
Baldwin -----	103 642	2.9	23 872	.5	183 631	1.7	130	2.3	18 718	.8
Banks -----	27 537	8.1	2 520	1.8	21 355	2.1	118	2.0	2 412	5.9
Barrow -----	20 373	4.3	60 864	.2	129 774	.7	468	1.0	49 833	.5
Bartow -----	24 567	6.7	40 255	.1	104 287	.8	385	1.1	34 466	.7
Ben Hill -----	28 054	8.0	26 482	.3	67 903	1.0	389	1.2	21 897	1.5
Berrien -----	49 062	3.3	14 243	.8	77 832	1.9	183	2.3	10 696	2.0
Bibb -----	42 773	5.6	34 416	.6	78 937	1.7	435	1.7	24 756	3.2
Bleckley -----	23 545	10.9	3 540	1.2	26 419	1.7	135	2.0	3 213	2.0
Brantley -----	45 926	4.9	13 021	.9	64 780	1.8	202	1.9	11 402	2.0
Brooks -----	21 716	18.2	12 937	.6	56 248	1.6	229	1.7	11 309	3.0
Bryan -----	41 705	5.1	43 553	.3	98 761	1.9	440	1.9	36 569	1.6
Bullock -----	27 589	6.8	1 953	1.1	38 289	3.3	51	6.0	1 679	1.2
Burke -----	49 097	4.5	53 477	.5	95 837	1.6	557	1.8	43 234	2.1
Butts -----	51 071	4.2	29 517	.3	93 704	1.2	315	1.3	23 404	1.5
Calhoun -----	150 452	1.7	31 613	.2	277 309	.8	114	2.2	3 521	2.3
Camden -----	13 684	6.6	357	11.8	7 146	11.9	50	4.9	312	6.6
Candler -----	30 665	8.7	15 743	.6	68 747	1.6	228	2.6	12 691	3.0
Carroll -----	20 896	13.3	46 017	.3	59 685	1.4	770	1.5	39 445	1.4
Catoosa -----	18 240	5.0	17 837	.3	73 705	1.0	243	1.2	13 323	1.5
Charlton -----	29 118	5.4	3 752	.8	42 640	2.0	88	4.2	3 359	.9
Chatham -----	32 194	6.1	2 133	2.5	53 328	2.8	40	4.4	1 503	3.1
Chattahoochee -----	14 631	14.0	332	4.4	20 764	5.0	16	8.2	341	5.0
Chattanooga -----	16 186	8.8	4 420	1.2	17 197	1.7	257	1.5	3 132	5.3
Cherokee -----	17 900	4.3	47 013	.2	99 392	.9	473	1.2	40 455	1.2
Clarke -----	41 471	6.2	17 790	.2	234 082	1.1	76	3.6	14 069	.3
Clay -----	104 276	3.5	10 790	.3	220 213	1.0	49	3.4	8 665	.4
Clayton -----	19 091	8.3	629	2.6	11 233	3.0	56	4.7	625	3.7
Clinch -----	24 665	10.2	3 048	1.9	34 248	2.4	89	2.8	2 099	4.2
Cobb -----	20 917	8.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	136	2.3	4 428	1.5
Coffee -----	42 713	6.8	93 432	.4	131 409	1.9	712	1.9	75 251	.9
Colquitt -----	51 297	3.9	82 703	.3	119 341	1.9	692	2.0	64 644	1.1
Columbia -----	17 598	7.0	2 163	1.2	14 043	1.8	155	2.1	2 248	3.9
Cook -----	43 940	8.9	21 597	.7	81 190	1.7	266	1.8	17 278	4.3
Coweta -----	16 030	10.6	5 254	1.2	15 776	1.6	332	1.4	4 793	4.9
Crawford -----	34 702	5.5	11 546	.4	94 641	1.2	122	2.1	9 898	.5
Crisp -----	74 247	2.4	30 136	.4	151 435	1.3	199	1.7	24 777	.9
Dade -----	17 130	6.6	5 768	.8	30 199	1.7	192	2.1	5 353	1.6
Dawson -----	22 657	4.7	27 755	.2	163 265	1.2	170	1.8	23 101	.3
Decatur -----	66 509	2.8	46 062	.4	134 685	1.4	342	1.4	37 333	2.0
De Kalb -----	14 630	6.4	644	6.4	12 624	6.5	51	4.4	699	6.3
Dodge -----	37 093	9.5	19 096	1.0	48 468	2.3	394	2.2	15 037	4.1
Dooly -----	113 096	3.7	47 532	.2	198 049	1.2	240	2.2	36 446	2.9
Dougherty -----	46 367	3.0	13 068	.3	78 725	1.2	165	2.1	11 389	1.0
Douglas -----	15 502	8.4	1 110	3.6	10 772	3.9	103	2.7	906	2.8
Early -----	73 191	3.5	40 419	.4	128 724	1.5	313	1.5	31 685	1.4
Echols -----	34 753	5.0	5 594	.7	65 809	2.1	85	4.1	3 720	1.1
Effingham -----	22 999	8.5	5 216	1.5	28 658	1.9	181	1.5	4 341	5.3
Elbert -----	21 682	6.0	10 430	.7	33 217	1.4	315	1.5	9 797	2.8
Emanuel -----	32 648	3.6	17 849	.8	46 847	1.8	381	1.6	13 905	3.6
Evans -----	39 885	7.1	12 678	.5	75 467	1.5	167	2.1	10 158	2.9
Fannin -----	24 482	7.0	7 442	.8	42 282	1.7	176	2.2	6 600	2.2
Fayette -----	17 295	16.6	1 913	1.9	9 199	2.0	209	1.1	2 271	10.6
Floyd -----	20 874	6.8	17 672	.5	41 680	1.0	424	1.2	15 350	2.1
Forsyth -----	17 379	4.7	51 986	.2	103 557	.8	502	1.0	44 375	.6
Franklin -----	24 870	4.1	62 142	.1	123 336	1.2	667	1.3	68 458	.4
Fulton -----	20 410	9.1	4 052	1.1	17 241	1.7	235	1.7	3 894	6.2
Gilmer -----	30 460	5.1	43 246	.2	171 611	.9	252	1.1	34 683	.8
Glascock -----	26 055	5.9	1 587	1.7	20 340	2.2	78	4.1	1 489	3.0
Glynn -----	21 438	9.3	258	3.8	6 304	4.5	41	5.6	400	6.2
Gordon -----	24 628	4.8	66 003	.2	122 683	1.3	539	1.4	52 181	.5
Grady -----	50 001	3.4	55 110	.4	105 777	1.2	523	1.3	39 895	1.8
Greene -----	30 857	10.1	16 174	.2	78 138	1.4	206	1.4	13 290	2.4
Gwinnett -----	15 575	7.2	12 606	.4	36 538	1.1	344	1.3	11 097	2.3
Habersham -----	28 515	2.2	91 808	.1	201 775	.8	457	1.0	78 065	.6
Hall -----	31 898	3.3	124 081	.1	180 089	.7	689	.9	97 904	.9
Hancock -----	15 499	13.0	1 319	3.4	12 927	3.9	102	2.8	1 329	6.4
Haralson -----	16 704	7.3	13 778	.4	54 033	1.4	254	1.6	11 768	1.9
Harris -----	15 616	12.5	2 086	1.7	9 524	2.0	218	1.4	2 449	13.9
Hart -----	23 216	6.5	28 233	.4	62 461	1.0	453	1.2	23 127	.8
Heard -----	15 264	7.0	9 394	.6	61 400	1.4	153	1.8	8 145	1.2
Henry -----	22 286	8.6	7 584	.7	21 363	1.1	354	1.1	7 177	5.4
Houston -----	51 394	10.2	18 696	.4	84 217	1.1	224	1.4	16 409	4.8
Irwin -----	55 439	5.0	35 508	.4	101 162	1.3	351	2.6	27 728	3.2
Jackson -----	26 693	7.7	88 411	.2	118 513	.9	747	1.1	72 492	1.2
Jasper -----	30 900	7.3	27 175	.2	135 198	1.0	203	1.3	25 209	2.1

See footnotes at end of table.

C-16 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Average market value of all machinery and equipment per farm ¹		Market value of agricultural products sold		Average market value of agricultural products sold per farm		Farm production expenses ¹			
	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Value (dollars)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total farm production expenses			
							Farms	Value		
Jeff Davis -----	26 297	7.4	13 375	1.0	50 855	1.8	263	1.8	10 485	5.7
Jefferson -----	36 680	6.4	21 378	.6	72 467	1.4	297	1.5	16 930	3.0
Jenkins -----	40 243	6.0	17 970	.4	100 952	1.2	178	1.9	14 076	.9
Johnson -----	25 427	6.4	6 359	1.5	28 390	2.4	224	1.9	4 571	4.5
Jones -----	26 158	5.5	8 658	.5	55 143	1.8	156	2.4	7 646	2.2
Lamar -----	24 523	11.4	10 758	.5	52 997	.9	203	1.2	9 389	2.8
Lanier -----	40 153	3.7	16 254	.4	151 907	1.4	106	2.4	12 170	1.0
Laurens -----	35 911	5.6	24 409	.6	40 750	1.5	599	1.5	19 678	2.8
Lee -----	89 428	1.9	24 634	.4	181 135	1.4	137	1.7	19 029	1.5
Liberty -----	19 555	11.2	285	8.4	5 808	8.6	49	5.1	397	6.7
Lincoln -----	18 688	7.9	3 713	1.2	22 780	2.3	162	2.7	3 323	2.5
Long -----	34 880	5.9	3 607	.8	53 831	1.8	67	4.4	3 207	1.1
Lowndes -----	23 333	8.6	15 144	.9	41 718	1.9	362	1.9	13 836	5.5
Lumpkin -----	28 283	7.4	35 911	.2	156 816	1.1	229	1.4	32 051	2.2
McDuffie -----	27 810	4.3	11 680	.4	55 353	1.5	211	2.2	9 902	.9
McIntosh -----	13 027	10.9	129	3.1	3 913	3.8	33	6.1	137	7.3
Macon -----	68 834	5.3	54 965	.2	200 602	1.1	273	1.2	44 470	.9
Madison -----	24 807	5.6	57 127	.2	94 425	.9	606	1.0	49 257	.7
Marion -----	39 109	5.4	11 744	.5	88 299	1.5	133	2.3	9 839	1.2
Meriwether -----	19 799	9.4	4 608	1.3	17 195	1.7	268	1.3	4 814	4.8
Miller -----	62 815	5.0	33 032	.5	114 696	1.8	288	1.9	23 942	2.9
Mitchell -----	75 180	6.9	84 036	.2	181 503	1.8	463	2.0	67 495	1.1
Monroe -----	28 328	4.1	14 255	.3	79 636	1.1	179	1.8	12 750	1.2
Montgomery -----	24 566	4.5	8 897	.7	38 517	1.4	232	1.3	7 278	3.1
Morgan -----	35 617	5.4	48 797	.2	133 325	1.1	365	1.4	43 089	1.0
Murray -----	23 996	15.1	10 100	.4	46 759	1.0	216	1.2	7 667	3.6
Muscogee -----	22 467	9.3	518	4.5	11 774	4.9	44	5.6	657	5.8
Newton -----	25 178	6.5	10 708	.6	41 991	1.0	255	1.3	9 770	4.5
Oconee -----	33 369	4.1	49 787	.1	167 072	.7	296	.9	43 709	.9
Oglethorpe -----	25 194	4.8	37 361	.1	123 305	.7	304	1.1	30 869	.7
Paulding -----	14 725	15.2	9 248	.3	42 039	.8	221	1.1	8 419	6.6
Peach -----	52 033	6.3	20 874	.3	132 952	1.4	157	2.0	17 682	.5
Pickens -----	17 659	4.9	31 427	.2	151 821	.9	206	1.3	25 628	.4
Pierce -----	33 516	12.9	20 001	.8	56 025	1.8	356	1.7	17 282	6.4
Pike -----	20 318	10.6	5 317	.8	21 015	1.2	254	1.4	4 615	4.6
Polk -----	21 526	14.1	13 023	.5	42 010	1.5	310	1.5	13 508	5.8
Pulaski -----	79 125	3.3	21 576	.4	157 491	1.9	137	2.3	15 582	1.1
Putnam -----	35 836	3.3	19 307	.3	116 308	1.8	166	2.2	15 855	.7
Quitman -----	44 494	5.3	1 733	.5	72 189	1.0	91	3.5	1 348	.8
Rabun -----	25 609	4.3	9 734	.4	74 307	1.6	132	2.3	8 697	.5
Randolph -----	104 597	2.6	22 008	.4	174 664	2.1	127	2.4	17 379	.5
Richmond -----	25 664	7.8	3 088	1.1	27 331	2.0	114	2.6	2 634	3.4
Rockdale -----	19 690	9.0	1 286	1.7	10 897	2.2	117	2.1	1 479	3.3
Schley -----	37 945	4.9	6 353	.9	69 816	1.6	91	3.5	5 400	1.2
Sciven -----	51 474	3.5	21 674	.5	76 860	1.5	281	1.8	17 540	3.1
Seminole -----	94 580	3.9	30 263	.3	164 471	1.4	184	1.7	24 577	1.4
Spalding -----	16 330	18.1	3 709	1.4	17 415	1.7	212	1.1	3 633	12.9
Stephens -----	19 214	3.4	13 629	.3	79 238	1.1	172	1.9	11 473	.5
Stewart -----	65 939	4.3	9 503	.6	97 965	1.9	97	3.7	7 976	.7
Sumter -----	69 304	3.6	44 847	.3	142 825	1.3	314	1.4	35 975	1.4
Talbot -----	20 246	10.9	1 832	.9	14 425	1.2	128	2.5	1 922	4.5
Taliaferro -----	36 580	4.1	3 249	1.2	47 778	1.4	68	3.4	2 855	1.6
Tattnall -----	44 725	4.8	73 149	.2	135 712	2.0	540	2.0	56 609	1.1
Taylor -----	35 077	11.6	13 554	.6	80 679	1.7	167	2.3	11 327	1.5
Telfair -----	27 359	5.2	12 406	1.2	44 951	2.1	277	1.8	11 367	4.3
Terrell -----	72 531	3.0	29 203	.4	146 748	1.3	199	1.6	23 428	.9
Thomas -----	36 590	6.0	29 265	.5	62 935	1.5	465	1.5	24 122	2.5
Tift -----	63 175	3.7	52 189	.4	142 983	1.9	365	2.2	39 707	1.4
Toombs -----	36 878	9.9	17 447	.8	52 551	1.9	332	1.8	13 945	3.4
Towns -----	20 843	8.2	1 779	1.7	13 899	2.6	129	2.8	1 557	3.6
Treutlen -----	25 699	5.0	4 493	1.4	38 730	2.2	116	2.6	3 434	3.3
Troup -----	14 363	8.9	2 978	1.7	12 204	2.1	243	1.6	2 998	8.5
Turner -----	56 775	2.9	34 338	.6	123 519	1.8	278	1.6	25 255	1.9
Twigs -----	27 893	8.0	3 162	2.3	27 979	3.1	113	2.9	2 470	6.9
Union -----	42 242	7.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	261	1.4	20 785	.8
Upson -----	23 920	10.4	8 320	.5	47 545	1.3	175	1.7	7 333	2.9
Walker -----	19 655	12.1	15 957	.5	30 279	1.2	528	1.2	15 169	3.1
Walton -----	16 539	6.6	17 405	.3	40 103	.7	434	.8	15 788	3.8
Ware -----	23 177	3.5	14 277	.7	48 234	1.6	296	1.7	11 452	2.7
Warren -----	23 523	15.1	5 058	.7	37 193	1.9	137	2.3	4 559	1.7
Washington -----	37 646	7.9	12 774	.7	42 722	1.3	298	1.3	9 798	2.2
Wayne -----	25 027	6.7	9 709	1.1	34 309	1.9	284	1.7	7 616	2.1
Webster -----	77 602	4.0	9 508	.7	118 852	1.8	80	3.7	7 835	1.0
Wheeler -----	40 086	3.2	7 057	.7	45 530	1.6	155	2.1	5 526	3.5
White -----	24 881	5.5	45 717	.2	153 928	.9	297	1.3	38 915	.7
Whitfield -----	19 399	13.0	35 124	.3	88 921	1.5	395	1.6	27 907	.9
Wilcox -----	51 517	6.1	25 598	.6	87 664	1.7	292	1.8	19 382	4.7
Wilkes -----	19 518	5.8	15 306	.3	47 980	1.3	319	1.5	13 638	1.9
Wilkinson -----	18 182	15.0	1 224	4.5	12 364	4.8	100	2.7	858	6.5
Worth -----	64 670	3.4	62 269	.3	137 156	1.7	454	1.8	46 799	1.8

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-17

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----	12 547	1.7	317 816	.3	23 992	1.4	909 360	.2	16 472	1.6	84 756	.7
Appling -----	164	15.0	2 044	4.4	264	10.8	8 204	.8	350	6.9	625	7.3
Atkinson -----	103	17.3	3 376	3.7	153	11.3	14 870	1.5	167	10.4	725	11.8
Bacon -----	109	19.8	1 470	1.6	152	14.6	4 579	2.6	170	14.7	308	8.5
Baker -----	20	12.2	512	.5	57	6.8	661	1.6	107	3.7	1 404	1.7
Baldwin -----	39	12.4	239	7.7	90	5.2	972	3.4	46	11.8	27	23.1
Banks -----	243	8.5	7 737	.5	339	6.0	32 567	.5	61	22.5	60	12.4
Barrow -----	188	11.3	8 417	1.4	256	8.9	18 056	.2	53	26.7	18	18.1
Bartow -----	124	17.4	2 738	1.8	242	10.7	11 285	.5	82	25.5	136	14.0
Ben Hill -----	50	13.3	476	12.7	83	8.5	591	6.2	126	5.3	728	3.3
Berrien -----	94	23.2	1 087	6.4	188	14.6	2 117	5.0	337	5.2	1 497	5.6
Bibb -----	35	14.1	307	3.5	78	7.8	1 571	2.0	38	15.2	28	10.0
Bleckley -----	51	20.3	281	22.8	115	11.8	701	5.3	148	8.3	768	4.4
Brantley -----	45	22.7	1 967	.5	134	9.8	5 639	.8	79	16.0	93	27.9
Brooks -----	72	21.1	2 194	3.0	192	13.2	5 243	4.7	252	11.0	1 296	3.6
Bryan -----	12	9.1	156	1.8	27	7.5	(D)	(D)	28	7.6	37	2.7
Bulloch -----	158	17.3	1 247	4.2	270	11.1	4 873	2.2	366	6.9	2 573	4.9
Burke -----	102	17.0	739	6.4	164	10.8	2 243	2.5	218	8.4	1 156	3.5
Butts -----	47	12.4	(D)	(D)	76	7.8	280	5.5	34	16.2	27	16.5
Calhoun -----	18	9.8	468	.6	32	11.6	1 316	.5	94	4.5	1 497	1.1
Camden -----	10	12.1	8	28.4	26	7.0	.27	9.7	19	7.7	(D)	(D)
Candler -----	62	32.4	1 253	5.0	111	21.4	3 797	2.8	102	23.5	397	11.8
Carroll -----	259	10.3	6 433	1.9	545	5.1	21 855	2.0	152	16.5	125	14.0
Catoosa -----	90	17.2	1 683	6.1	166	9.8	7 155	.7	55	22.1	109	4.9
Charlton -----	21	7.7	499	.5	48	5.6	1 759	.6	40	5.9	22	5.2
Chatham -----	5	13.8	5	17.3	20	6.6	.55	9.9	15	6.3	95	2.4
Chattahoochee -----	9	9.6	43	5.0	11	9.9	147	2.1	7	11.1	3	11.1
Chattooga -----	61	27.3	516	14.2	159	11.5	753	3.5	82	23.2	27	15.3
Cherokee -----	170	12.9	10 662	.8	368	4.4	18 184	1.5	30	24.8	346	.2
Clarke -----	21	4.9	(D)	(D)	45	4.4	2 119	.5	27	5.9	91	2.3
Clay -----	16	4.0	143	.3	28	4.6	433	2.5	39	3.0	628	.2
Clayton -----	16	8.1	50	8.5	33	6.0	123	4.5	11	8.5	14	6.0
Clinch -----	15	15.5	120	7.3	43	13.1	514	2.1	33	16.2	24	9.2
Cobb -----	35	13.5	219	8.1	78	7.6	655	2.0	35	14.5	(D)	(D)
Coffee -----	254	11.8	9 426	1.0	372	8.8	24 308	.9	513	5.1	2 360	3.1
Colquitt -----	195	13.5	1 516	3.4	366	8.2	6 165	4.3	459	6.1	3 166	1.6
Columbia -----	39	14.8	98	15.3	93	6.7	284	8.5	38	13.5	72	2.5
Cook -----	58	25.7	177	14.2	107	18.3	554	4.9	189	9.5	870	5.4
Coweta -----	56	26.4	217	34.4	192	10.2	742	7.5	74	24.2	334	2.4
Crawford -----	24	13.8	589	3.6	63	9.8	2 734	.7	54	11.0	272	1.7
Crisp -----	40	18.3	488	7.1	67	12.4	1 724	1.9	131	3.3	2 118	1.3
Dade -----	70	10.3	737	2.8	131	6.2	2 920	1.6	23	19.4	35	3.6
Dawson -----	96	6.9	3 612	.6	131	4.8	13 989	.2	5	5.6	5	.6
Decatur -----	73	20.4	994	12.8	197	10.1	1 660	4.7	183	11.3	2 049	5.5
De Kalb -----	13	8.0	183	10.6	24	6.7	127	6.1	18	6.3	6	8.1
Dodge -----	133	18.6	738	15.0	245	9.4	1 133	5.7	259	8.3	912	9.5
Dooly -----	29	31.8	820	1.2	84	28.5	1 767	1.0	193	10.2	2 393	5.5
Dougherty -----	17	10.8	272	3.6	51	10.3	342	3.0	64	8.5	414	.7
Douglas -----	17	29.6	45	39.3	44	15.7	200	5.3	38	16.5	19	10.2
Early -----	97	19.5	407	14.7	186	9.1	815	6.5	234	8.1	2 368	3.9
Echols -----	16	8.0	34	8.3	29	6.5	.48	5.1	50	5.1	229	1.7
Effingham -----	42	30.3	179	19.2	87	16.8	408	5.1	123	9.6	164	9.8
Elbert -----	76	20.4	480	5.3	197	10.6	3 577	1.4	78	23.2	113	12.0
Emanuel -----	77	24.7	950	27.8	195	11.5	1 422	16.7	200	11.0	596	5.5
Evans -----	33	14.6	819	1.3	86	9.0	3 118	.7	95	6.9	394	3.8
Fannin -----	54	11.5	988	2.6	101	6.9	3 302	1.8	43	14.6	15	14.6
Fayette -----	40	33.1	134	26.2	125	12.0	267	26.0	44	28.3	72	7.3
Floyd -----	148	13.7	1 965	9.2	295	7.0	7 123	.8	75	20.2	172	14.4
Forsyth -----	228	7.8	6 892	.6	359	5.8	25 826	.5	51	24.9	339	1.7
Franklin -----	319	8.5	13 368	.9	459	5.7	41 365	.2	92	19.6	39	16.7
Fulton -----	82	21.0	314	13.9	154	9.6	823	4.7	58	24.7	71	7.6
Gilmer -----	117	13.0	6 134	.7	219	5.5	21 972	.9	80	17.7	69	10.9
Glascock -----	20	7.4	97	3.4	43	5.2	183	5.4	37	5.5	45	3.6
Glynn -----	10	10.9	24	11.2	24	7.2	49	7.9	13	9.6	3	10.0
Gordon -----	206	11.0	10 922	.4	374	6.3	28 411	.3	49	16.9	186	1.2
Grady -----	118	16.3	1 095	5.8	224	10.4	3 960	7.3	315	6.8	1 757	5.8
Greene -----	76	20.4	1 382	3.4	169	8.6	6 510	2.1	44	23.6	129	9.8
Gwinnett -----	126	17.1	1 125	7.0	272	5.2	4 567	1.5	58	26.1	(D)	(D)
Habersham -----	273	7.8	15 819	.8	389	3.6	47 290	.9	39	33.1	23	9.3
Hall -----	342	7.5	24 237	1.1	507	4.7	42 467	1.1	71	25.3	50	8.7
Hancock -----	23	19.8	116	10.5	62	7.9	390	15.2	22	22.9	5	28.6
Haralson -----	72	21.7	1 656	2.7	194	7.7	6 735	1.0	51	20.4	24	11.9
Harris -----	67	24.8	201	35.3	148	12.0	268	18.9	63	26.4	27	34.3
Hart -----	140	13.2	2 855	2.4	312	6.7	12 961	.3	137	13.8	154	23.2
Heard -----	55	10.8	1 189	2.2	121	5.8	4 972	1.2	28	19.3	6	15.3
Henry -----	87	23.3	390	6.6	237	9.3	854	11.3	74	22.2	202	3.0
Houston -----	67	26.2	933	6.2	129	13.7	3 571	1.8	156	7.7	762	10.0
Irwin -----	115	18.9	744	4.7	146	15.7	2 031	5.6	278	6.2	2 337	4.5
Jackson -----	353	7.7	14 776	.9	583	4.1	37 782	1.2	72	19.4	79	3.0
Jasper -----	65	22.2	2 488	12.7	153	8.9	12 652	.8	38	37.4	24	2.7

See footnotes at end of table.

C-18 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Livestock and poultry purchased				Feed for livestock and poultry				Seeds, bulbs, plants, and trees			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	81	18.4	531	5.9	100	14.2	1 752	2.1	201	6.6	367	6.5
Jefferson -----	66	24.1	948	6.5	139	11.0	2 096	1.9	203	10.5	651	2.7
Jenkins -----	52	19.8	1 171	1.0	66	19.1	3 671	.7	110	10.0	479	2.0
Johnson -----	53	26.7	428	37.2	113	12.0	560	10.8	133	11.1	229	5.4
Jones -----	40	13.5	970	6.4	105	6.1	3 289	1.1	42	13.2	142	2.1
Lamar -----	67	21.0	1 171	6.9	150	10.0	3 944	2.1	22	28.4	52	3.6
Lanier -----	27	14.1	204	6.8	35	13.3	771	1.7	81	5.4	576	1.4
Laurens -----	88	18.1	815	7.5	255	10.4	2 344	9.8	365	6.9	1 251	4.8
Lee -----	21	14.8	422	1.1	70	10.2	1 952	2.1	91	5.9	897	7.4
Liberty -----	14	9.1	14	10.2	33	6.0	90	13.7	20	7.8	5	5.4
Lincoln -----	51	12.9	369	3.3	123	6.0	1 404	2.4	32	18.6	20	26.3
Long -----	17	7.3	401	.9	32	5.9	1 650	.5	33	6.5	22	4.3
Lowndes -----	87	27.5	421	28.9	200	11.6	704	26.5	209	12.8	394	9.1
Lumpkin -----	132	11.5	9 924	.4	168	8.3	14 306	2.3	59	24.4	72	16.6
McDuffie -----	72	8.9	302	11.4	130	5.7	799	2.7	55	10.3	460	.4
McIntosh -----	1	47.8	(D)	(D)	19	8.5	14	11.7	5	13.6	(D)	(D)
Macon -----	86	11.5	3 480	.8	137	8.8	16 526	.6	217	6.4	856	2.7
Madison -----	241	8.8	7 351	1.5	439	5.7	31 326	.2	103	17.2	125	19.0
Marion -----	40	12.6	980	1.0	81	6.7	4 370	.7	65	8.1	223	6.5
Meriwether -----	94	16.4	321	20.1	187	7.9	1 294	3.6	47	27.4	38	8.1
Miller -----	122	16.6	891	12.9	175	10.1	1 113	10.6	194	9.8	1 602	1.9
Mitchell -----	123	17.1	3 763	2.0	219	12.1	7 629	1.4	293	8.4	3 040	2.6
Monroe -----	58	8.7	2 742	2.0	130	5.3	5 977	.8	32	15.7	35	2.8
Montgomery -----	43	35.5	710	2.6	94	21.1	995	2.2	106	18.6	191	7.4
Morgan -----	157	14.5	3 404	1.0	289	6.6	23 209	.3	109	17.4	166	6.1
Murray -----	77	19.1	989	2.6	103	17.3	3 894	2.1	54	25.6	61	16.7
Muscogee -----	6	14.6	35	20.8	28	6.9	77	9.2	6	15.1	8	4.1
Newton -----	88	19.7	2 119	3.9	185	9.1	1 712	1.2	50	28.0	(D)	(D)
Oconee -----	102	12.8	6 515	.6	168	11.1	22 401	.2	62	15.4	483	1.8
Oglethorpe -----	130	14.8	4 079	2.6	231	7.7	18 780	.1	36	26.5	81	.4
Paulding -----	67	23.2	1 120	6.0	144	12.2	5 040	6.1	24	34.7	4	22.8
Peach -----	38	14.4	456	2.1	66	10.1	1 608	1.3	64	7.1	459	1.5
Pickens -----	79	13.0	5 224	.7	137	11.5	15 408	.2	4	10.1	(D)	(D)
Pierce -----	48	28.2	956	1.0	83	17.6	3 192	.4	244	8.4	692	12.7
Pike -----	48	26.5	360	9.8	169	10.2	998	4.7	65	23.9	38	15.0
Polk -----	139	14.1	1 783	12.7	216	8.7	6 236	1.2	60	27.0	237	77.2
Pulaski -----	17	26.8	123	11.3	45	17.1	158	11.0	84	7.0	1 387	1.5
Putnam -----	67	8.6	1 623	2.9	143	3.6	7 622	.3	19	12.6	34	9.5
Quitman -----	11	6.9	25	12.3	15	6.3	72	2.2	11	5.0	119	.5
Rabun -----	48	12.3	582	3.1	75	8.6	3 062	.5	36	15.7	268	3.6
Randolph -----	31	12.8	445	2.8	53	10.4	896	2.9	94	6.0	1 159	1.2
Richmond -----	33	14.3	59	10.8	56	9.7	371	6.3	48	9.6	92	4.3
Rockdale -----	27	15.6	169	3.4	75	5.7	358	6.0	25	16.6	11	9.0
Schley -----	12	7.8	97	2.6	49	4.4	1 767	.2	50	4.5	280	2.5
Sciven -----	73	28.8	410	11.1	140	17.9	2 085	9.0	177	11.7	1 008	5.1
Seminole -----	42	21.9	709	1.1	82	16.2	741	7.8	143	8.8	1 534	2.5
Spalding -----	54	23.1	142	23.3	142	8.9	1 021	2.7	37	39.6	37	24.4
Stephens -----	64	8.3	1 725	1.2	133	4.5	6 973	.3	16	16.1	(D)	(D)
Stewart -----	28	6.1	838	.6	55	4.7	1 189	.4	58	4.2	374	1.3
Sumter -----	62	25.8	1 465	1.2	117	16.2	2 708	12.8	181	10.4	2 354	5.1
Talbot -----	28	16.9	149	9.8	89	5.9	657	2.9	21	18.8	5	14.4
Taliaferro -----	20	5.1	298	2.0	43	4.1	1 160	.6	10	8.8	6	10.6
Tattnall -----	168	10.6	9 969	.9	229	10.1	19 758	.7	388	5.8	1 093	7.3
Taylor -----	42	13.2	1 165	6.0	78	9.4	3 733	.5	84	6.3	303	3.4
Telfair -----	79	20.8	883	6.3	180	7.9	2 927	6.2	186	9.3	505	6.9
Terrell -----	34	13.7	196	12.1	70	7.9	582	10.1	141	4.2	2 114	1.5
Thomas -----	99	19.6	548	11.6	168	12.0	1 724	4.9	222	8.1	1 183	3.8
Tift -----	90	19.2	650	4.3	175	12.2	1 759	5.1	278	7.0	2 541	3.7
Toombs -----	79	22.1	458	5.9	152	14.0	1 462	3.6	217	9.7	658	6.2
Towns -----	19	20.9	179	7.4	55	9.4	562	.9	33	17.9	11	8.1
Treutlen -----	19	25.7	60	17.9	56	11.0	162	10.7	59	9.6	123	3.0
Troup -----	67	29.8	412	40.5	165	13.0	425	8.5	48	33.3	27	13.3
Turner -----	65	22.0	1 179	8.2	136	12.9	876	7.7	197	6.9	2 128	4.3
Twiggs -----	33	14.9	184	25.5	81	8.1	328	11.7	62	10.7	129	9.1
Union -----	81	19.7	1 173	3.1	157	11.2	(D)	(D)	76	21.4	(D)	(D)
Upson -----	60	19.2	993	5.5	123	10.0	3 644	.7	36	30.0	16	33.7
Walker -----	192	13.5	2 096	4.9	382	5.9	7 066	4.5	66	24.9	73	27.9
Walton -----	141	13.4	2 276	4.4	289	6.5	7 333	5.0	55	23.5	344	1.6
Ware -----	81	17.7	1 118	15.3	176	9.1	3 630	.8	132	10.8	293	5.5
Warren -----	38	12.5	439	3.1	104	5.2	1 610	1.3	37	15.2	(D)	(D)
Washington -----	79	27.4	358	7.1	176	5.3	1 134	5.6	212	10.2	452	4.9
Wayne -----	29	32.6	335	3.7	94	21.2	626	8.5	149	10.2	316	8.2
Webster -----	26	5.2	152	8.4	38	4.9	380	2.9	57	3.8	645	.9
Wheeler -----	63	9.2	227	9.7	87	7.4	462	7.9	108	5.6	186	13.2
White -----	158	6.0	5 401	1.8	212	6.7	24 646	.3	61	15.1	24	13.4
Whitfield -----	144	15.3	11 610	1.2	300	7.0	10 324	.4	48	26.8	28	14.2
Wilcox -----	72	18.9	371	12.9	176	11.1	635	5.5	190	10.8	1 611	8.5
Wilkes -----	69	20.7	1 317	3.1	239	7.6	5 730	.8	72	21.7	95	12.9
Wilkinson -----	14	30.7	54	44.6	57	10.1	96	16.9	31	16.7	26	28.5
Worth -----	112	19.0	1 605	10.3	167	14.7	2 249	2.6	309	6.5	3 777	1.8

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-19

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----												
Appling -----	26 917	1.4	191 665	.8	17 101	1.6	148 906	.6	38 041	1.2	125 189	.6
Atkinson -----	432	4.8	2 321	10.4	260	9.2	1 047	6.7	486	3.5	1 307	5.3
Bacon -----	194	5.7	1 694	6.1	152	10.3	1 135	9.9	234	3.0	1 369	2.3
Baker -----	282	6.4	1 059	10.1	202	11.0	473	8.3	330	3.9	821	13.9
Baldwin -----	112	3.3	2 410	1.7	99	4.3	2 530	1.2	121	2.8	1 079	1.7
Banks -----	83	5.7	195	19.1	35	11.9	25	34.0	108	2.7	161	7.7
Barrow -----	151	13.6	159	16.0	73	16.8	37	444	2.3	1 041	2.8	
Bartow -----	174	13.4	288	23.0	105	15.5	84	32.1	362	4.0	688	3.2
Ben Hill -----	217	11.3	703	8.7	84	23.7	475	17.0	372	2.8	608	3.8
Berrien -----	143	4.2	1 350	5.0	138	4.5	1 470	1.4	170	3.0	593	2.6
Bibb -----	399	3.7	2 887	4.6	305	6.4	2 552	6.9	410	3.1	2 302	5.2
Bleckley -----	74	9.2	157	11.9	37	14.0	59	13.9	117	4.8	140	3.9
Brantley -----	175	5.5	1 967	5.5	126	9.9	1 335	1.7	188	4.4	754	4.1
Brooks -----	165	8.1	402	15.2	109	13.1	194	18.6	223	3.0	396	12.1
Bryan -----	334	8.8	4 134	4.4	268	11.6	3 819	3.3	359	7.8	2 263	3.9
Bullock -----	37	7.2	149	3.3	22	8.2	79	2.3	45	6.4	79	2.4
Burke -----	489	4.2	5 794	5.8	405	6.3	4 398	1.7	522	3.0	2 695	2.7
Butts -----	277	4.9	4 013	2.6	190	9.9	2 352	6.4	315	1.3	1 613	3.1
Calhoun -----	101	6.0	268	5.2	37	16.0	25	31.8	129	3.7	149	5.1
Camden -----	106	2.7	2 790	.8	93	3.7	3 199	1.4	108	2.1	1 295	.9
Candler -----	36	5.6	37	6.3	20	6.5	5	9.9	46	5.0	28	7.5
Carroll -----	147	15.1	928	9.5	117	20.3	634	5.6	212	6.5	735	8.4
Catoosa -----	375	8.1	774	11.4	181	13.9	223	8.2	748	2.0	1 066	2.7
Charlton -----	128	12.3	212	8.1	55	22.1	76	15.8	236	2.8	357	9.0
Chatham -----	60	4.8	118	5.8	27	6.5	38	7.9	82	4.3	92	3.2
Chattahoochee -----	30	4.4	72	2.8	26	4.2	27	4.1	38	4.5	56	3.5
Chattooga -----	12	8.7	19	17.2	7	11.1	7	18.3	16	8.2	22	5.8
Cherokee -----	129	15.2	214	17.4	30	40.8	22	23.7	224	4.9	151	9.5
Clarke -----	197	11.3	181	29.0	142	15.8	66	26.5	426	3.4	875	4.2
Clay -----	46	4.6	187	1.9	48	4.1	71	4.1	76	3.6	284	.9
Clayton -----	39	3.0	886	.4	37	3.4	1 350	.2	46	3.5	632	.4
Clinch -----	27	6.2	72	7.3	20	7.2	11	6.1	52	4.8	36	4.2
Cobb -----	56	7.9	159	16.0	44	9.7	89	8.7	89	2.8	185	11.2
Coffee -----	69	8.0	156	10.2	30	13.1	40	.9	125	3.1	275	2.4
Colquitt -----	589	4.3	5 122	3.5	472	6.7	3 065	3.0	669	3.0	3 345	2.0
Columbia -----	575	4.0	6 270	1.9	474	6.6	7 947	1.4	659	3.0	4 206	1.3
Cook -----	103	6.5	212	10.4	44	11.0	48	26.3	146	2.6	181	6.0
Coweta -----	232	6.8	2 286	12.2	221	5.5	2 295	6.8	265	1.8	1 159	4.0
Crawford -----	212	8.4	443	11.7	41	26.6	47	12.3	307	3.2	374	9.3
Dodge -----	78	6.4	562	3.2	40	11.7	494	1.0	112	3.3	336	4.7
Dougherty -----	325	5.1	2 242	6.3	192	7.4	1 657	6.9	347	3.3	1 066	7.5
Dooly -----	220	6.3	4 434	3.2	188	11.8	6 029	4.5	227	5.6	2 371	5.5
Douglas -----	104	7.2	1 297	.9	76	7.6	1 883	1.3	141	4.6	671	1.9
Early -----	69	9.1	70	12.4	26	20.1	18	38.7	92	5.7	78	6.8
Echols -----	298	3.8	4 215	3.6	256	6.8	4 134	2.9	312	1.5	2 143	2.6
Effingham -----	67	4.5	579	1.3	49	4.9	483	.7	81	4.2	376	1.3
Elbert -----	153	7.9	799	11.3	90	11.5	213	8.3	162	5.7	305	16.6
Emanuel -----	215	9.7	670	9.1	86	19.6	278	7.5	310	2.1	438	6.2
Evans -----	279	6.9	2 263	8.6	207	10.3	1 473	4.7	346	3.8	1 038	5.7
Fannin -----	109	5.4	781	7.8	87	7.3	353	4.5	157	2.8	475	2.1
Fayette -----	103	7.0	191	5.4	36	15.4	61	6.1	171	2.7	213	8.3
Floyd -----	114	14.1	179	31.3	58	25.0	29	43.8	199	3.4	161	21.3
Forsyth -----	214	10.9	751	9.2	107	18.2	344	5.2	418	1.8	504	6.3
Franklin -----	169	12.0	242	20.3	94	15.7	55	9.5	489	1.7	992	2.1
Fulton -----	294	9.7	528	14.8	159	14.7	108	37.4	640	1.8	1 423	2.2
Gilmer -----	142	12.0	314	16.8	48	26.9	37	45.9	224	3.5	219	11.3
Glascock -----	148	9.7	116	9.1	96	15.2	254	9.3	235	4.1	683	.6
Glynn -----	50	5.0	274	4.7	30	6.3	56	2.9	71	4.4	118	3.3
Gordon -----	28	6.9	35	11.4	21	7.0	12	6.1	39	5.7	20	5.6
Gwinnett -----	250	11.6	901	15.4	149	12.7	344	1.3	461	3.0	984	3.1
Grady -----	297	6.1	4 596	6.1	297	8.8	2 541	5.3	488	2.9	1 871	2.3
Greene -----	114	14.4	484	10.6	46	28.7	80	16.0	205	1.4	456	2.8
Habersham -----	205	10.3	286	36.1	83	20.0	27	13.0	313	4.0	331	5.9
Hall -----	105	20.3	135	13.5	109	16.8	63	3.3	406	3.1	1 749	1.6
Hancock -----	205	11.7	463	6.2	171	13.7	153	3.6	606	3.2	2 036	3.1
Haralson -----	59	11.0	97	7.5	15	26.9	2	23.2	95	4.1	75	9.0
Harris -----	179	8.9	286	20.6	75	20.0	41	16.4	254	1.6	326	6.4
Hart -----	175	8.3	468	42.5	62	27.7	35	31.1	210	3.8	178	13.1
Heard -----	315	6.7	980	5.5	148	14.3	146	10.7	423	2.3	624	5.2
Henry -----	86	9.6	134	11.8	38	19.9	37	8.1	150	2.1	197	5.6
Houston -----	252	9.2	566	22.5	77	15.1	210	9.7	323	4.7	407	6.5
Irwin -----	164	9.1	2 058	10.2	129	11.8	1 408	2.3	207	4.0	801	14.6
Jackson -----	332	3.1	3 515	4.3	286	5.7	2 988	5.6	341	3.0	1 874	4.3
Jasper -----	305	9.2	502	15.5	245	11.3	160	26.2	709	2.0	1 611	2.5
Jasper -----	100	16.9	253	15.2	53	18.8	20	4.8	197	2.1	439	8.2

See footnotes at end of table.

C-20 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Commercial fertilizer				Agricultural chemicals				Petroleum products			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	221	5.8	1 364	9.7	200	6.7	697	6.1	261	1.8	857	9.2
Jefferson -----	239	7.8	2 520	3.6	119	14.7	1 458	2.6	279	4.2	1 058	6.8
Jenkins -----	149	7.4	1 455	2.3	110	13.0	853	1.5	159	5.9	758	2.2
Johnson -----	159	9.1	930	6.6	102	15.5	285	13.0	211	4.0	299	6.8
Jones -----	104	6.3	406	5.5	35	14.8	44	10.9	152	2.8	267	3.0
Lamar -----	80	18.8	583	13.1	14	1.1	201	.3	188	4.9	317	7.2
Lanier -----	102	2.4	1 243	3.2	82	5.8	872	3.8	101	3.1	717	2.3
Laurens -----	439	5.7	2 394	4.6	289	8.7	1 390	2.6	556	2.8	1 287	3.3
Lee -----	115	4.0	1 934	1.7	80	8.2	2 115	1.9	130	3.0	968	3.5
Liberty -----	24	7.3	17	10.9	12	9.8	4	20.6	45	5.2	29	7.0
Lincoln -----	96	7.8	188	9.8	32	20.8	9	24.0	140	4.6	154	7.4
Long -----	47	5.5	193	5.7	28	5.9	62	2.3	65	4.5	107	2.8
Lowndes -----	282	7.9	1 583	6.8	232	9.2	1 506	3.6	341	4.5	963	5.4
Lumpkin -----	113	13.3	229	26.3	53	16.1	55	43.2	218	2.7	645	4.4
McDuffie -----	162	4.0	889	2.4	39	13.1	208	3.5	191	2.8	298	2.5
McIntosh -----	18	7.8	8	8.3	10	8.9	2	10.4	32	6.1	9	6.2
Macon -----	211	4.8	2 184	2.9	183	6.7	1 592	1.4	271	1.2	1 460	1.7
Madison -----	264	9.6	524	16.0	179	9.5	104	23.1	569	1.9	1 128	2.0
Marion -----	90	4.7	483	6.6	53	10.6	363	6.8	130	2.5	433	3.1
Meriwether -----	198	7.4	586	8.9	37	23.2	62	5.1	260	3.0	301	9.8
Miller -----	209	9.2	3 162	6.3	174	11.3	2 641	3.0	273	4.3	1 825	4.8
Mitchell -----	390	5.6	7 432	2.5	279	7.3	7 713	1.2	422	4.1	3 612	3.7
Monroe -----	95	5.9	362	5.3	46	14.3	51	6.6	164	2.7	383	3.6
Montgomery -----	153	12.2	799	9.2	109	16.8	428	2.2	231	1.3	530	2.6
Morgan -----	229	8.0	971	4.3	132	17.7	241	22.0	358	1.4	949	5.5
Murray -----	91	19.5	273	17.2	55	28.5	130	13.1	197	5.5	243	5.6
Muscogee -----	22	7.8	35	13.9	8	11.2	8	15.7	36	6.3	44	10.1
Newton -----	193	8.1	543	7.3	82	21.7	87	38.7	239	3.9	299	5.9
Oconee -----	146	9.6	325	3.3	121	11.8	235	12.4	269	4.6	879	2.3
Oglethorpe -----	135	11.6	311	14.2	65	23.3	49	14.6	275	3.3	655	4.2
Paulding -----	113	16.2	143	20.0	7	—	14	—	215	2.6	348	19.2
Peach -----	114	5.3	1 493	1.2	76	8.8	1 533	1.6	134	4.0	702	2.2
Pickens -----	44	26.7	25	46.3	27	34.7	14	64.8	180	6.7	416	2.3
Pierce -----	302	3.8	1 881	8.3	279	6.0	1 212	25.6	330	3.5	1 136	11.3
Pike -----	151	10.8	447	13.5	59	25.7	84	26.2	228	5.1	269	7.4
Polk -----	153	13.6	795	26.7	119	17.1	648	54.6	302	2.8	458	8.1
Pulaski -----	113	5.2	2 116	1.6	93	7.2	2 684	1.1	132	3.3	1 041	2.0
Putnam -----	80	7.4	454	4.7	19	20.8	50	10.6	150	2.8	405	3.8
Quitman -----	20	5.0	152	.7	7	6.1	153	.3	23	4.9	71	2.2
Rabun -----	83	9.0	299	2.8	50	13.2	263	1.7	123	3.0	538	.7
Randolph -----	101	4.8	2 211	.7	73	5.4	2 320	.4	113	4.0	1 435	.7
Richmond -----	76	7.3	278	8.0	34	13.1	124	3.8	109	3.6	176	3.9
Rockdale -----	76	5.9	121	13.3	39	11.5	19	15.7	111	2.7	69	3.9
Schley -----	75	4.0	439	2.4	47	4.7	411	2.1	84	3.7	281	1.7
Sciven -----	206	10.0	2 818	9.1	169	15.7	1 233	1.3	256	6.0	1 112	3.8
Seminole -----	141	9.5	2 873	2.5	131	9.6	4 342	1.6	184	1.7	2 259	1.3
Spalding -----	144	11.1	291	42.1	71	27.2	59	30.0	181	7.1	224	22.4
Stephens -----	89	7.4	128	3.4	41	14.9	17	12.1	157	3.5	242	2.5
Stewart -----	77	4.0	618	1.5	59	4.4	782	.9	91	3.8	404	1.2
Sumter -----	265	4.2	3 919	2.1	216	8.0	3 915	3.4	295	3.2	2 602	1.7
Talbot -----	68	7.5	241	10.5	21	19.9	21	61.0	115	3.2	85	11.6
Taliaferro -----	43	4.0	216	2.8	20	4.7	40	16.0	68	3.4	99	2.8
Tattnall -----	440	4.4	3 227	6.0	340	7.1	1 879	3.9	489	3.9	2 391	2.8
Taylor -----	116	5.2	1 037	3.6	75	10.1	441	2.5	150	3.9	445	3.5
Telfair -----	190	9.9	1 148	9.2	122	13.0	732	10.1	270	2.3	770	7.0
Terrell -----	167	3.4	2 716	1.3	152	3.8	3 573	2.0	187	2.3	1 640	1.7
Thomas -----	373	6.8	3 867	4.9	285	9.1	2 950	3.4	405	4.9	1 612	2.7
Tift -----	319	5.2	3 585	2.0	287	6.6	4 219	2.6	346	3.5	2 234	2.0
Toombs -----	281	5.4	2 239	12.2	175	11.8	1 031	3.1	314	3.8	1 040	4.0
Towns -----	92	6.3	150	18.5	28	19.7	9	14.3	119	3.4	56	7.4
Treutlen -----	83	6.7	466	6.2	44	12.4	344	7.1	93	5.7	234	3.3
Troup -----	217	6.3	436	11.6	30	39.5	23	32.5	232	4.6	165	9.7
Turner -----	220	4.3	2 828	3.3	218	4.8	3 030	3.2	250	3.8	1 660	2.7
Twiggs -----	78	8.7	400	14.4	32	16.1	119	14.0	103	5.2	200	6.2
Union -----	181	8.8	339	11.8	62	23.3	57	15.4	249	3.2	425	4.4
Upson -----	118	10.4	254	16.9	17	39.9	44	5.2	163	3.6	265	9.7
Walker -----	311	7.4	734	15.5	90	21.8	80	34.8	459	4.4	525	11.4
Walton -----	242	8.6	530	11.9	163	13.2	174	28.7	412	2.5	483	5.1
Ware -----	245	5.2	872	5.1	132	12.0	521	6.8	271	4.3	713	9.3
Warren -----	88	5.3	388	3.8	23	22.4	25	5.7	126	2.7	178	8.2
Washington -----	234	8.8	1 743	5.8	146	15.2	848	2.0	276	5.1	645	3.2
Wayne -----	193	9.0	1 144	5.4	123	15.0	547	4.4	248	5.8	635	3.0
Webster -----	65	3.7	827	1.5	56	3.5	846	.8	78	3.7	660	.9
Wheeler -----	134	3.9	697	5.5	65	10.0	492	2.6	148	2.9	347	5.1
White -----	103	13.0	111	16.7	76	14.3	46	21.8	284	1.3	845	1.3
Whitfield -----	142	17.0	140	17.2	27	35.8	16	2.3	385	2.5	586	2.7
Wilcox -----	243	7.2	2 577	4.6	201	9.5	2 498	4.0	275	3.6	1 387	3.6
Wilkes -----	217	7.8	822	5.5	58	22.6	115	14.2	310	2.7	616	4.1
Wilkinson -----	81	6.3	178	9.4	35	17.2	20	32.3	92	4.9	67	13.5
Worth -----	377	5.7	6 656	2.8	295	6.0	6 210	2.7	404	3.9	3 102	1.8

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-21

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----												
Appling -----	22 967	1.3	48 141	.5	13 720	1.5	252 721	.3	4 859	2.6	35 626	1.0
Atkinson -----	334	8.7	438	6.9	167	14.2	1 829	2.3	52	28.3	384	6.1
Bacon -----	174	6.1	417	1.8	80	7.3	1 332	.2	41	22.6	278	9.2
Baker -----	261	7.4	349	14.2	149	12.9	1 275	11.4	75	26.3	285	34.5
Baldwin -----	102	4.2	245	2.0	65	5.5	1 780	.4	15	18.4	135	8.3
Banks -----	47	9.7	44	7.5	36	11.8	38	11.9	18	19.0	14	20.7
Barrow -----	258	7.7	923	.9	136	14.8	566	3.4	62	22.9	148	6.1
Bartow -----	184	10.0	598	4.6	128	12.5	1 080	1.8	36	25.7	49	10.5
Ben Hill -----	154	12.7	218	2.5	105	19.2	1 139	1.4	38	37.2	59	13.9
Berrien -----	95	7.3	162	5.2	78	8.8	863	.9	19	20.5	78	17.5
Bibb -----	289	8.0	497	3.1	223	9.8	2 725	4.1	90	26.5	574	19.1
Bleckley -----	66	10.7	67	8.4	32	15.6	183	2.1	5	36.0	5	3.7
Brantley -----	146	9.1	196	3.7	56	12.8	1 043	.3	17	36.5	190	1.0
Brooks -----	106	13.1	156	8.1	97	14.3	474	8.7	6	9.8	102	7.9
Bryan -----	268	11.6	716	4.7	193	13.8	4 031	2.2	69	22.3	1 031	6.7
Bulloch -----	27	6.8	25	3.5	18	7.6	74	2.8	4	10.6	(D)	(D)
Burke -----	382	6.7	541	2.8	269	9.7	4 620	1.3	71	22.2	1 287	.7
Butts -----	183	9.3	327	4.6	114	11.0	2 559	1.9	26	38.2	229	2.6
Calhoun -----	59	10.6	31	8.6	31	13.8	132	3.8	24	17.7	23	16.6
Camden -----	100	3.5	316	1.5	68	5.3	2 917	.5	24	11.5	148	.9
Camden -----	22	6.6	8	6.1	11	10.1	12	22.3	1	38.1	(D)	(D)
Candler -----	109	21.3	266	2.9	100	23.9	1 096	2.2	17	—	519	—
Carroll -----	310	8.9	423	3.6	174	13.4	1 254	3.6	59	20.0	118	6.9
Catoosa -----	107	14.4	179	3.5	72	19.3	939	5.5	24	31.6	46	6.1
Charlton -----	32	5.5	38	2.0	24	6.0	253	1.2	4	8.5	3	14.8
Chatham -----	26	5.1	36	2.0	21	4.5	565	3.0	1	—	(D)	(D)
Chattahoochee -----	7	9.7	8	5.0	4	16.1	6	28.0	4	14.5	8	8.0
Chattooga -----	100	18.2	59	11.9	51	27.6	198	4.1	10	63.4	9	69.3
Cherokee -----	238	8.9	550	3.8	124	15.8	3 069	2.5	46	25.7	163	2.0
Clarke -----	43	3.8	335	.5	30	4.5	2 264	.3	11	8.5	27	10.9
Clay -----	31	2.9	98	.3	32	2.0	943	.2	6	—	23	—
Clayton -----	19	7.0	13	6.2	14	6.7	62	7.3	2	32.6	(D)	(D)
Clinch -----	47	5.6	57	11.3	44	10.4	236	4.5	10	30.8	48	47.8
Cobb -----	72	8.7	79	2.2	29	16.1	1 280	2.4	11	28.7	2	37.6
Coffee -----	508	5.9	1 463	2.6	313	8.9	8 409	2.6	104	18.8	1 002	5.8
Colquitt -----	461	6.8	1 128	2.4	310	9.5	10 886	1.1	109	6.7	2 421	.3
Columbia -----	66	9.3	51	4.5	44	13.3	368	3.4	11	32.6	8	29.7
Cook -----	195	10.7	334	5.1	141	12.1	2 261	5.5	65	26.0	656	3.8
Coweta -----	161	12.4	113	6.7	83	20.4	673	4.4	21	44.9	48	33.8
Crawford -----	68	7.2	162	2.7	38	11.6	2 180	.2	18	9.2	43	3.3
Crisp -----	126	6.9	321	1.6	103	6.4	2 942	.4	40	12.6	324	3.7
Dade -----	81	10.0	71	3.6	56	13.2	110	16.9	17	25.2	22	31.9
Dawson -----	105	6.8	358	.8	46	8.8	1 246	.4	29	20.2	45	18.3
Decatur -----	248	5.4	524	2.5	163	11.2	4 830	2.5	77	21.4	1 486	7.0
De Kalb -----	28	5.5	16	8.3	13	8.3	62	7.1	9	9.8	10	12.9
Dodge -----	292	6.0	306	5.2	122	17.0	1 325	2.8	24	27.9	59	13.2
Dooly -----	212	6.5	398	3.1	143	15.6	3 406	1.8	62	29.5	546	.9
Dougherty -----	86	7.2	303	3.1	55	8.9	1 660	1.7	18	27.2	179	4.1
Douglas -----	41	15.6	19	7.9	10	19.0	83	2.6	8	39.5	9	15.5
Early -----	229	8.7	346	4.0	185	11.6	2 900	1.8	63	26.6	333	12.6
Echols -----	50	4.8	75	1.2	50	4.7	711	.6	14	8.2	149	3.5
Effingham -----	98	15.2	74	13.0	72	20.6	662	8.3	18	33.1	53	20.8
Elbert -----	121	16.7	139	6.0	109	19.0	780	2.6	15	63.5	74	49.0
Emanuel -----	173	11.8	228	5.0	91	15.6	1 111	4.8	30	31.2	289	1.7
Evans -----	89	5.7	168	3.2	59	8.0	1 013	4.0	24	15.8	259	6.9
Fannin -----	65	9.7	103	4.8	37	14.7	305	1.9	16	25.0	17	6.7
Fayette -----	108	14.6	43	17.5	49	25.1	263	6.4	14	62.8	11	50.6
Floyd -----	244	8.9	150	6.4	94	18.1	380	7.1	61	23.9	81	32.3
Forsyth -----	270	7.2	644	2.7	166	10.9	2 067	3.1	52	14.7	313	1.3
Franklin -----	383	6.9	1 260	.6	160	13.5	857	8.6	69	20.7	208	12.2
Fulton -----	148	11.3	65	12.4	67	21.0	486	6.5	32	32.9	38	12.0
Gilmer -----	147	9.4	393	2.0	78	11.4	581	1.9	27	19.8	253	4.7
Glascock -----	47	5.2	22	4.0	12	7.4	67	1.6	—	—	—	—
Glynn -----	19	7.1	11	11.8	6	8.5	33	1.9	3	15.1	11	20.2
Gordon -----	330	8.4	643	2.5	121	13.1	1 982	.3	52	25.3	187	8.4
Grady -----	297	7.0	851	3.1	163	12.0	9 990	.9	95	18.2	850	13.5
Greene -----	123	13.4	275	3.7	83	17.2	997	2.8	29	35.8	51	15.1
Gwinnett -----	132	15.9	155	5.8	45	24.1	992	1.7	58	29.1	192	12.6
Habersham -----	351	4.9	1 436	.4	106	11.7	2 868	1.0	72	19.8	196	4.9
Hall -----	377	7.0	1 828	2.2	188	11.2	8 081	.2	109	20.3	919	3.8
Hancock -----	50	11.1	36	14.6	24	20.1	78	2.5	23	21.9	17	19.4
Haralson -----	89	16.5	110	3.5	59	20.8	242	4.9	16	48.1	19	19.7
Harris -----	70	20.3	41	25.7	76	23.3	175	15.3	34	41.4	24	47.9
Hart -----	235	9.7	302	1.7	128	13.2	941	1.7	32	33.8	35	14.4
Heard -----	80	9.5	74	3.7	52	14.7	159	11.0	18	19.9	26	10.9
Henry -----	150	14.0	165	5.6	73	18.5	1 095	1.4	7	1.7	26	(L)
Houston -----	162	9.0	240	5.1	118	15.9	1 250	2.9	14	—	54	—
Irwin -----	238	10.3	349	6.3	132	7.3	2 100	.1	30	20.6	302	.3
Jackson -----	497	5.8	1 294	1.5	233	9.8	4 387	4.9	124	17.1	200	9.9
Jasper -----	103	14.2	257	3.8	47	21.6	1 907	1.6	22	45.9	56	34.8

See footnotes at end of table.

C-22 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Electricity				Hired farm labor				Contract labor			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	160	10.7	230	8.5	90	18.3	1 427	19.9	16	57.7	152	77.4
Jefferson -----	192	11.5	263	8.8	131	16.2	1 815	2.3	35	42.0	109	23.2
Jenkins -----	125	8.8	280	3.1	59	15.0	1 362	.5	18	37.2	122	2.7
Johnson -----	101	15.9	83	8.9	38	28.1	190	2.2	18	42.2	55	38.7
Jones -----	78	6.9	156	1.5	49	10.9	597	3.8	11	27.1	11	9.1
Lamar -----	87	14.9	142	9.2	73	20.5	794	5.1	12	1.9	31	.2
Lanier -----	83	6.1	295	2.2	54	9.4	2 794	.8	21	15.2	207	8.6
Laurens -----	331	8.0	732	2.4	170	14.7	2 612	1.2	51	32.3	148	36.8
Lee -----	78	8.9	292	2.4	67	7.8	2 280	1.0	24	6.3	346	1.2
Liberty -----	31	6.3	11	6.7	13	8.1	7	12.3	6	12.1	5	23.4
Lincoln -----	89	9.0	53	7.1	43	13.9	149	11.4	14	29.7	26	40.4
Long -----	47	4.9	49	2.8	27	6.5	92	5.1	8	12.5	25	10.9
Lowndes -----	257	10.6	373	6.2	156	15.8	2 131	5.1	68	32.6	518	8.7
Lumpkin -----	161	8.3	596	1.0	68	16.3	1 473	11.6	13	3.4	53	.2
McDuffie -----	105	6.5	180	2.5	51	10.8	3 842	.2	17	17.2	60	4.1
McIntosh -----	19	7.8	6	11.4	3	13.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Macon -----	208	9.0	1 018	.9	138	13.1	5 553	.4	29	25.3	233	1.2
Madison -----	332	6.6	717	1.8	115	10.7	576	1.5	71	20.6	270	22.6
Marion -----	87	7.0	165	2.2	56	8.7	627	2.4	20	13.2	101	5.0
Meriwether -----	95	16.1	93	14.5	62	21.5	407	13.2	28	29.8	47	31.7
Miller -----	212	8.4	380	6.5	171	10.7	2 240	1.7	23	3.9	138	.1
Mitchell -----	328	7.4	1 408	.9	181	10.5	7 374	.3	85	20.0	1 203	2.1
Monroe -----	122	5.9	183	2.3	67	9.0	837	3.6	28	16.8	35	7.1
Montgomery -----	90	20.3	147	2.4	62	21.2	987	1.7	14	28.0	287	2.7
Morgan -----	161	12.5	597	1.6	138	12.5	4 389	1.7	99	19.9	665	1.8
Murray -----	139	12.9	95	5.1	45	29.3	467	.9	18	53.6	13	34.1
Muscogee -----	29	6.9	21	9.5	17	8.7	60	6.2	2	22.4	(D)	(D)
Newton -----	127	14.8	168	5.4	78	21.1	983	18.6	46	30.0	(D)	(D)
Oconee -----	193	8.3	522	1.3	135	10.1	3 669	9.2	45	26.8	763	2.0
Oglethorpe -----	134	10.8	486	2.8	87	21.0	1 648	1.3	32	29.8	138	9.5
Paulding -----	108	16.4	135	24.1	43	29.1	224	43.6	34	38.2	19	36.0
Peach -----	97	6.7	328	1.2	56	8.9	3 848	.3	30	9.6	2 005	.1
Pickens -----	120	13.0	315	2.0	49	21.9	749	.8	17	—	59	—
Pierce -----	194	10.2	467	25.6	189	11.0	1 909	6.8	26	35.3	97	20.2
Pike -----	125	13.9	95	9.2	75	19.2	501	9.4	7	—	59	—
Polk -----	140	13.7	131	12.4	76	20.2	311	19.4	44	33.4	68	21.6
Pulaski -----	88	7.3	180	2.3	62	10.0	1 206	2.7	29	15.7	135	1.9
Putnam -----	95	5.7	421	.7	72	2.9	1 805	.8	32	8.4	269	.6
Quitman -----	13	4.4	17	.7	10	6.2	106	.4	5	5.9	(D)	(D)
Rabun -----	78	9.3	167	1.5	32	14.2	1 180	.6	19	22.6	34	44.7
Randolph -----	93	5.9	195	1.8	66	6.4	1 589	.2	22	15.9	93	1.1
Richmond -----	56	9.7	88	4.3	42	12.4	437	3.9	10	19.1	4	29.8
Rockdale -----	50	11.1	29	7.4	33	14.5	98	3.6	19	19.9	23	24.6
Schley -----	62	3.9	87	1.7	32	4.2	430	.6	14	8.4	23	10.5
Sciven -----	198	11.7	322	12.6	107	15.6	2 186	4.9	47	41.7	72	17.4
Seminole -----	103	11.5	284	7.2	85	13.8	2 512	1.5	12	—	109	—
Spalding -----	74	23.6	69	9.6	47	29.3	395	24.8	13	76.5	30	82.9
Stephens -----	77	8.6	301	.6	50	11.3	345	3.6	3	—	32	—
Stewart -----	56	4.5	142	.9	36	4.7	723	.4	18	6.3	67	3.2
Sumter -----	190	9.1	623	4.1	133	10.8	3 964	.7	33	14.5	643	.2
Talbot -----	29	16.2	18	10.3	38	12.9	127	23.5	13	25.7	11	35.1
Taliaferro -----	26	5.0	62	3.2	25	5.0	265	2.1	12	6.6	22	11.4
Tattnall -----	287	7.9	1 126	.5	208	11.1	3 116	2.4	98	11.5	2 598	.6
Taylor -----	86	8.7	219	6.9	77	9.3	1 651	1.7	18	24.1	66	8.4
Telfair -----	219	7.3	270	6.2	119	16.6	955	14.4	18	3.1	61	10.3
Terrell -----	133	4.4	433	2.7	110	5.0	1 939	.7	27	12.6	312	1.1
Thomas -----	234	9.8	421	6.4	149	11.6	3 292	2.2	72	24.0	443	5.0
Tift -----	261	7.3	791	2.2	199	10.0	7 876	1.2	39	28.5	290	6.1
Toombs -----	213	10.3	260	5.8	131	16.0	1 850	3.3	56	24.9	628	17.8
Towns -----	46	11.7	24	4.1	14	23.9	55	2.7	8	36.5	5	25.6
Treutlen -----	49	13.2	99	3.1	31	15.4	300	2.0	13	26.5	286	3.0
Troup -----	131	14.9	53	6.9	61	25.0	289	17.2	10	65.7	17	41.5
Turner -----	185	10.1	247	10.2	103	14.4	2 749	1.1	46	31.7	243	13.1
Twiggs -----	60	11.2	42	10.7	39	13.3	158	28.9	12	24.8	54	32.3
Union -----	87	18.2	485	1.1	92	17.8	(D)	(D)	16	47.8	8	17.3
Upson -----	72	13.1	130	11.4	67	17.6	372	7.2	15	45.3	28	9.9
Walker -----	253	9.2	197	15.9	138	14.7	578	9.9	35	31.5	65	26.0
Walton -----	160	12.8	211	10.2	103	17.4	838	8.7	57	24.0	171	42.4
Ware -----	156	11.2	231	4.7	93	14.9	990	1.4	32	32.1	130	8.7
Warren -----	75	8.7	97	3.0	60	9.5	521	2.3	2	—	(D)	(D)
Washington -----	148	14.0	129	6.8	93	9.9	923	2.7	13	2.5	64	.2
Wayne -----	165	11.2	152	5.5	67	18.9	774	3.2	26	42.7	124	5.3
Webster -----	57	3.8	83	3.0	41	3.5	761	.7	14	6.0	15	3.2
Wheeler -----	79	7.6	168	5.1	51	11.9	885	2.9	19	21.0	(D)	(D)
White -----	191	7.6	729	.9	85	13.0	1 496	1.5	44	26.2	118	4.2
Whitfield -----	194	11.9	410	2.6	79	22.5	1 051	1.2	18	35.6	35	16.8
Wilcox -----	213	10.1	246	4.1	132	15.4	1 769	7.8	46	32.0	302	3.4
Wilkes -----	134	13.4	193	10.0	108	16.2	978	4.0	26	37.4	134	10.9
Wilkinson -----	54	11.6	14	12.8	12	29.6	16	34.7	10	34.4	15	51.4
Worth -----	201	10.4	702	4.8	158	9.7	4 017	.3	55	27.6	328	4.6

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-23

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia	32 210	1.2	136 684	.7	11 177	1.9	37 966	1.4	15 610	1.5	147 611	.8
Appling	438	5.2	1 410	5.1	177	14.8	373	9.8	209	12.1	1 116	7.0
Atkinson	213	3.9	1 060	5.5	84	19.4	190	7.9	123	12.2	1 183	9.2
Bacon	290	5.3	647	8.6	139	14.4	240	15.6	157	15.3	805	19.7
Baker	116	3.0	1 313	2.1	62	6.7	619	2.8	69	6.1	1 639	1.7
Baldwin	83	6.3	128	12.3	12	26.1	10	42.5	31	16.5	206	18.4
Banks	350	6.1	832	5.0	95	15.7	160	21.8	185	9.5	1 894	2.7
Barrow	276	7.4	645	6.3	56	20.5	74	21.5	173	12.5	1 357	9.6
Barlow	282	7.6	773	6.9	67	23.5	111	6.1	150	14.6	700	6.8
Ben Hill	149	4.2	930	2.9	75	9.7	299	21.9	87	7.7	685	5.5
Berrien	387	4.8	1 908	5.5	180	15.7	555	9.5	207	10.7	1 693	5.3
Bibb	102	6.6	168	7.3	17	26.3	14	26.4	17	24.6	61	23.2
Bleckley	169	7.1	895	4.1	89	16.2	269	14.4	108	12.6	898	9.4
Brantley	157	9.2	366	16.9	37	30.2	23	21.6	89	18.1	321	8.8
Brooks	360	8.0	2 419	3.9	165	15.5	1 184	15.5	250	11.5	2 483	2.7
Bryan	43	6.5	71	3.4	10	11.4	18	10.6	12	9.2	114	2.1
Bulloch	522	3.3	2 859	3.5	261	10.5	913	4.8	298	9.6	2 774	2.3
Burke	273	5.4	2 186	3.9	147	12.5	603	4.2	145	11.6	1 471	7.1
Butts	114	4.4	156	10.6	24	12.4	(D)	(D)	33	16.8	162	15.9
Calhoun	80	6.2	1 647	1.2	52	7.4	600	3.3	74	5.4	2 214	1.2
Camden	40	5.3	47	8.8	8	12.1	11	16.8	10	9.3	41	19.2
Candler	190	10.5	827	12.0	106	23.1	157	12.5	96	21.9	535	11.0
Carroll	574	4.8	1 414	2.8	160	15.7	118	14.7	221	11.7	1 466	7.8
Catoosa	199	6.6	304	5.7	22	38.4	39	46.5	56	20.6	502	13.6
Charlton	56	5.0	89	3.7	6	9.8	8	2.2	21	7.7	124	5.3
Chatham	34	4.5	103	3.7	1	35.3	(D)	(D)	11	7.4	46	6.3
Chattahoochee	16	8.2	19	12.1	4	17.3	1	19.0	5	13.8	19	8.9
Chattooga	195	8.2	256	14.8	12	56.4	9	33.5	74	23.8	266	18.3
Cherokee	416	4.2	980	4.5	80	20.9	83	14.5	132	13.2	1 046	8.4
Clarke	62	3.9	598	1.3	20	6.9	(D)	(D)	35	4.5	213	2.4
Clay	43	3.4	702	.6	26	3.0	242	.4	30	3.1	633	.2
Clayton	42	5.1	44	3.9	10	11.0	(D)	(D)	9	10.4	39	6.5
Clinch	73	6.0	158	9.9	16	25.4	32	33.8	26	9.6	79	3.7
Cobb	111	5.3	168	4.5	17	22.8	9	47.6	25	14.4	251	4.4
Coffee	611	4.0	3 261	3.1	373	8.7	1 017	10.3	361	8.5	3 036	3.6
Colquitt	531	5.9	3 648	3.1	293	8.8	1 156	3.7	370	7.3	3 948	3.5
Columbia	131	3.5	203	7.9	20	25.7	17	34.6	30	17.3	83	12.3
Cook	221	6.9	1 063	3.2	151	14.1	819	13.6	140	15.2	960	5.1
Coweta	271	5.4	393	12.4	58	25.1	56	38.1	74	18.3	240	24.6
Crawford	107	4.1	452	3.0	20	10.4	105	2.9	50	10.2	316	5.8
Crisp	185	3.2	1 624	1.7	82	7.7	695	.5	114	6.8	1 957	3.3
Dade	139	5.7	210	7.7	14	30.6	22	29.7	51	11.8	243	7.9
Dawson	131	4.7	392	4.2	45	14.1	45	6.3	56	9.4	948	2.0
Decatur	267	6.0	2 672	3.9	140	14.3	842	6.4	171	11.3	2 847	11.7
De Kalb	36	5.3	37	7.2	7	14.0	6	17.3	9	8.8	12	10.2
Dodge	314	6.1	1 556	9.1	122	16.4	363	21.1	124	17.1	915	16.8
Dooly	199	10.2	2 162	2.6	111	19.9	1 177	5.5	154	15.6	2 629	4.5
Dougherty	136	5.2	916	1.0	33	11.2	141	3.0	50	11.1	563	1.6
Douglas	72	9.3	71	16.8	10	40.3	3	26.5	19	25.6	57	8.4
Early	276	6.1	2 566	2.8	111	16.3	866	14.4	233	7.8	3 163	4.6
Echols	64	4.5	230	3.4	30	6.6	40	4.1	32	5.7	141	2.9
Effingham	149	8.5	366	9.3	88	16.6	119	11.6	44	20.3	143	11.3
Elbert	244	7.1	592	11.4	92	20.7	163	45.3	124	17.1	872	14.8
Emanuel	277	6.4	1 030	8.9	88	22.2	245	12.5	101	14.8	866	2.3
Evans	129	5.5	478	4.0	40	11.3	99	9.6	53	11.6	526	15.6
Fannin	148	4.2	245	6.4	15	15.9	49	4.3	43	12.8	341	10.6
Fayette	171	8.2	187	20.5	16	59.1	12	77.8	60	26.1	203	27.1
Floyd	325	6.2	653	6.7	88	20.7	83	13.2	133	14.2	637	11.7
Forsyth	412	4.3	819	4.5	62	21.6	78	16.0	92	7.5	950	.6
Franklin	504	4.9	1 231	4.7	181	13.0	190	9.8	247	8.6	2 022	3.0
Fulton	194	6.3	295	13.9	48	29.9	23	41.8	44	29.1	153	17.4
Gilmer	195	6.4	511	4.3	54	19.6	115	2.8	88	10.3	828	1.6
Glascock	50	5.0	132	4.9	16	7.6	37	3.4	23	6.5	125	6.0
Glynn	25	6.7	47	12.0	4	16.1	(D)	(D)	5	10.2	32	4.2
Gordon	364	7.0	954	5.1	103	17.3	112	8.9	242	10.3	1 764	5.0
Grady	443	4.1	2 694	3.5	210	10.7	609	10.6	243	9.6	2 759	5.6
Greene	152	10.6	382	6.6	41	19.7	120	6.8	58	17.1	356	8.9
Gwinnett	192	11.7	238	9.5	37	38.5	(D)	(D)	35	26.3	303	2.8
Habersham	337	6.6	1 195	2.1	76	18.4	90	21.1	191	7.5	2 909	2.7
Hall	505	5.0	2 042	4.5	145	16.0	168	14.6	265	9.3	2 916	4.7
Hancock	79	6.2	106	11.6	11	30.0	18	39.5	13	26.0	44	20.6
Haralson	215	6.0	285	6.9	58	24.4	57	14.5	87	16.3	445	8.0
Harris	161	11.2	220	15.1	28	43.3	7	50.9	51	29.0	175	28.3
Hart	376	4.7	709	7.0	81	20.1	58	15.3	147	14.6	843	8.8
Heard	125	6.0	288	4.6	43	14.6	58	7.5	34	15.5	318	3.8
Henry	261	8.0	421	6.7	39	29.7	59	35.4	64	22.8	324	27.5
Houston	190	6.5	1 167	6.5	60	27.4	227	12.3	77	23.5	916	10.4
Irwin	297	6.9	2 033	5.8	140	16.5	659	27.9	210	11.6	2 550	6.1
Jackson	602	4.1	1 478	3.2	135	16.1	226	11.2	315	8.6	3 329	6.3
Jasper	165	8.8	619	6.7	15	3.0	(D)	(D)	70	17.6	512	17.6

See footnotes at end of table.

C-24 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Repair and maintenance				Customwork, machine hire, and rental of machinery and equipment				Interest expense			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	190	9.3	594	8.8	108	15.7	153	6.3	165	10.4	822	10.7
Jefferson -----	204	10.4	1 606	8.3	107	16.5	522	3.1	100	18.1	921	7.0
Jenkins -----	151	7.0	857	2.3	69	18.6	322	15.9	62	14.4	845	2.4
Johnson -----	142	10.7	319	8.5	68	21.2	96	18.1	48	26.2	303	21.7
Jones -----	117	4.3	348	2.6	14	14.9	36	5.6	51	9.6	371	6.3
Lamar -----	131	12.3	401	6.2	41	30.1	72	49.7	41	25.2	560	3.3
Lanier -----	87	5.4	1 159	1.6	40	11.0	182	3.2	48	9.5	410	4.1
Laurens -----	460	5.7	1 824	7.0	196	15.1	488	17.2	258	11.9	1 112	8.9
Lee -----	111	5.3	1 394	5.6	43	11.3	801	1.8	68	6.6	1 134	4.8
Liberty -----	37	5.6	48	7.4	4	15.0	5	16.9	10	10.9	45	13.1
Lincoln -----	134	4.7	225	12.7	47	15.6	31	27.3	44	16.3	183	7.2
Long -----	53	4.9	95	5.4	25	6.8	35	8.1	29	5.6	155	3.5
Lowndes -----	274	6.5	1 065	13.1	127	17.4	304	18.6	113	22.6	1 166	19.6
Lumpkin -----	152	6.5	708	6.2	54	17.7	52	19.1	97	10.5	996	16.7
McDuffie -----	165	4.0	445	3.0	55	10.2	198	3.7	46	12.1	215	13.3
McIntosh -----	18	7.7	8	7.1	1	—	(D)	(D)	8	11.7	30	17.8
Macon -----	243	5.5	2 147	2.9	110	16.7	519	3.1	159	11.3	3 002	2.4
Madison -----	461	4.6	1 052	7.5	174	12.1	229	23.9	229	7.7	1 853	7.5
Marion -----	97	5.6	414	3.9	44	11.9	106	6.1	55	8.4	381	4.3
Meriwether -----	195	7.5	399	19.9	42	27.2	47	26.4	82	19.4	352	17.3
Miller -----	244	6.3	1 687	4.7	127	15.5	852	4.7	202	7.8	2 328	7.9
Mitchell -----	411	4.5	4 495	2.0	210	11.4	1 594	2.2	240	8.6	4 952	3.9
Monroe -----	150	3.7	417	6.5	31	16.0	76	3.3	59	9.8	554	9.1
Montgomery -----	146	13.0	425	6.3	110	17.8	139	8.4	90	17.7	537	25.7
Morgan -----	293	7.0	1 540	4.3	105	18.6	205	5.0	151	14.0	1 797	6.5
Murray -----	151	11.3	321	40.3	35	33.1	46	41.7	43	26.9	237	8.8
Muscogee -----	36	6.0	61	8.4	5	15.0	(D)	(D)	10	10.9	60	11.6
Newton -----	210	7.3	467	25.8	37	31.6	193	6.3	82	22.3	579	22.0
Oconee -----	240	6.4	830	4.3	59	18.3	162	8.6	88	12.7	1 208	4.2
Oglethorpe -----	228	4.8	711	6.8	47	27.4	51	19.1	83	12.8	978	2.1
Paulding -----	169	6.8	287	10.4	22	36.6	13	20.0	40	20.9	195	9.8
Peach -----	130	4.3	946	1.4	39	11.4	438	.7	43	10.9	575	2.8
Pickens -----	151	10.0	452	7.9	17	43.7	15	14.7	77	15.5	809	2.2
Pierce -----	317	4.5	1 142	9.8	109	21.0	360	20.9	160	15.1	1 471	13.3
Pike -----	200	7.2	420	12.7	25	41.2	25	55.1	106	17.3	494	24.5
Polk -----	250	6.5	475	19.4	73	24.7	111	26.8	101	16.1	634	8.8
Pulaski -----	123	4.9	1 070	1.3	58	10.9	478	4.5	77	9.8	1 559	2.1
Putnam -----	142	3.2	796	2.9	23	12.9	58	3.1	67	6.1	689	1.4
Quitman -----	24	4.7	103	1.7	4	7.4	(D)	(D)	10	7.6	104	1.7
Rabun -----	86	7.6	289	3.2	18	14.6	190	.5	37	13.7	544	1.0
Randolph -----	110	4.6	1 378	.8	54	9.1	424	.9	71	6.9	1 624	1.3
Richmond -----	99	4.7	346	3.4	22	17.4	83	4.6	22	17.7	130	6.4
Rockdale -----	90	4.6	92	8.7	10	18.6	20	21.7	21	17.2	81	16.9
Schley -----	78	3.8	380	1.8	29	5.8	60	6.3	49	4.5	381	2.9
Sc生生 -----	245	7.3	1 459	3.9	106	20.4	464	12.2	143	13.3	1 140	7.9
Seminole -----	181	1.7	1 610	2.3	92	14.8	569	5.8	70	12.1	1 707	2.2
Spalding -----	155	10.0	212	15.7	46	35.6	46	15.9	52	30.5	192	19.2
Stephens -----	137	4.4	230	3.7	24	15.8	(D)	(D)	60	10.5	460	5.1
Stewart -----	83	3.8	628	1.6	25	6.3	279	.6	47	4.7	380	2.1
Sumter -----	277	4.9	2 656	2.3	108	16.1	1 267	1.2	165	11.2	2 822	6.2
Talbot -----	80	6.1	109	9.3	9	23.9	7	5.7	25	18.6	90	28.0
Taliaferro -----	44	4.0	135	2.2	14	6.2	34	4.6	22	4.3	132	1.8
Tattnall -----	428	4.8	2 333	2.4	246	9.4	638	4.7	301	6.9	2 252	4.6
Taylor -----	133	5.5	385	8.8	37	14.2	60	11.7	62	11.6	525	13.2
Telfair -----	229	7.3	684	8.2	81	17.3	216	41.1	143	12.3	714	12.0
Terrell -----	175	2.9	1 781	1.6	104	4.8	736	6.0	106	4.7	1 647	2.6
Thomas -----	372	5.1	1 778	3.1	129	14.2	741	17.3	170	13.5	1 577	11.7
Tift -----	305	3.2	2 859	1.8	128	14.4	761	9.2	195	10.7	2 315	2.7
Toombs -----	246	6.9	837	5.5	103	18.4	335	6.0	124	17.0	919	12.6
Towns -----	91	6.5	113	31.3	25	21.1	26	70.4	30	17.1	91	13.0
Treutlen -----	88	6.8	316	6.9	39	13.6	61	14.4	35	15.5	240	14.2
Troup -----	194	9.0	199	11.4	54	34.9	52	38.1	53	31.5	328	29.2
Turner -----	205	7.1	1 803	3.4	109	16.4	522	6.5	135	11.6	2 289	6.6
Twigs -----	87	7.3	203	10.0	29	17.5	41	6.9	28	16.6	135	15.3
Union -----	215	6.0	928	10.0	59	22.5	36	13.0	79	19.0	304	15.6
Upson -----	126	9.7	310	8.9	19	39.9	22	59.6	54	20.4	393	16.0
Walker -----	428	4.8	718	13.7	85	23.4	52	22.9	129	15.4	647	13.6
Walton -----	290	6.6	487	5.3	86	18.7	163	19.1	125	13.6	749	19.0
Ware -----	239	6.7	722	12.9	76	18.9	120	9.7	90	16.2	457	3.3
Warren -----	125	3.2	386	8.7	21	24.4	33	14.7	46	11.7	143	9.0
Washington -----	213	9.8	884	5.0	107	17.6	264	8.1	84	19.0	842	3.5
Wayne -----	209	9.0	508	6.1	139	14.1	325	8.9	98	19.4	518	10.2
Webster -----	66	4.0	696	1.2	26	6.0	103	1.8	45	3.5	645	1.6
Wheeler -----	131	4.1	573	4.1	62	9.7	(D)	(D)	90	7.7	384	5.7
White -----	226	5.5	709	8.0	74	14.9	65	7.5	135	9.5	1 861	4.0
Whitfield -----	307	6.0	801	5.4	80	23.8	71	29.0	89	18.8	533	12.2
Wilcox -----	256	6.5	1 543	7.2	114	15.9	652	23.8	132	13.2	1 525	7.1
Wilkes -----	250	6.5	802	20.0	55	26.1	131	11.2	105	14.8	861	6.5
Wilkinson -----	79	7.0	78	16.2	17	24.7	3	32.9	32	14.9	73	18.2
Worth -----	362	6.0	2 979	3.5	140	12.3	1 020	4.0	304	8.5	3 085	3.9

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-25

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----	9 311	1.9	103 710	.8	38 653	1.2	69 922	1.1	34 949	1.2	257 285	.3
Appling -----	141	14.2	801	13.2	496	3.8	427	5.6	436	5.0	2 156	3.4
Atkinson -----	75	17.9	735	7.3	204	5.5	418	6.9	220	5.1	2 069	1.6
Bacon -----	65	27.0	280	13.8	335	3.1	464	8.7	304	4.4	1 060	11.9
Baker -----	70	5.9	1 964	1.8	124	2.5	428	1.8	120	2.6	1 998	1.5
Baldwin -----	30	16.0	26	26.6	109	4.2	171	8.2	90	4.2	157	19.6
Banks -----	30	23.0	115	.7	467	1.0	682	6.9	419	4.0	2 910	1.1
Barrow -----	37	21.9	57	21.9	376	1.8	693	9.4	316	6.3	2 363	1.7
Bartow -----	86	23.3	433	20.4	372	2.8	641	13.0	290	8.5	1 877	1.6
Ben Hill -----	96	7.5	1 090	3.3	161	3.6	369	3.7	147	4.0	1 012	1.5
Berrien -----	170	13.9	1 814	11.8	357	6.8	508	6.8	359	5.2	2 043	6.6
Bibb -----	15	25.3	19	14.0	132	2.5	215	8.4	116	4.4	220	3.6
Bleckley -----	104	11.1	926	5.2	179	4.9	281	9.2	186	4.2	898	2.4
Brantley -----	37	29.6	167	25.5	222	3.1	295	16.2	200	6.1	714	3.7
Brooks -----	165	10.9	1 777	5.2	402	4.7	1 004	7.2	386	5.7	2 975	3.2
Bryan -----	16	8.3	49	2.7	47	6.2	83	3.1	41	6.5	152	1.8
Bulloch -----	222	10.5	3 889	5.0	542	2.3	826	7.0	505	4.1	3 946	2.6
Burke -----	117	13.5	1 401	5.0	292	3.7	433	6.2	248	5.9	2 080	2.0
Butts -----	28	14.3	59	13.5	130	4.0	227	8.5	114	5.1	222	4.9
Calhoun -----	66	5.5	2 864	1.4	100	4.2	683	1.3	105	3.1	2 394	.3
Camden -----	13	10.0	7	23.7	44	5.1	52	4.6	42	5.4	25	7.5
Candler -----	25	—	375	—	225	2.6	298	9.3	196	7.9	872	5.0
Carroll -----	98	20.0	183	18.4	742	1.9	963	8.7	680	3.3	3 029	2.5
Catoosa -----	28	29.2	97	6.0	241	1.2	278	7.2	214	4.7	1 346	1.8
Charlton -----	7	8.4	17	8.2	84	4.2	113	4.0	66	4.6	184	1.3
Chatham -----	4	14.9	(D)	(D)	36	4.6	101	4.2	39	4.4	300	8.2
Chattahoochee -----	4	13.0	3	12.3	16	8.2	13	9.7	14	9.0	22	15.1
Chattooga -----	46	31.2	55	24.0	231	5.3	189	13.7	216	6.9	400	8.5
Cherokee -----	100	20.5	296	47.2	439	3.7	630	6.4	434	2.7	3 324	1.5
Clarke -----	15	8.1	65	2.3	67	3.8	135	3.9	69	3.6	935	.4
Clay -----	29	3.2	953	.3	48	3.4	147	2.2	48	3.3	852	.4
Clayton -----	13	8.1	23	9.4	51	4.9	63	5.2	50	4.8	67	4.1
Clinch -----	23	22.6	23	10.5	77	7.0	120	9.6	75	6.4	253	6.1
Cobb -----	11	28.4	(D)	132	2.3	298	7.0	125	3.4	529	1.3	
Coffee -----	189	11.8	1 713	4.7	690	2.5	1 306	4.4	655	3.2	6 416	2.3
Colquitt -----	218	9.9	3 325	2.0	656	2.7	1 662	3.9	614	3.8	7 200	.9
Columbia -----	22	18.1	28	21.6	147	3.2	323	10.4	125	4.8	270	4.3
Cook -----	116	16.5	1 292	6.2	233	7.1	390	13.1	229	4.2	2 161	3.5
Coweta -----	59	29.1	101	46.4	313	3.4	522	8.2	270	6.2	491	11.3
Crawford -----	18	7.9	270	.9	117	2.5	266	3.8	111	3.9	1 117	.7
Crisp -----	115	6.3	2 746	2.1	187	2.8	690	2.6	184	3.0	1 828	3.3
Dade -----	12	30.9	23	41.5	185	2.8	272	6.7	162	4.4	375	2.1
Dawson -----	15	30.6	164	7.8	164	2.3	342	5.5	141	4.7	1 528	.9
Decatur -----	76	8.3	2 465	.4	330	2.4	1 171	9.4	300	4.5	4 116	3.3
De Kalb -----	5	12.3	23	14.3	44	4.8	100	7.0	42	4.7	71	12.3
Dodge -----	85	21.3	717	6.9	379	3.3	534	8.9	352	4.5	1 513	7.0
Dooly -----	135	16.6	3 119	5.6	231	2.3	754	.9	227	5.6	4 440	.5
Dougherty -----	25	12.5	490	.7	148	3.9	737	2.6	107	5.9	1 521	.7
Douglas -----	8	43.3	11	66.1	103	2.7	139	9.6	70	9.3	86	9.0
Early -----	146	13.3	3 688	5.0	306	1.6	699	4.5	311	1.5	3 041	2.6
Echols -----	19	7.4	65	2.9	80	4.2	84	3.9	72	4.3	476	.9
Effingham -----	37	22.0	178	35.1	166	5.4	264	10.1	155	7.8	416	7.4
Elbert -----	73	23.1	183	4.2	315	1.5	387	8.0	268	5.9	1 052	2.8
Emanuel -----	83	21.3	730	8.8	372	2.0	504	9.2	303	5.4	1 158	1.9
Evans -----	38	13.5	365	4.9	150	3.8	272	9.0	131	3.1	1 036	5.7
Fannin -----	13	18.5	18	11.3	173	2.5	186	7.3	126	5.5	566	3.1
Fayette -----	31	35.2	24	50.0	209	1.1	440	12.4	147	9.1	248	10.4
Floyd -----	59	24.6	193	18.3	423	1.2	731	6.1	336	5.2	1 583	2.0
Forsyth -----	47	25.6	195	5.7	493	1.4	1 348	8.0	428	4.0	3 615	.8
Franklin -----	88	19.3	123	21.5	622	2.7	579	5.5	561	3.8	5 156	.7
Fulton -----	48	23.7	68	21.1	228	1.8	545	12.8	203	6.1	444	10.7
Gilmer -----	52	25.1	143	12.4	241	3.3	269	4.0	196	7.9	2 362	1.1
Glascock -----	26	5.6	95	7.1	76	4.2	131	4.6	65	4.4	106	2.3
Glynn -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	39	5.9	96	5.6	34	5.9	27	9.1
Gordon -----	100	22.8	601	9.1	511	3.0	612	5.3	472	4.3	3 577	.5
Grady -----	120	13.5	1 488	4.1	520	1.3	908	5.2	485	2.9	3 925	3.8
Greene -----	61	16.0	76	8.1	196	4.3	258	7.3	197	4.3	1 734	5.5
Gwinnett -----	52	29.7	160	33.6	324	3.7	876	11.6	283	5.9	779	2.9
Habersham -----	40	25.7	102	20.5	443	2.1	583	3.3	425	3.3	3 607	.9
Hall -----	72	26.1	197	7.8	656	2.3	1 112	5.5	558	4.3	11 234	.4
Hancock -----	18	25.6	52	28.0	98	3.5	184	5.0	82	6.9	108	6.5
Haralson -----	26	29.8	38	20.9	254	1.6	333	6.8	208	5.5	1 170	5.1
Harris -----	11	—	57	—	211	3.7	352	8.8	176	9.1	219	14.4
Hart -----	96	18.6	176	17.0	446	1.9	352	5.3	403	3.7	1 992	1.7
Heard -----	16	18.0	39	3.3	152	1.8	202	5.1	143	3.4	446	2.0
Henry -----	61	27.3	192	20.0	341	2.8	799	8.6	311	4.7	1 468	5.5
Houston -----	55	25.8	668	1.7	212	4.9	548	11.9	204	6.3	1 804	8.5
Irwin -----	158	12.8	3 136	5.5	334	4.2	695	6.0	328	4.0	2 415	2.3
Jackson -----	93	18.2	208	11.9	742	1.1	1 465	8.2	662	2.9	4 994	.7
Jasper -----	15	22.0	(D)	(D)	196	2.1	480	8.3	188	3.0	4 483	.8

See footnotes at end of table.

C-26 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Farm production expenses ¹ —Con.											
	Cash rent				Property taxes paid				All other farm production expenses			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Value		Farms		Value	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	86	19.1	454	19.8	250	4.1	275	7.1	231	4.9	811	7.8
Jefferson -----	104	17.8	1 049	7.7	270	4.8	528	18.9	288	2.7	1 387	4.5
Jenkins -----	51	20.0	590	4.4	168	4.4	441	8.9	155	7.1	870	2.5
Johnson -----	27	35.1	185	3.4	216	3.1	269	19.2	194	6.5	340	6.1
Jones -----	41	12.9	74	16.9	154	2.6	217	5.6	138	3.5	719	6.7
Lamar -----	11	2.0	59	(L)	195	3.5	450	7.7	159	9.0	612	3.6
Lanier -----	36	13.7	194	4.9	97	4.3	312	1.9	100	3.7	2 232	1.8
Laurens -----	155	13.6	1 153	10.6	584	2.0	690	4.6	502	4.3	1 439	3.6
Lee -----	45	11.3	1 053	.7	131	2.1	612	2.1	118	2.8	2 831	.8
Liberty -----	3	21.6	1	21.5	49	5.1	90	5.1	37	5.6	28	5.3
Lincoln -----	34	15.8	121	8.2	161	2.7	135	5.5	128	5.2	255	3.9
Long -----	12	9.1	24	2.2	66	4.4	95	4.9	60	4.6	203	1.4
Lowndes -----	84	23.0	522	6.7	348	3.6	824	18.4	335	4.2	1 361	5.1
Lumpkin -----	28	37.3	37	28.6	220	3.9	522	12.3	208	4.7	2 381	1.7
McDuffie -----	32	16.5	161	3.1	207	2.4	282	4.0	180	3.3	1 561	.9
McIntosh -----	—	—	—	—	33	6.1	37	6.2	24	6.7	(D)	(D)
Macon -----	57	—	847	—	245	5.4	634	4.3	239	5.8	4 419	2.1
Madison -----	84	18.5	170	12.1	591	1.6	629	5.7	512	3.6	3 203	1.8
Marion -----	29	11.3	309	3.6	126	3.4	295	5.7	106	4.4	589	2.4
Meriwether -----	40	27.2	62	8.0	266	1.3	365	6.2	227	6.4	439	7.8
Miller -----	85	16.5	2 336	6.8	261	5.6	1 614	7.7	275	3.3	2 134	3.6
Mitchell -----	184	12.5	3 679	2.6	440	3.3	1 363	2.8	436	3.3	8 236	1.0
Monroe -----	32	15.0	46	17.8	179	1.8	306	6.6	159	3.5	746	1.6
Montgomery -----	26	24.3	191	10.6	211	5.2	326	9.5	144	12.9	585	8.5
Morgan -----	110	19.3	364	15.5	356	2.5	669	6.7	333	4.6	3 923	1.4
Murray -----	12	53.6	35	6.5	200	5.0	264	11.9	175	8.8	598	10.5
Muscogee -----	9	9.5	13	4.9	40	5.8	98	7.4	32	6.6	129	1.8
Newton -----	63	23.6	166	21.9	229	5.1	524	10.0	238	4.1	873	7.1
Oconee -----	70	15.4	293	18.1	273	3.3	488	8.7	262	5.4	4 938	.6
Oglethorpe -----	44	28.4	178	7.4	297	1.7	444	6.3	243	6.1	2 278	1.1
Paulding -----	15	47.3	8	33.8	205	5.4	402	12.2	161	11.8	465	5.0
Peach -----	37	8.9	891	.3	150	2.6	308	2.7	122	5.9	2 091	.4
Pickens -----	4	—	(D)	(D)	196	4.0	248	6.4	163	8.9	1 883	.7
Pierce -----	139	16.1	723	13.9	325	4.4	659	15.5	309	4.7	1 388	6.4
Pike -----	34	33.3	78	16.7	252	1.4	378	13.7	222	5.6	369	4.6
Polk -----	31	35.6	204	22.6	300	3.4	613	14.2	268	5.3	807	3.8
Pulaski -----	47	10.6	1 736	1.4	125	4.1	346	4.2	112	5.6	1 363	2.7
Putnam -----	42	9.5	157	5.3	153	2.5	223	3.7	151	3.4	1 249	.8
Quitman -----	8	4.8	247	(L)	21	5.3	41	2.6	20	5.2	106	.9
Rabun -----	35	15.1	185	.6	132	2.3	199	4.4	102	6.4	897	1.0
Randolph -----	50	7.1	1 806	1.4	117	3.4	320	1.7	124	2.9	1 483	1.3
Richmond -----	13	26.5	34	16.5	106	3.5	152	10.0	92	5.4	262	6.0
Rockdale -----	10	29.6	12	26.4	96	4.6	193	7.7	94	4.9	185	4.0
Schley -----	29	5.1	283	3.7	87	3.6	131	3.8	81	3.7	349	2.4
Sciven -----	100	23.0	884	7.3	257	5.7	654	5.6	258	6.0	1 693	12.9
Seminole -----	81	14.5	3 496	1.6	175	3.8	429	3.6	169	5.2	1 403	2.0
Spalding -----	39	39.0	95	31.8	192	5.6	413	12.7	161	10.1	407	16.7
Stephens -----	11	15.2	28	6.5	172	1.9	187	4.6	149	4.1	631	1.7
Stewart -----	33	4.7	514	.8	89	3.9	242	1.9	80	3.9	795	.6
Sumter -----	129	11.2	3 131	6.8	290	4.3	694	2.4	314	1.4	3 211	.9
Talbot -----	21	20.5	21	20.7	120	3.2	195	7.8	108	4.2	186	8.1
Taliaferro -----	13	6.4	37	6.3	67	3.4	136	3.2	60	3.5	213	4.6
Tattnall -----	149	12.9	1 222	12.2	510	2.7	793	3.5	478	3.6	4 215	1.4
Taylor -----	47	13.5	373	1.9	157	2.9	200	6.5	129	5.5	726	4.8
Telfair -----	89	17.5	356	13.9	237	6.2	341	7.5	239	6.1	805	6.8
Terrell -----	90	5.0	3 581	1.2	179	2.8	460	1.8	179	2.3	1 717	1.9
Thomas -----	150	15.9	1 289	2.1	442	2.3	809	3.2	346	6.5	1 890	2.3
Tift -----	163	11.9	2 911	5.4	335	4.6	684	3.6	326	4.4	6 233	1.1
Toombs -----	100	17.9	372	4.4	300	4.8	452	6.0	271	6.2	1 404	5.2
Towns -----	26	14.4	44	33.2	118	4.1	56	8.1	95	5.2	176	4.4
Treutlen -----	30	16.2	89	15.2	113	3.6	229	3.6	76	7.4	423	4.8
Troup -----	3	—	5	—	215	6.9	272	9.9	211	7.3	295	9.1
Turner -----	112	13.8	2 470	2.0	251	3.9	718	5.1	232	4.0	2 512	1.6
Twiggs -----	36	15.3	166	11.7	103	4.9	127	7.4	86	8.0	185	9.3
Union -----	47	27.7	59	18.8	247	3.2	302	7.0	226	5.0	(D)	(D)
Upson -----	29	26.3	37	45.0	175	1.7	285	13.0	144	6.8	539	8.7
Walker -----	90	23.7	253	20.1	492	2.8	655	9.1	431	5.0	1 432	5.1
Walton -----	93	16.8	142	17.8	427	1.6	884	7.5	342	4.8	1 003	3.6
Ware -----	53	16.0	182	5.1	283	2.7	391	6.4	250	5.6	1 083	4.4
Warren -----	22	16.5	65	11.4	135	2.7	183	7.1	107	5.3	448	2.9
Washington -----	77	20.5	334	5.1	253	7.3	433	7.9	232	7.3	745	4.0
Wayne -----	45	24.4	394	6.6	275	3.2	350	19.1	190	9.0	868	3.2
Webster -----	30	3.9	738	1.5	74	3.7	231	2.7	77	3.7	1 053	1.3
Wheeler -----	26	16.2	84	3.7	150	2.3	172	4.0	130	3.7	477	9.4
White -----	35	22.6	92	3.4	297	1.3	518	5.7	289	2.6	2 254	1.0
Whitfield -----	47	32.2	22	38.8	375	3.1	472	8.3	351	4.8	1 805	2.2
Wilcox -----	100	17.4	2 023	6.8	287	1.8	473	4.7	273	4.3	1 770	9.7
Wilkes -----	81	17.4	296	22.1	295	3.5	548	10.8	277	5.4	1 000	2.6
Wilkinson -----	11	28.5	23	41.8	93	3.6	119	8.5	76	7.2	75	14.8
Worth -----	176	11.0	5 664	2.0	409	4.6	1 053	10.5	408	4.2	4 353	1.9

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-27

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----	40 763	1.2	561 686	.7	34 600	1.2	5 475 712	.6	27 177	1.2	3 332 666	.5
Appling -----	535	1.7	4 479	6.6	484	1.7	60 421	1.4	430	1.8	48 061	1.5
Atkinson -----	244	1.7	7 317	3.4	214	1.7	32 059	1.2	196	1.8	24 063	1.2
Bacon -----	349	1.7	4 429	13.8	331	1.6	33 576	1.8	301	1.7	20 142	2.0
Baker -----	130	2.3	5 029	1.3	122	1.8	61 667	.8	114	1.9	33 815	.6
Baldwin -----	118	2.0	181	82.2	100	1.6	10 458	2.8	82	2.1	3 228	5.2
Banks -----	468	1.0	7 723	2.6	356	.9	22 052	1.4	211	1.4	5 355	1.9
Barrow -----	385	1.1	4 241	5.5	290	1.1	17 027	1.6	200	1.5	5 173	2.2
Bartow -----	389	1.2	3 446	13.6	311	1.3	36 817	1.3	218	1.7	20 594	1.6
Ben Hill -----	183	2.3	3 515	3.2	167	2.0	34 144	1.4	151	2.2	25 213	1.0
Berrien -----	435	1.7	7 311	7.6	413	1.6	71 916	1.0	376	1.7	53 614	.9
Bibb -----	135	2.0	629	22.9	96	2.2	7 742	3.5	75	2.8	4 121	4.1
Bleckley -----	202	1.9	1 909	10.3	189	1.7	44 431	1.2	171	1.9	31 478	1.0
Brantley -----	229	1.7	2 534	11.8	204	1.6	8 932	3.5	163	2.0	4 257	3.9
Brooks -----	440	1.9	7 488	7.8	408	2.0	90 924	.7	369	2.0	65 915	.6
Bryan -----	51	6.0	274	4.4	43	4.0	3 868	3.7	34	5.1	2 361	3.3
Bulloch -----	557	1.8	10 123	5.0	513	1.6	144 368	.7	466	1.7	105 023	.7
Burke -----	315	1.3	5 098	7.4	291	1.3	117 366	.6	253	1.5	81 517	.5
Butts -----	140	2.2	226	29.7	129	1.6	12 939	2.8	91	2.5	3 590	4.7
Calhoun -----	114	1.5	7 076	2.1	102	1.2	70 301	.3	101	1.2	50 912	.2
Camden -----	50	4.9	45	64.6	39	2.9	920	12.7	30	4.2	369	12.0
Candler -----	228	2.6	1 925	14.7	204	1.6	29 905	1.6	178	1.8	17 604	1.4
Carroll -----	770	1.5	5 460	5.9	572	1.5	37 908	2.2	393	1.8	10 051	2.7
Catoosa -----	243	1.2	2 754	6.1	203	1.3	16 366	1.7	142	1.8	7 352	2.0
Charlton -----	88	4.2	394	6.5	71	2.7	3 605	5.0	54	3.5	1 540	2.9
Chatham -----	40	4.4	630	2.6	36	2.0	2 934	7.8	31	2.9	978	6.7
Chattahoochee -----	16	8.2	—9	(H)	14	4.1	1 677	8.8	13	5.8	412	8.7
Chattooga -----	257	1.5	461	29.9	221	1.5	24 332	2.1	165	1.9	8 268	2.6
Cherokee -----	473	1.2	5 344	5.8	309	1.2	14 087	2.1	174	1.8	3 466	2.4
Clarke -----	76	3.6	3 722	.5	58	2.3	6 021	2.8	44	3.2	3 272	1.9
Clay -----	49	3.4	2 125	.6	45	2.1	26 673	.5	43	2.3	16 833	.5
Clayton -----	56	4.7	5	(H)	44	2.8	2 780	5.0	36	3.9	1 182	3.8
Clinch -----	89	2.8	774	6.4	64	2.7	3 993	3.6	56	3.2	1 639	5.0
Cobb -----	136	2.3	799	6.7	97	2.1	4 502	3.9	71	2.9	1 816	4.4
Coffee -----	712	1.9	16 996	4.0	625	1.9	92 679	1.2	526	2.0	66 723	1.1
Colquitt -----	692	2.0	15 593	3.1	617	1.9	121 998	.7	521	1.9	86 461	.6
Columbia -----	155	2.1	—65	(H)	135	1.7	10 659	3.7	102	2.4	3 046	3.3
Cook -----	266	1.8	4 071	9.1	255	1.6	46 632	1.1	233	1.8	34 900	1.0
Coweta -----	332	1.4	122	(H)	259	1.4	17 855	2.8	172	2.0	6 706	3.3
Crawford -----	122	2.1	1 517	3.7	98	1.8	16 669	1.4	72	2.5	11 222	1.2
Crisp -----	199	1.7	4 745	3.5	183	1.5	83 479	.8	168	1.6	64 792	.6
Dade -----	192	2.1	303	20.6	168	1.7	9 836	2.7	133	2.2	4 417	3.0
Dawson -----	170	1.8	3 178	1.7	112	2.0	8 433	3.2	57	3.4	2 293	4.0
Decatur -----	342	1.4	10 708	8.3	308	1.5	104 744	.8	260	1.7	61 481	.6
De Kalb -----	51	4.4	—55	37.8	35	3.5	978	6.0	23	4.7	212	15.8
Dodge -----	394	2.2	2 362	16.8	367	2.1	55 783	1.5	302	2.2	32 208	1.2
Dooly -----	240	2.2	9 817	2.5	231	1.2	119 665	.3	209	1.3	97 576	.2
Dougherty -----	165	2.1	1 681	5.8	141	1.5	36 154	.5	120	1.9	29 094	.5
Douglas -----	103	2.7	127	73.5	81	2.5	3 145	6.3	48	4.4	885	6.5
Early -----	313	1.5	9 396	8.1	283	1.6	120 091	.6	257	1.6	71 208	.5
Echols -----	85	4.1	1 873	1.4	75	2.4	7 804	2.0	67	2.8	3 710	1.7
Effingham -----	181	1.5	598	32.5	172	1.3	19 059	1.9	147	1.7	11 044	2.8
Elbert -----	315	1.5	889	25.4	283	1.4	29 105	2.2	215	1.8	12 364	2.2
Emanuel -----	381	1.6	3 089	12.1	351	1.6	66 679	1.2	294	1.8	44 347	1.4
Evans -----	167	2.1	1 878	6.6	150	1.7	18 846	1.6	127	2.1	12 406	1.6
Fannin -----	176	2.2	786	8.4	144	1.9	6 320	2.5	113	2.4	2 453	2.9
Fayette -----	209	1.1	—186	77.9	164	1.1	10 138	3.4	115	1.6	2 560	3.5
Floyd -----	424	1.2	1 897	12.7	358	1.1	34 904	1.4	252	1.6	16 796	1.9
Forsyth -----	502	1.0	5 690	4.5	348	1.1	15 028	2.9	203	1.7	4 390	3.6
Franklin -----	667	1.3	11 658	2.3	531	1.4	37 065	1.9	387	1.7	11 775	2.0
Fulton -----	235	1.7	252	(H)	186	1.8	8 525	2.9	124	2.4	3 605	2.7
Gilmer -----	252	1.1	6 123	4.2	188	1.1	9 652	1.4	107	2.1	2 708	2.3
Glascock -----	78	4.1	98	18.8	70	2.1	12 192	2.6	53	3.1	5 496	3.3
Glynn -----	41	5.6	—142	12.6	32	4.2	1 765	7.8	28	4.8	410	6.3
Gordon -----	539	1.4	10 004	2.2	420	1.5	42 784	1.8	300	1.8	22 436	2.0
Grady -----	523	1.3	14 693	3.7	484	1.3	85 098	.9	442	1.3	59 381	1.0
Greene -----	206	1.4	3 255	7.7	166	1.8	20 404	1.3	124	2.1	7 722	1.4
Gwinnett -----	344	1.3	865	27.0	257	1.4	9 998	2.0	166	1.9	2 635	3.3
Habersham -----	457	1.0	12 316	2.5	296	1.2	15 322	2.3	175	2.0	5 024	2.8
Hall -----	689	.9	22 215	1.7	482	1.0	27 087	1.8	263	1.5	7 381	1.6
Hancock -----	102	2.8	—86	58.4	77	2.8	8 106	4.8	63	3.4	2 438	3.2
Haralson -----	254	1.6	1 306	10.4	207	1.7	13 985	3.5	151	2.2	5 034	6.4
Harris -----	218	1.4	(D)	(D)	173	1.5	11 893	2.5	124	2.1	2 948	3.0
Hart -----	453	1.2	4 529	5.5	388	1.1	36 530	2.0	286	1.5	15 545	2.7
Heard -----	153	1.8	1 045	8.8	121	1.9	10 886	2.8	81	2.9	2 374	3.5
Henry -----	354	1.1	1 933	14.2	287	1.2	25 174	1.9	197	1.7	9 691	2.4
Houston -----	224	1.4	2 663	7.4	198	1.3	48 986	1.1	168	1.6	36 583	.8
Irwin -----	351	2.6	6 166	9.1	325	1.4	89 094	.6	305	1.4	65 980	.5
Jackson -----	747	1.1	8 965	3.7	575	1.1	38 314	1.6	351	1.5	11 814	1.8
Jasper -----	203	1.3	1 679	8.1	156	1.5	21 247	1.8	100	2.4	6 018	2.1
Jeff Davis -----	263	1.8	2 392	23.3	238	1.7	30 123	1.9	203	1.9	24 376	2.1
Jefferson -----	297	1.5	1 582	24.3	263	1.5	81 338	1.1	240	1.6	56 182	1.1
Jenkins -----	178	1.9	1 822	6.3	159	1.4	44 777	.9	145	1.6	30 901	1.1
Johnson -----	224	1.9	739	38.1	201	2.1	37 178	1.9	170	2.4	21 889	1.7
Jones -----	156	2.4	1 037	6.5	135	2.1	14 314	2.4	101	2.7	5 871	2.9

See footnotes at end of table.

C-28 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Net cash return from agricultural sales for the farm unit (see text) ¹				Total cropland				Harvested cropland			
	Farms		Value		Farms		Acres		Farms		Acres	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (\$1,000)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Lamar -----	203	1.2	492	18.4	160	1.3	18 727	1.6	115	1.9	9 143	.9
Lanier -----	106	2.4	3 468	2.0	103	1.5	21 194	.8	98	1.7	15 431	1.0
Laurens -----	599	1.5	3 094	14.6	558	1.4	92 775	1.0	466	1.5	55 131	1.0
Lee -----	137	1.7	5 316	1.9	130	1.5	58 050	.7	116	1.8	41 498	.7
Liberty -----	49	5.1	-112	11.8	35	3.4	2 303	4.1	22	5.7	454	4.1
Lincoln -----	162	2.7	323	34.6	137	2.4	12 877	3.3	101	2.9	3 751	3.2
Long -----	67	4.4	400	4.7	59	2.2	3 869	4.3	47	3.3	1 991	3.8
Lowndes -----	362	1.9	1 044	74.9	330	1.8	37 028	1.5	291	2.0	24 163	1.3
Lumpkin -----	229	1.4	4 161	5.5	152	1.8	8 471	3.8	89	2.8	2 938	5.2
McDuffie -----	211	2.2	1 703	4.6	182	1.7	13 772	2.3	142	2.2	5 816	2.6
McIntosh -----	33	6.1	-8	94.8	22	4.9	594	9.0	15	6.5	86	8.4
Macon -----	273	1.2	9 450	4.3	230	1.2	71 479	.8	204	1.4	51 471	.8
Madison -----	606	1.0	7 529	5.2	484	1.1	32 509	1.7	325	1.5	10 712	2.4
Marion -----	133	2.3	1 666	5.4	114	1.8	20 675	1.7	92	2.2	8 578	1.5
Meriwether -----	268	1.3	17	(H)	220	1.3	25 796	1.9	153	1.8	8 051	2.6
Miller -----	288	1.9	6 943	7.2	257	1.8	83 258	.6	223	1.8	54 886	.5
Mitchell -----	463	2.0	14 288	2.4	429	1.7	140 837	.5	390	1.7	96 201	.4
Monroe -----	179	1.8	1 293	9.2	148	1.5	16 411	2.4	97	2.3	5 937	2.1
Montgomery -----	232	1.3	769	29.6	202	1.5	23 023	1.6	174	1.8	12 744	1.4
Morgan -----	365	1.4	4 620	4.6	317	1.3	46 349	1.3	238	1.6	17 844	1.3
Murray -----	216	1.2	1 346	14.1	183	1.2	15 959	2.2	136	1.8	7 780	1.5
Muscogee -----	44	5.6	-139	18.5	28	4.6	2 006	22.7	19	7.0	491	29.1
Newton -----	255	1.3	785	60.7	204	1.3	19 476	2.1	152	1.8	7 034	2.5
Oconee -----	296	.9	5 732	7.3	251	.9	24 958	1.7	190	1.3	9 560	1.3
Oglethorpe -----	304	1.1	5 695	4.5	250	1.0	25 339	1.6	186	1.4	9 553	2.2
Paulding -----	221	1.1	839	19.2	180	1.1	8 942	2.1	119	1.8	2 671	2.2
Peach -----	157	2.0	3 158	2.1	147	1.6	35 638	1.2	135	1.8	29 506	1.1
Pickens -----	206	1.3	4 827	1.6	135	1.7	6 803	3.8	83	2.8	1 977	5.6
Pierce -----	356	1.7	1 788	38.4	330	1.7	37 967	1.7	303	1.8	23 671	1.6
Pike -----	254	1.4	57	(H)	199	1.3	22 572	1.8	129	2.0	7 559	2.3
Polk -----	310	1.5	285	(H)	250	1.6	25 980	2.6	155	2.3	10 253	2.7
Pulaski -----	137	2.3	5 986	2.4	129	1.9	61 260	.6	116	2.1	46 704	.5
Putnam -----	166	2.2	2 841	3.5	121	2.4	16 729	2.1	89	2.9	8 533	3.1
Quitman -----	24	4.7	385	1.7	22	1.0	5 870	3.3	14	4.9	2 607	.7
Rabun -----	132	2.3	784	4.5	121	1.8	6 865	1.2	96	2.3	3 625	.8
Randolph -----	127	2.4	4 374	2.2	116	2.2	63 158	.8	101	2.3	45 415	.4
Richmond -----	114	2.6	176	34.9	105	1.9	10 711	2.3	80	2.7	6 201	1.6
Rockdale -----	117	2.1	-170	36.5	84	2.4	4 408	5.0	52	3.6	1 583	4.5
Schley -----	91	3.5	953	3.3	84	1.6	17 404	1.2	69	2.2	8 891	1.6
Screven -----	281	1.8	2 985	10.0	254	1.5	91 240	.7	223	1.6	58 602	.7
Seminole -----	184	1.7	5 138	7.0	173	1.5	78 404	.7	133	2.0	52 694	.4
Spalding -----	212	1.1	195	(H)	173	1.4	13 139	4.0	111	2.2	4 616	4.7
Stephens -----	172	1.9	1 761	2.7	139	1.6	6 989	3.5	96	2.4	2 174	3.1
Stewart -----	97	3.7	1 527	2.2	94	1.9	25 558	1.5	77	2.5	11 603	1.2
Sumter -----	314	1.4	8 270	4.2	285	1.4	114 522	.6	249	1.5	80 343	.5
Talbot -----	128	2.5	63	(H)	102	1.6	9 106	2.5	72	2.4	2 921	2.5
Taliaferro -----	68	3.4	394	3.6	56	1.8	6 972	2.0	41	3.1	2 422	2.9
Tattnall -----	540	2.0	15 447	2.2	484	2.0	69 453	.9	435	2.0	52 703	.9
Taylor -----	167	2.3	1 686	9.1	143	2.0	26 550	2.0	117	2.4	14 999	1.5
Telfair -----	277	1.8	1 102	26.2	246	2.0	34 770	1.8	216	2.2	22 280	2.1
Terrell -----	199	1.6	5 646	3.0	181	1.4	91 056	.5	166	1.6	60 925	.5
Thomas -----	465	1.5	4 619	17.7	435	1.5	84 890	.9	389	1.6	61 912	.7
Tift -----	365	2.2	10 776	2.1	326	1.9	67 402	.7	309	2.0	53 282	.7
Toombs -----	332	1.8	2 961	23.9	310	1.8	48 837	1.3	248	2.1	28 518	1.5
Towns -----	129	2.8	277	24.2	118	2.2	4 430	4.8	89	2.9	1 623	5.6
Treutlen -----	116	2.6	964	11.3	106	2.0	13 255	2.2	80	2.8	8 636	2.2
Troup -----	243	1.6	-462	43.2	198	1.6	20 571	2.5	127	2.4	5 784	3.6
Turner -----	278	1.6	7 828	8.0	252	1.8	69 224	.8	226	1.8	50 339	.7
Twigg -----	113	2.9	429	31.7	104	2.2	14 678	3.6	79	3.0	7 034	3.0
Union -----	261	1.4	(D)	(D)	231	1.4	10 293	2.3	177	1.9	4 464	2.6
Upson -----	175	1.7	722	25.0	144	1.6	13 297	2.8	101	2.4	3 926	3.2
Walker -----	528	1.2	925	27.9	465	1.1	42 700	1.8	325	1.5	17 111	2.1
Walton -----	434	.8	830	50.6	375	.8	28 568	1.4	281	1.1	11 294	2.0
Ware -----	296	1.7	2 772	11.5	265	1.6	21 709	1.8	230	1.9	13 349	1.7
Warren -----	137	2.3	490	10.6	115	2.2	19 828	2.4	86	2.8	5 281	1.8
Washington -----	298	1.3	1 784	18.4	285	1.2	59 356	1.1	234	1.5	37 399	1.0
Wayne -----	284	1.7	1 346	39.5	256	1.7	29 170	1.4	217	2.0	19 187	1.3
Webster -----	80	3.7	1 673	2.0	77	1.9	28 695	1.1	68	2.3	16 730	1.0
Wheeler -----	155	2.1	1 312	10.5	141	1.7	17 302	2.3	122	2.0	8 021	1.8
White -----	297	1.3	5 033	3.5	209	1.3	9 982	2.9	135	2.0	3 792	5.1
Whitfield -----	395	1.6	5 810	2.6	313	1.8	21 063	3.0	213	2.2	8 303	3.9
Wilcox -----	292	1.8	5 019	5.4	262	1.7	73 675	.8	235	1.8	49 359	.8
Wilkes -----	319	1.5	1 048	23.4	279	1.4	36 874	1.5	204	1.8	12 580	1.4
Wilkinson -----	100	2.7	220	56.8	86	2.1	8 570	2.8	68	2.7	3 329	4.7
Worth -----	454	1.8	14 030	5.0	412	1.7	126 311	.5	354	1.7	101 966	.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
					Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----	4 701	.9	724 792	.2	23 339	1.2	1 258 062	.8	20 549	1.2	599 899	1.0
Appling -----	52	3.8	2 364	3.0	226	2.4	8 219	1.9	192	2.6	3 564	2.5
Atkinson -----	56	2.8	4 144	.5	92	2.5	5 417	3.2	86	2.7	3 235	3.1
Bacon -----	64	3.9	1 610	4.5	115	3.1	3 550	3.3	94	3.5	(D)	(D)
Baker -----	68	2.4	26 040	.5	59	3.1	6 256	2.6	54	3.3	(D)	(D)
Baldwin -----	4	18.3	7	21.1	85	2.0	4 962	3.2	81	2.1	(D)	(D)
Banks -----	5	10.0	89	3.1	309	1.0	11 306	1.3	281	1.2	6 322	1.5
Barrow -----	10	6.9	(D)	(D)	283	1.1	11 672	1.4	252	1.2	6 666	1.3
Bartow -----	8	10.1	(D)	(D)	281	1.4	12 798	1.5	261	1.5	7 006	1.8
Ben Hill -----	36	4.7	7 238	1.0	85	3.2	4 703	3.2	74	3.5	(D)	(D)
Berrien -----	120	2.6	10 502	1.1	193	2.4	10 731	2.3	174	2.5	(D)	(D)
Bibb -----	8	11.4	79	10.8	66	3.3	3 253	3.4	54	4.0	1 577	5.0
Bleckley -----	36	4.3	6 918	1.4	89	2.9	4 822	3.4	77	3.2	(D)	(D)
Brantley -----	17	6.9	242	7.1	130	2.4	2 667	3.4	114	2.7	(D)	(D)
Brooks -----	80	2.5	12 795	.4	142	2.5	18 027	.8	125	2.7	5 521	1.6
Bryan -----	1	49.5	(D)	(D)	28	5.8	928	6.6	22	6.9	(D)	(D)
Bulloch -----	52	3.7	3 657	1.1	185	2.7	10 306	2.4	153	3.0	4 893	2.7
Burke -----	38	2.8	8 065	.6	141	2.0	17 035	1.1	110	2.4	6 769	1.8
Butts -----	7	11.2	114	18.8	93	2.6	5 231	3.6	87	2.8	(D)	(D)
Calhoun -----	50	1.5	22 939	.1	21	4.7	2 606	5.3	19	4.8	1 301	5.3
Camden -----	7	12.0	9	15.5	26	4.8	531	9.2	23	5.2	349	9.5
Candler -----	27	5.6	1 828	3.3	103	2.8	6 215	2.7	85	3.1	(D)	(D)
Carroll -----	16	6.5	678	2.9	612	1.5	23 669	1.7	568	1.6	13 252	1.7
Catoosa -----	10	8.8	35	5.8	176	1.4	8 383	1.4	154	1.6	2 960	2.3
Charlton -----	5	14.2	5	14.2	48	4.1	1 097	6.7	39	4.9	610	10.3
Chatham -----	15	4.4	155	1.5	20	5.3	1 548	5.9	20	5.3	868	5.2
Chattahoochee -----	-	-	-	-	11	5.2	426	6.9	11	5.2	229	6.3
Chattooga -----	3	13.7	7	17.7	212	1.6	10 605	1.8	190	1.7	5 230	2.2
Cherokee -----	23	4.8	234	21.4	302	1.3	9 196	1.7	259	1.4	4 791	2.2
Clarke -----	12	7.6	140	21.0	38	3.8	2 678	4.8	34	4.0	(D)	(D)
Clay -----	17	2.9	3 662	(L)	23	4.5	3 159	1.8	22	4.8	(D)	(D)
Clayton -----	4	16.2	6	17.2	28	4.5	1 820	3.9	25	4.7	(D)	(D)
Clinch -----	3	-	149	-	41	4.3	1 222	3.0	36	4.7	719	3.6
Cobb -----	11	6.6	59	1.7	71	2.9	2 167	4.0	65	3.0	(D)	(D)
Coffee -----	161	2.3	10 936	1.2	318	2.5	14 776	2.6	275	2.6	7 195	3.0
Colquitt -----	166	2.0	23 158	.2	339	2.3	19 008	1.7	309	2.3	9 376	1.9
Columbia -----	12	7.4	51	9.8	95	2.5	3 721	2.9	89	2.7	(D)	(D)
Cook -----	80	2.9	8 378	.9	128	2.6	6 857	2.6	116	2.8	3 682	2.7
Coweta -----	16	6.9	102	3.4	205	1.8	7 468	2.5	189	1.9	3 812	3.5
Crawford -----	18	4.5	920	1.3	64	3.0	3 291	3.1	54	3.6	(D)	(D)
Crisp -----	57	2.8	11 443	.9	71	3.5	7 703	2.6	65	3.7	4 242	2.7
Dade -----	7	12.8	12	15.3	129	2.3	4 291	3.4	118	2.5	(D)	(D)
Dawson -----	1	39.5	(D)	(D)	92	2.4	5 538	2.2	80	2.7	3 043	2.6
Decatur -----	112	2.1	39 250	.5	165	2.2	13 223	1.8	145	2.4	6 313	2.3
De Kalb -----	13	5.3	112	.6	13	8.5	504	10.4	13	8.5	365	11.9
Dodge -----	57	3.4	11 161	.8	222	2.6	10 327	2.2	185	2.7	5 269	2.4
Dooly -----	61	2.2	12 181	.4	79	2.6	6 213	1.5	73	2.8	(D)	(D)
Dougherty -----	32	3.8	14 339	.7	42	4.6	3 445	3.3	39	4.8	1 638	5.2
Douglas -----	8	11.8	23	10.4	51	4.2	1 628	8.2	46	4.5	(D)	(D)
Early -----	79	2.3	26 076	.5	160	2.3	13 335	2.0	155	2.4	7 607	2.1
Echols -----	26	5.3	1 353	3.6	42	4.2	1 830	3.3	33	5.0	(D)	(D)
Effingham -----	13	8.2	455	.5	87	2.9	2 958	2.3	75	3.3	(D)	(D)
Elbert -----	6	10.0	605	1.6	264	1.5	13 332	1.8	242	1.7	5 911	2.2
Emanuel -----	21	5.1	2 421	.5	183	2.4	11 352	2.2	157	2.6	5 491	2.2
Evans -----	34	4.4	1 473	4.0	68	3.3	4 566	2.6	57	3.7	(D)	(D)
Fannin -----	6	10.5	135	9.5	121	2.3	3 663	2.7	115	2.4	1 865	3.3
Fayette -----	17	5.3	152	1.8	126	1.5	4 532	2.9	117	1.6	(D)	(D)
Floyd -----	10	7.7	426	4	329	1.3	12 787	1.8	311	1.3	6 799	2.1
Forsyth -----	19	6.7	49	3.6	316	1.2	9 420	2.1	263	1.4	5 177	2.3
Franklin -----	11	11.4	173	7.4	546	1.4	22 780	1.8	501	1.5	12 857	1.9
Fulton -----	22	6.7	155	9.9	112	2.8	3 093	3.5	103	3.0	(D)	(D)
Gilmer -----	4	17.6	(D)	(D)	156	1.5	5 567	1.7	138	1.6	2 830	2.5
Glascock -----	1	-	(D)	(D)	46	3.7	3 510	2.5	43	3.9	1 950	2.7
Glynn -----	7	9.1	10	10.2	26	4.5	711	5.6	23	5.1	(D)	(D)
Gordon -----	7	8.5	487	2.1	376	1.6	18 263	2.2	334	1.7	9 912	2.6
Grady -----	81	2.6	6 733	.8	225	1.9	15 323	1.9	198	2.1	7 480	2.3
Greene -----	7	12.5	110	14.0	163	1.8	12 813	1.2	134	2.1	4 681	1.8
Gwinnett -----	29	4.6	68	5.2	209	1.7	5 327	2.2	182	1.9	3 091	2.3
Habersham -----	11	8.7	57	5.8	266	1.4	12 448	2.3	237	1.5	6 415	3.0
Hall -----	22	5.2	355	19.1	462	1.0	19 104	1.3	392	1.2	8 123	1.8
Hancock -----	-	-	-	-	80	2.6	3 996	3.1	67	3.2	(D)	(D)
Haralson -----	6	14.0	41	18.8	205	1.7	7 039	2.1	189	1.8	3 575	2.4
Harris -----	16	6.9	104	2.9	152	1.8	5 154	2.2	136	1.9	2 602	2.3
Hart -----	18	6.5	838	3.5	353	1.2	17 445	2.1	295	1.5	7 174	2.5
Heard -----	3	17.6	(D)	(D)	127	1.9	4 088	3.2	115	2.1	2 344	3.4
Henry -----	19	5.4	668	.7	222	1.5	9 533	2.4	199	1.7	5 148	2.9
Houston -----	39	4.5	5 308	2.4	88	2.9	7 470	2.0	78	3.2	3 395	2.5
Irwin -----	89	2.2	13 633	.9	143	2.0	9 040	1.2	127	2.1	(D)	(D)
Jackson -----	19	6.1	169	4.5	532	1.2	25 402	1.6	484	1.2	14 575	1.6
Jasper -----	5	13.2	14	11.6	147	1.6	10 372	1.9	132	1.8	4 958	2.1

See footnotes at end of table.

C-30 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Irrigated land				Livestock and poultry							
	Farms		Acres		Cattle and calves inventory				Beef cows inventory			
					Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	31	4.6	2 606	3.5	84	3.6	2 565	4.1	68	4.0	1 407	4.9
Jefferson -----	54	2.7	13 362	1.3	155	2.2	13 060	2.0	117	2.8	3 947	3.3
Jenkins -----	20	3.0	4 501	.3	90	2.3	8 129	1.3	62	3.2	1 723	3.9
Johnson -----	4	—	881	—	133	2.7	8 663	2.6	119	2.9	(D)	(D)
Jones -----	5	13.3	23	8.0	106	2.6	7 105	2.2	92	3.0	2 375	4.4
Lamar -----	11	5.9	1 484	1.1	143	1.5	10 654	2.2	115	1.9	3 882	4.0
Lanier -----	24	5.2	5 888	.7	33	5.0	1 928	2.8	23	6.2	879	3.0
Laurens -----	53	3.2	6 316	2.5	319	1.9	14 972	1.8	272	2.0	7 278	2.3
Lee -----	46	3.4	16 451	.6	43	4.2	10 588	1.3	36	4.8	(D)	(D)
Liberty -----	2	23.6	(D)	(D)	24	4.6	801	5.2	24	4.6	534	6.1
Lincoln -----	2	23.3	(D)	(D)	137	2.3	6 927	3.9	127	2.5	3 784	4.4
Long -----	7	11.4	(D)	(D)	22	7.1	815	8.7	22	7.1	526	8.2
Lowndes -----	62	4.0	2 062	3.4	171	2.7	7 986	3.2	151	3.0	4 080	3.8
Lumpkin -----	4	16.8	20	27.1	147	1.8	5 211	2.7	137	2.0	(D)	(D)
McDuffie -----	10	10.0	385	1.3	140	2.3	7 217	2.2	126	2.5	3 417	3.0
McIntosh -----	3	16.7	7	21.4	21	4.8	423	7.7	17	6.3	278	8.1
Macon -----	73	2.7	14 101	1.4	106	2.3	16 586	1.2	50	4.1	1 690	7.3
Madison -----	13	7.5	272	5.6	443	1.2	19 618	1.8	417	1.2	11 288	1.9
Marion -----	9	5.1	627	.1	65	3.0	2 981	3.6	58	3.4	1 861	4.6
Meriwether -----	7	8.6	32	3.6	205	1.4	13 157	1.8	187	1.6	7 678	2.0
Miller -----	129	2.1	30 943	.6	176	2.2	18 433	1.5	153	2.3	9 829	1.5
Mitchell -----	149	1.8	47 396	.6	202	2.4	21 650	1.2	177	2.5	7 812	1.6
Monroe -----	7	9.9	(D)	(D)	115	2.0	8 050	1.9	101	2.3	2 974	3.2
Montgomery -----	22	4.7	1 273	1.9	97	2.7	4 709	3.5	86	2.9	2 649	3.3
Morgan -----	14	7.9	795	1.0	269	1.5	25 184	.9	209	1.8	6 486	2.3
Murray -----	11	7.3	510	2.8	156	1.6	5 944	2.3	139	1.8	(D)	(D)
Muscogee -----	5	15.1	6	12.6	21	5.9	409	9.6	20	6.1	244	8.8
Newton -----	9	10.7	96	9.4	185	1.5	11 598	1.7	162	1.7	5 557	1.9
Oconee -----	25	4.6	562	2.0	180	1.3	12 244	1.2	169	1.4	6 411	1.4
Oglethorpe -----	13	6.0	54	4.1	211	1.2	15 096	1.5	188	1.4	7 029	1.4
Paulding -----	6	5.8	6	5.8	152	1.4	4 288	2.3	137	1.5	(D)	(D)
Peach -----	30	4.0	6 412	1.9	59	3.7	3 083	2.1	43	4.6	704	5.0
Pickens -----	1	35.7	(D)	(D)	130	1.8	4 386	3.5	121	2.0	(D)	(D)
Pierce -----	86	3.3	4 238	1.9	122	3.0	6 213	2.9	93	3.6	2 205	5.3
Pike -----	18	5.7	469	4.3	186	1.5	8 795	1.8	160	1.7	4 663	2.2
Polk -----	5	13.4	57	13.0	227	1.8	8 032	2.5	203	2.0	4 128	2.9
Pulaski -----	36	2.7	15 224	.3	34	5.1	2 568	8.7	30	5.5	(D)	(D)
Putnam -----	4	10.8	356	.1	150	1.9	16 501	.7	84	3.2	2 527	2.7
Quitman -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	15	4.4	1 664	1.7	13	5.3	1 150	1.9
Rabun -----	8	5.3	1 696	(L)	90	2.5	2 255	2.9	81	2.8	1 294	2.7
Randolph -----	41	1.7	15 874	.4	64	3.4	5 883	1.4	53	4.0	2 864	2.2
Richmond -----	18	6.3	523	4.3	61	3.6	2 555	3.1	54	4.0	(D)	(D)
Rockdale -----	6	—	16	.4	57	3.4	1 719	7.3	43	4.3	(D)	(D)
Schley -----	11	8.9	1 832	1.9	59	2.7	2 379	3.7	54	3.0	1 282	3.2
Sciven -----	32	3.8	8 008	.6	113	2.3	8 968	2.5	101	2.4	4 359	2.7
Seminole -----	66	2.7	41 391	.3	81	3.0	6 996	2.6	77	3.1	(D)	(D)
Spalding -----	12	8.3	255	2.6	139	1.8	6 430	3.4	121	2.1	(D)	(D)
Stephens -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	122	1.8	5 170	3.5	114	1.9	(D)	(D)
Stewart -----	9	5.3	3 723	(L)	60	3.2	3 078	2.4	51	3.7	(D)	(D)
Sumter -----	100	2.0	32 864	.5	116	2.6	14 379	1.4	100	2.8	5 131	2.2
Talbot -----	2	19.8	(D)	(D)	93	1.8	4 419	3.0	88	2.0	(D)	(D)
Taliaferro -----	—	—	—	—	58	1.7	4 297	1.7	45	2.6	1 324	4.5
Tattnall -----	114	2.8	6 601	.8	177	2.7	12 078	1.8	148	2.8	5 953	1.9
Taylor -----	9	10.6	885	1.4	75	3.5	4 642	4.1	67	3.7	2 771	4.8
Telfair -----	61	3.9	7 528	1.5	119	3.0	6 561	4.2	101	3.3	(D)	(D)
Terrell -----	45	2.2	16 757	.8	41	4.9	3 503	3.8	37	5.1	(D)	(D)
Thomas -----	74	2.9	6 553	1.3	163	2.7	11 111	2.9	142	2.9	5 299	2.9
Tift -----	151	2.5	23 695	.7	130	3.0	9 028	2.2	115	3.2	(D)	(D)
Toombs -----	70	3.4	4 621	1.1	156	2.6	9 717	1.7	136	2.8	3 941	2.3
Towns -----	2	18.8	(D)	(D)	99	2.7	2 603	4.7	92	2.9	1 565	5.3
Treutlen -----	11	7.5	1 305	.5	65	3.5	2 047	4.4	58	3.8	1 197	4.2
Troup -----	5	15.2	94	2.9	192	1.7	8 436	2.1	176	1.9	4 417	2.4
Turner -----	91	2.6	14 563	.9	135	2.5	12 229	1.4	122	2.6	5 799	1.6
Twiggs -----	7	9.4	575	.9	61	3.6	3 047	5.3	55	3.9	(D)	(D)
Union -----	7	10.6	33	3.6	169	2.0	5 444	2.6	145	2.3	2 690	3.0
Upson -----	11	7.4	741	8.3	123	2.0	6 171	2.9	118	2.0	3 756	3.2
Walker -----	4	12.0	175	4.1	444	1.2	18 605	1.6	396	1.3	9 015	1.7
Walton -----	29	4.7	480	2.8	280	1.2	11 218	1.5	244	1.3	5 991	1.9
Ware -----	41	4.7	1 407	1.7	122	2.9	4 488	2.6	104	3.2	(D)	(D)
Warren -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	100	2.5	9 104	1.7	84	3.0	4 014	2.9
Washington -----	26	4.6	4 068	1.4	174	2.0	12 280	2.0	144	2.3	5 614	2.5
Wayne -----	49	4.3	2 231	1.4	122	2.9	4 651	3.0	107	3.2	2 472	3.6
Webster -----	16	5.1	4 351	1.0	33	4.4	2 232	3.6	29	4.9	1 382	4.7
Wheeler -----	28	4.5	2 939	2.7	88	2.7	3 881	3.0	78	2.9	(D)	(D)
White -----	6	8.6	(D)	(D)	198	1.4	7 961	1.7	175	1.6	3 671	2.6
Whitfield -----	6	12.8	(D)	(D)	298	1.8	10 334	2.2	269	2.0	5 385	2.2
Wilcox -----	78	2.9	12 286	1.0	162	2.4	11 028	2.8	147	2.5	5 765	2.7
Wilkes -----	6	13.6	11	14.5	276	1.4	19 486	1.3	259	1.5	10 125	1.8
Wilkinson -----	4	12.3	(D)	(D)	59	3.2	3 042	4.4	52	3.5	(D)	(D)
Worth -----	117	2.1	19 187	.9	212	2.2	14 923	1.4	190	2.3	6 725	1.6

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-31

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----	1 168	1.0	102 001	.1	3 844	1.4	1 000 813	.5	374	2.0	8 237	2.7
Appling -----	14	9.1	1 423	.9	116	3.3	21 390	3.2	6	13.4	24	17.4
Atkinson -----	—	—	—	—	54	3.3	8 977	2.1	—	—	—	—
Bacon -----	3	21.7	(D)	(D)	50	4.9	8 028	2.7	3	21.7	31	21.4
Baker -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	25	5.9	1 535	5.2	1	—	(D)	(D)
Baldwin -----	2	17.7	(D)	(D)	9	10.6	321	15.7	1	35.4	(D)	(D)
Banks -----	13	7.1	193	9.9	20	6.2	(D)	(D)	6	11.7	96	20.2
Barrow -----	10	9.5	48	12.0	16	7.0	(D)	(D)	3	17.8	(D)	(D)
Bartow -----	13	6.9	727	.8	14	7.4	20 012	.3	7	9.8	82	1.8
Ben Hill -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	33	5.3	6 001	2.4	1	43.3	(D)	(D)
Berrien -----	4	17.2	(D)	(D)	62	3.9	22 961	1.2	3	15.8	23	18.5
Bibb -----	7	10.6	448	.4	9	11.6	1 120	5.1	1	42.1	(D)	(D)
Bleckley -----	2	20.8	(D)	(D)	32	5.0	8 147	1.6	1	34.0	(D)	(D)
Brantley -----	4	15.5	(D)	(D)	33	5.7	1 665	5.7	1	44.1	(D)	(D)
Brooks -----	11	7.3	1 294	.1	98	2.7	36 569	1.1	—	—	—	—
Bryan -----	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	15	8.4	301	7.9	—	—	—	—
Bulloch -----	8	13.4	33	12.3	108	3.1	35 733	1.1	10	11.2	169	13.2
Burke -----	17	4.5	2 383	.2	39	4.7	4 304	5.5	3	14.2	8	32.0
Butts -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	1	49.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Calhoun -----	—	—	—	—	20	5.4	3 657	3.4	1	35.0	(D)	(D)
Camden -----	4	15.6	10	9.3	9	11.3	157	12.3	2	24.0	(D)	(D)
Candler -----	1	48.6	(D)	(D)	31	5.5	22 938	.9	3	20.6	50	18.1
Carroll -----	12	7.7	495	.2	17	8.3	(D)	(D)	6	15.6	41	21.9
Catoosa -----	10	5.8	1 318	1.5	6	12.6	21	12.8	—	—	—	—
Charlton -----	3	25.5	8	28.4	7	14.6	241	40.1	—	—	—	—
Chatham -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chattahoochee -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chattooga -----	10	9.5	369	2.2	6	12.9	(D)	(D)	2	26.5	(D)	(D)
Cherokee -----	13	6.7	398	1.7	9	7.1	(D)	(D)	8	12.4	100	17.0
Clarke -----	2	18.2	(D)	(D)	4	9.1	(D)	(D)	3	16.8	118	16.6
Clay -----	3	16.3	(D)	(D)	19	3.5	4 404	3.8	—	—	—	—
Clayton -----	1	47.1	(D)	(D)	2	23.6	(D)	(D)	1	35.0	(D)	(D)
Clinch -----	—	—	—	—	9	9.3	805	37.2	—	—	—	—
Cobb -----	1	25.8	(D)	(D)	2	26.3	(D)	(D)	1	38.1	(D)	(D)
Coffee -----	15	8.5	828	1.0	229	2.6	41 687	2.1	8	10.7	59	18.7
Colquitt -----	15	6.6	1 482	.3	98	3.4	42 719	1.0	7	13.6	168	17.6
Columbia -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	32.7	(D)	(D)	4	16.8	(D)	(D)
Cook -----	—	—	—	—	33	6.1	5 765	4.0	3	20.4	(D)	(D)
Coweta -----	8	9.0	453	5.5	8	11.2	567	1.5	2	27.3	(D)	(D)
Crawford -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	10	11.6	284	18.3	—	—	—	—
Crisp -----	—	—	—	—	17	7.4	17 854	1.5	1	—	(D)	(D)
Dade -----	3	14.4	(D)	(D)	6	14.3	(D)	(D)	3	24.7	(D)	(D)
Dawson -----	3	13.2	7	28.2	7	—	1 366	—	3	15.7	140	23.6
Decatur -----	11	10.2	584	2.6	54	4.2	7 912	2.4	1	44.7	(D)	(D)
De Kalb -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dodge -----	5	16.4	44	19.2	103	3.6	15 318	2.5	—	—	(D)	(D)
Dooly -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	25	5.1	12 496	.3	2	—	(D)	(D)
Dougherty -----	3	19.4	7	21.7	9	12.8	375	16.4	3	14.4	96	5.4
Douglas -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	1	49.0	(D)	(D)	1	44.2	(D)	(D)
Early -----	—	—	—	—	62	3.9	7 339	2.1	2	—	(D)	(D)
Echols -----	2	27.3	(D)	(D)	3	20.4	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Effingham -----	4	16.6	(D)	(D)	29	5.3	6 196	4.6	6	11.8	53	18.0
Elbert -----	11	5.1	1 719	.2	9	12.6	446	16.4	—	—	—	—
Emanuel -----	10	9.5	370	1.8	59	4.3	10 338	1.4	—	—	—	—
Evans -----	2	32.5	(D)	(D)	18	6.2	4 201	1.5	—	—	—	—
Fannin -----	7	8.3	171	6.1	6	14.6	93	37.7	2	19.3	(D)	(D)
Fayette -----	3	7.8	(D)	(D)	5	12.3	28	12.8	1	31.4	(D)	(D)
Floyd -----	8	7.8	323	1.0	5	14.5	(D)	(D)	9	10.6	251	28.3
Forsyth -----	13	9.2	148	9.2	14	6.0	684	11.5	5	11.9	119	18.6
Franklin -----	20	7.4	514	1.8	22	7.8	307	3.0	9	11.9	120	17.3
Fulton -----	4	14.9	(D)	(D)	7	9.9	299	17.2	—	—	—	—
Gilmer -----	6	5.2	773	2.4	6	—	(D)	(D)	6	11.7	216	12.1
Glascock -----	—	—	—	—	4	19.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Glynn -----	2	31.9	(D)	(D)	6	13.4	34	14.7	2	24.2	(D)	(D)
Gordon -----	10	9.8	606	4.1	8	10.6	5 260	2.5	5	16.2	186	18.7
Grady -----	16	7.7	1 129	.5	98	2.7	40 192	1.4	6	9.8	97	21.6
Greene -----	24	2.4	3 145	.3	6	13.7	33	26.5	4	14.6	37	19.6
Gwinnett -----	6	12.4	18	23.5	11	9.7	79	11.4	1	24.2	(D)	(D)
Habersham -----	5	11.1	201	1.6	11	7.6	7 177	.1	2	19.7	(D)	(D)
Hall -----	24	4.4	2 186	.4	14	8.5	615	18.6	2	17.7	(D)	(D)
Hancock -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	8	12.7	702	20.7	1	48.2	(D)	(D)
Haralson -----	9	9.1	462	1.2	3	19.3	(D)	(D)	2	19.3	(D)	(D)
Harris -----	3	19.2	3	19.2	8	9.8	1 288	3.4	2	25.0	(D)	(D)
Hart -----	25	4.4	2 056	1.9	15	8.1	(D)	(D)	7	12.9	102	15.9
Heard -----	3	17.6	6	17.6	4	20.9	29	23.9	1	42.4	(D)	(D)
Henry -----	14	7.3	475	1.1	8	12.2	70	14.7	5	14.7	153	18.8
Houston -----	8	7.1	942	.3	20	6.5	3 274	.9	6	12.5	(D)	(D)
Irwin -----	1	47.9	(D)	(D)	55	3.4	12 110	2.4	1	—	(D)	(D)
Jackson -----	14	8.2	382	5.1	24	5.4	(D)	(D)	7	9.4	1 356	2.7
Jasper -----	11	4.9	1 427	.2	5	18.1	(D)	(D)	7	10.8	239	24.7

See footnotes at end of table.

C-32 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.											
	Milk cows inventory				Hogs and pigs inventory				Sheep and lambs inventory			
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total		Farms		Total	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	3	23.4	7	36.2	81	3.4	13 330	2.1	2	—	(D)	(D)
Jefferson -----	21	3.8	1 739	.4	34	5.6	6 189	1.4	2	31.9	(D)	(D)
Jenkins -----	17	—	2 984	—	49	3.7	9 087	1.4	—	—	—	—
Johnson -----	1	46.2	(D)	(D)	45	4.9	7 152	2.3	3	15.4	(D)	(D)
Jones -----	10	—	2 080	—	7	14.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Lamar -----	11	6.2	1 290	.3	9	9.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Lanier -----	—	—	—	—	15	7.4	3 657	1.2	—	—	—	—
Laurens -----	25	6.4	704	2.4	106	3.0	19 718	2.1	2	23.6	(D)	(D)
Lee -----	3	16.7	(D)	(D)	15	8.6	1 634	13.5	2	25.0	(D)	(D)
Liberty -----	—	—	—	—	10	10.7	844	28.1	1	44.5	(D)	(D)
Lincoln -----	4	16.1	343	3.7	8	12.7	(D)	(D)	3	21.5	(D)	(D)
Long -----	—	—	—	—	10	11.3	458	16.6	—	—	—	—
Lowndes -----	7	9.0	317	.4	41	5.6	4 970	5.0	2	22.8	(D)	(D)
Lumpkin -----	10	11.3	(D)	(D)	10	10.1	3 892	2.9	6	14.1	42	22.4
McDuffie -----	5	12.8	883	.7	14	9.3	435	5.5	4	14.8	(D)	(D)
McIntosh -----	3	22.4	5	21.5	5	16.0	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)
Macon -----	38	2.4	8 133	.1	23	6.5	434	4.3	2	18.2	(D)	(D)
Madison -----	13	9.6	322	5.6	6	14.4	122	29.8	6	15.3	100	20.2
Marion -----	3	21.2	63	28.9	27	5.7	2 926	3.9	1	39.3	(D)	(D)
Meriwether -----	9	5.5	689	.3	6	9.8	(D)	(D)	2	17.2	(D)	(D)
Miller -----	4	16.8	16	20.0	58	4.3	6 194	4.5	—	—	—	—
Mitchell -----	10	6.9	3 343	.1	46	4.3	15 506	2.8	1	—	(D)	(D)
Monroe -----	14	4.9	1 669	.1	5	13.9	236	27.2	—	—	—	—
Montgomery -----	7	11.7	32	16.2	39	4.4	9 051	2.9	—	—	—	—
Morgan -----	57	1.3	8 387	(L)	10	10.1	934	10.7	8	10.7	255	13.4
Murray -----	5	12.1	(D)	(D)	16	7.4	1 312	6.8	1	35.0	(D)	(D)
Muscogee -----	—	—	—	—	1	49.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Newton -----	10	8.4	1 073	.9	5	15.8	(D)	(D)	2	24.5	(D)	(D)
Oconee -----	7	7.8	537	.3	12	4.8	14 558	(L)	5	13.1	90	21.1
Oglethorpe -----	12	5.3	1 819	.5	14	7.2	17 679	.1	6	13.1	163	17.6
Paulding -----	3	12.8	(D)	(D)	3	14.9	18	14.2	—	—	—	—
Peach -----	7	8.7	1 345	.1	6	12.7	622	23.1	1	45.2	(D)	(D)
Pickens -----	3	18.1	(D)	(D)	8	10.7	(D)	(D)	7	13.0	25	15.4
Pierce -----	8	5.4	1 552	.1	49	4.7	6 809	3.1	4	15.1	31	18.8
Pike -----	9	9.0	556	2.7	6	10.3	190	3.1	—	—	—	—
Polk -----	8	7.3	564	.6	5	10.8	456	6.6	2	26.5	(D)	(D)
Pulaski -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	18	8.4	1 896	12.5	—	—	—	—
Putnam -----	57	2.1	8 707	.3	5	10.0	43	1.2	2	25.0	(D)	(D)
Quitman -----	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Rabun -----	6	15.2	49	19.4	5	17.4	43	29.1	2	21.1	(D)	(D)
Randolph -----	3	—	340	—	19	6.2	5 638	2.7	—	—	—	—
Richmond -----	3	19.5	(D)	(D)	7	14.6	254	21.2	—	—	—	—
Rockdale -----	4	15.0	(D)	(D)	3	19.9	(D)	(D)	3	19.7	69	18.5
Schley -----	6	10.6	198	3.3	10	7.8	13 267	.2	—	—	—	—
Sciven -----	5	13.3	249	1.3	74	3.1	20 647	.9	8	8.6	108	11.1
Seminole -----	2	24.8	(D)	(D)	22	6.5	4 861	3.4	—	—	—	—
Spalding -----	7	9.4	(D)	(D)	5	10.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Stephens -----	4	14.1	(D)	(D)	4	17.2	20	23.5	1	35.9	(D)	(D)
Stewart -----	1	47.1	(D)	(D)	19	7.3	1 523	3.6	1	45.6	(D)	(D)
Sumter -----	6	—	1 667	—	38	4.4	22 213	.9	2	32.6	(D)	(D)
Talbot -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Taliaferro -----	12	5.0	1 446	2.0	3	15.9	(D)	(D)	1	35.0	(D)	(D)
Tattnall -----	7	9.3	233	1.1	72	3.5	21 489	1.1	4	16.2	35	21.1
Taylor -----	3	21.9	3	21.9	14	6.9	10 279	3.9	1	—	(D)	(D)
Telfair -----	1	40.8	(D)	(D)	101	3.4	11 483	3.2	1	50.0	(D)	(D)
Terrell -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	14	9.5	3 557	1.3	—	—	—	—
Thomas -----	4	—	626	—	38	4.6	13 617	.8	3	21.6	34	22.3
Tift -----	7	13.3	(D)	(D)	45	3.8	11 825	1.8	6	13.0	114	13.3
Toombs -----	9	13.7	80	18.8	48	4.8	10 024	2.6	2	24.5	(D)	(D)
Towns -----	6	13.3	21	17.4	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	24.4	(D)	(D)
Treutlen -----	5	19.3	10	21.9	24	7.0	1 518	15.3	—	—	—	—
Troup -----	10	8.5	730	2.5	3	19.1	64	17.2	4	17.4	16	28.0
Turner -----	7	12.3	168	5.9	36	5.2	4 942	5.7	3	16.7	70	14.3
Twiggs -----	1	45.8	(D)	(D)	22	7.8	1 749	8.6	1	45.8	(D)	(D)
Union -----	9	9.7	282	7.6	15	8.7	1 199	7.0	5	15.9	112	20.0
Upson -----	3	22.4	8	25.3	6	11.2	(D)	(D)	1	36.2	(D)	(D)
Walker -----	22	4.5	1 108	.5	21	7.3	2 927	7.1	10	10.8	86	12.9
Walton -----	12	8.0	176	3.3	6	8.1	(D)	(D)	4	13.9	(D)	(D)
Ware -----	7	11.6	(D)	(D)	36	5.7	3 897	5.8	1	—	(D)	(D)
Warren -----	12	6.6	1 410	.2	9	9.8	1 420	3.5	—	—	—	—
Washington -----	13	6.9	824	1.1	23	5.9	1 739	2.5	—	—	—	—
Wayne -----	3	—	376	—	41	5.1	5 478	4.4	1	40.5	(D)	(D)
Webster -----	—	—	—	—	23	5.1	4 788	.9	—	—	—	—
Wheeler -----	1	47.1	(D)	(D)	37	4.7	8 642	1.9	—	—	—	—
White -----	9	6.8	611	1.3	9	8.9	(D)	(D)	3	15.7	42	10.1
Whitfield -----	11	10.3	380	.6	8	15.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—
Wilcox -----	5	9.6	382	.1	44	4.3	4 814	2.7	1	—	(D)	(D)
Wilkes -----	13	—	2 160	—	8	11.4	(D)	(D)	5	11.1	62	27.9
Wilkinson -----	2	31.1	(D)	(D)	14	8.4	722	6.6	4	15.5	45	15.6
Worth -----	11	6.2	1 000	.4	57	4.0	8 393	1.8	3	16.7	(D)	(D)

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-33

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.						
	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold		
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number
Georgia -----							
Appling -----	1 686	1.2	20 337 392	.2	2 407	.2	749 018 187
Atkinson -----	18	7.5	152 250	(L)	15	—	5 185 620
Bacon -----	10	8.3	82 157	4.6	38	1.8	17 140 956
Baker -----	17	6.0	485 635	(L)	2	—	(D)
	4	14.6	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)
Baldwin -----	2	25.4	(D)	(D)	4	—	875 000
Banks -----	50	2.8	868 801	1.6	120	.5	35 463 284
Barrow -----	20	1.9	406 004	(L)	72	.9	20 502 372
Bartow -----	10	7.8	54 859	(L)	43	1.3	12 494 862
Ben Hill -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Berrien -----	8	11.8	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)
Bibb -----	6	15.7	140	30.6	4	—	1 157 000
Bleckley -----	5	12.8	72	16.9	—	—	—
Brantley -----	25	5.4	801 075	(L)	—	—	—
Brooks -----	9	11.6	271	13.0	—	—	—
Bryan -----	8	12.8	(D)	(D)	3	14.8	(D)
Bulloch -----	20	6.9	195 664	(L)	4	—	1 000 296
Burke -----	8	14.3	94	16.1	—	—	—
Butts -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Calhoun -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D)
Camden -----	9	11.7	200	17.3	1	—	(D)
Candler -----	8	11.3	77 872	(L)	2	—	(D)
Carroll -----	21	6.9	96 128	(L)	83	1.3	25 853 324
Catoosa -----	8	7.8	92 176	(L)	18	—	7 425 396
Charlton -----	10	9.4	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)
Chatham -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Chattahoochee -----	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)
Chattooga -----	8	9.7	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)
Cherokee -----	23	4.9	401 353	1.0	85	.7	19 413 648
Clarke -----	5	12.9	(D)	(D)	5	—	1 001 038
Clay -----	4	21.5	45	22.7	—	—	—
Clayton -----	3	21.6	90	24.1	—	—	—
Clinch -----	4	12.3	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)
Cobb -----	4	18.5	58	21.5	2	—	(D)
Coffee -----	32	6.3	819 919	(L)	43	1.1	21 978 796
Colquitt -----	10	11.8	416	16.0	5	—	2 722 000
Columbia -----	4	17.6	44	18.0	—	—	—
Cook -----	6	13.2	72	11.1	—	—	—
Coweta -----	16	8.3	447	11.0	3	12.0	(D)
Crawford -----	5	17.3	62	23.6	8	6.2	2 864 700
Crisp -----	4	20.3	45	18.2	1	—	(D)
Dade -----	12	8.9	64 954	5.4	12	4.2	3 050 150
Dawson -----	13	3.7	473 740	(L)	46	1.7	13 658 034
Decatur -----	7	13.4	85	18.0	1	—	(D)
De Kalb -----	5	13.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Dodge -----	18	9.2	292	10.3	1	49.0	(D)
Dooly -----	4	18.0	31	22.7	1	—	(D)
Dougherty -----	7	14.5	152	14.6	—	—	—
Douglas -----	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)
Early -----	15	8.7	306	16.6	—	—	—
Echols -----	1	40.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Effingham -----	17	8.1	507	10.8	2	19.5	(D)
Elbert -----	5	15.2	(D)	(D)	6	—	1 868 000
Emanuel -----	19	8.2	921	21.6	2	19.0	(D)
Evans -----	7	9.2	91 022	(L)	12	—	3 198 074
Fannin -----	15	7.1	85 125	(L)	6	—	3 445 348
Fayette -----	8	12.5	237	15.9	—	—	—
Floyd -----	9	10.6	(D)	(D)	17	2.6	8 195 400
Forsyth -----	21	4.7	723 162	.5	122	1.1	27 113 334
Franklin -----	59	2.7	1 284 707	.5	126	.8	43 041 610
Fulton -----	10	12.0	173	13.7	6	—	843 500
Gilmer -----	21	4.3	310 492	3.0	57	.7	25 641 672
Glascock -----	5	14.8	55	20.1	—	—	—
Glynn -----	5	16.0	70	20.2	—	—	—
Gordon -----	35	2.8	672 852	.1	81	.6	30 201 788
Grady -----	7	14.7	91	14.1	—	—	—
Greene -----	9	11.6	160	13.1	20	—	4 678 790
Gwinnett -----	17	8.1	496	13.5	25	1.8	5 508 476
Habersham -----	43	3.5	582 709	1.4	158	.6	52 023 433
Hall -----	62	1.9	2 416 609	.4	121	.8	31 895 439
Hancock -----	2	31.5	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Haralson -----	7	14.3	126	17.3	19	2.5	8 308 772
Harris -----	9	11.3	115	14.7	—	—	—
Hart -----	26	3.5	360 732	2.5	26	—	11 031 725
Heard -----	11	9.5	74 725	4.8	15	—	5 802 008
Henry -----	15	7.8	308	8.9	—	—	—
Houston -----	11	10.0	297	11.7	6	—	3 002 000
Irwin -----	3	21.8	26	30.2	3	—	1 570 000
Jackson -----	65	2.2	1 711 448	.6	164	1.2	31 901 977
Jasper -----	17	4.5	1 306 042	(L)	8	—	1 864 755

See footnotes at end of table.

C-34 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Livestock and poultry —Con.						
	Hens and pullets of laying age inventory				Broilers and other meat-type chickens sold		
	Farms		Total		Farms		Total
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number
Jeff Davis -----	8	8.3	190 074	(L)	—	—	—
Jefferson -----	12	8.9	271	8.8	2	19.8	(D) (D)
Jenkins -----	16	5.9	320 024	(L)	—	—	—
Johnson -----	7	13.8	102	19.0	—	—	—
Jones -----	2	24.8	(D)	(D)	7	—	1 337 000
Lamar -----	2	25.1	(D)	(D)	7	—	2 906 000
Lanier -----	4	20.3	75	22.6	3	—	626 250
Laurens -----	27	5.9	897	10.6	—	—	—
Lee -----	6	15.3	122	18.7	—	—	—
Liberty -----	1	47.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Lincoln -----	9	13.4	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Long -----	2	22.6	(D)	(D)	5	—	1 780 000
Lowndes -----	8	13.6	123	14.9	—	—	—
Lumpkin -----	22	4.7	621 591	.8	44	1.6	12 670 517
McDuffie -----	8	13.5	101	15.2	1	44.9	(D) (.D)
McIntosh -----	5	17.6	59	17.8	—	—	—
Macon -----	7	10.9	267	13.1	19	—	8 997 000
Madison -----	23	3.4	380 462	(L)	130	.5	35 833 792
Marion -----	7	11.9	(D)	(D)	12	3.8	5 392 307
Meriwether -----	12	9.0	175	11.9	—	—	—
Miller -----	4	19.9	100	26.8	—	—	—
Mitchell -----	12	11.7	222	12.7	6	—	2 420 000
Monroe -----	4	15.6	(D)	(D)	18	—	5 631 441
Montgomery -----	4	17.6	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Morgan -----	17	6.3	318 776	(L)	23	—	6 363 473
Murray -----	11	9.2	(D)	(D)	16	—	4 962 000
Muscogee -----	1	45.8	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Newton -----	10	9.9	(D)	(D)	5	5.7	898 015
Oconee -----	18	4.1	467 288	(L)	32	1.0	13 570 295
Oglethorpe -----	13	7.3	(D)	(D)	41	.8	16 677 118
Paulding -----	4	13.6	55	13.1	21	1.0	5 931 434
Peach -----	3	19.0	34	16.3	—	—	—
Pickens -----	15	6.2	168 793	5.3	47	1.1	18 031 998
Pierce -----	17	7.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Pike -----	2	23.3	(D)	(D)	3	11.0	(D) (.D)
Polk -----	4	16.8	30	22.1	16	—	7 086 338
Pulaski -----	1	49.9	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Putnam -----	6	16.1	54	16.0	—	—	—
Quitman -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rabun -----	6	12.9	219	18.9	14	—	3 833 000
Randolph -----	4	21.3	98	28.5	—	—	—
Richmond -----	8	11.7	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Rockdale -----	6	12.9	(D)	(D)	1	42.9	(D) (D)
Schley -----	3	21.1	(D)	(D)	1	—	—
Sciven -----	6	16.0	83	21.9	—	—	—
Seminole -----	1	45.2	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Spalding -----	5	14.1	61	9.2	1	—	—
Stephens -----	5	8.6	61 601	(L)	28	1.7	8 252 349
Stewart -----	2	22.8	(D)	(D)	2	—	(D) (D)
Sumter -----	6	17.3	194	24.4	1	—	(D) (D)
Talbot -----	2	27.6	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D) (.D)
Taliaferro -----	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Tattnall -----	19	6.1	337 416	2.7	74	.7	20 796 455
Taylor -----	3	13.3	(D)	(D)	7	—	3 737 096
Telfair -----	6	13.5	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Terrell -----	3	26.3	110	30.0	—	—	—
Thomas -----	9	14.0	114	16.9	—	—	—
Tift -----	5	13.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Toombs -----	15	9.6	281	12.4	1	—	(D) (D)
Towns -----	7	12.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Treutlen -----	4	19.5	46	19.6	—	—	—
Troup -----	12	10.1	375	10.8	2	18.6	(D) (D)
Turner -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Twiggs -----	5	18.3	210	20.4	1	45.8	(D) (D)
Union -----	12	8.2	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D) (D)
Upson -----	7	12.9	112	11.2	12	—	4 440 560
Walker -----	10	10.0	142	12.3	16	3.0	6 874 268
Walton -----	15	6.0	116 684	(L)	25	—	7 302 371
Ware -----	20	7.2	(D)	(D)	6	7.3	2 413 303
Warren -----	7	13.1	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
Washington -----	10	10.9	225	16.6	—	—	—
Wayne -----	15	8.0	521	10.0	1	—	(D) (D)
Webster -----	4	20.1	35	23.9	—	—	—
Wheeler -----	1	49.3	(D)	(D)	—	—	—
White -----	28	3.9	603 083	1.8	81	1.3	22 572 526
Whitfield -----	18	5.8	194 687	2.4	32	—	11 326 783
Wilcox -----	9	11.9	111	15.6	—	—	—
Wilkes -----	4	17.7	(D)	(D)	4	—	1 392 000
Wilkinson -----	5	12.4	749	17.7	—	—	—
Worth -----	14	10.5	304	14.6	3	16.7	(D) (D)

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-35

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for grain or seed						Wheat for grain					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----												
Appling -----	7 896	1.2	647 833	.6	60 513 790	.5	2 332	1.0	292 362	.4	12 371 069	.4
Atkinson -----	266	2.2	22 391	2.0	1 755 812	2.0	36	5.6	2 588	2.9	104 989	3.4
Bacon -----	122	2.2	10 265	1.4	839 133	1.3	4	—	386	—	15 840	—
Baker -----	144	2.7	8 658	2.8	631 829	3.1	6	8.3	207	2.4	7 912	1.9
Baldwin -----	74	2.4	11 326	.6	1 543 196	.6	10	—	1 132	—	66 725	—
Banks -----	11	8.7	324	17.0	21 400	20.2	3	17.7	160	19.5	5 500	18.9
Barrow -----	15	7.4	259	16.9	15 010	23.1	8	9.2	220	8.3	6 657	8.7
Barlow -----	5	14.4	17	13.9	2 065	16.1	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bartow -----	28	5.3	1 428	1.2	161 332	1.3	20	5.7	2 435	2.9	107 377	2.2
Ben Hill -----	83	3.0	9 238	1.1	859 389	.7	7	13.4	274	11.3	9 336	12.7
Berrien -----	208	2.2	16 638	1.3	1 442 433	1.3	20	6.1	1 388	2.4	65 785	2.0
Bibb -----	8	11.4	136	5.2	11 340	2.8	6	14.8	358	14.2	13 785	13.7
Bleckley -----	67	3.1	4 190	1.7	417 472	1.2	35	3.4	6 068	1.1	258 891	1.1
Brantley -----	55	4.2	1 154	4.2	76 392	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Brooks -----	156	2.1	14 644	1.1	1 310 431	1.0	21	3.8	1 604	1.5	65 350	1.1
Bryan -----	20	7.5	733	7.6	60 311	7.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bulloch -----	225	2.1	22 083	1.0	1 957 086	.9	45	3.3	6 143	.9	243 415	.8
Burke -----	108	2.0	11 312	.8	1 136 912	.6	90	2.0	15 237	.8	645 126	.7
Butts -----	6	18.2	59	20.5	2 590	24.7	9	13.9	373	17.1	12 011	17.0
Calhoun -----	54	1.5	8 169	.2	962 034	.2	40	2.5	6 159	.9	322 565	1.0
Camden -----	12	9.4	62	16.5	1 685	13.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Candler -----	64	3.4	3 183	2.1	264 814	1.8	9	6.0	1 180	2.2	40 000	2.1
Carroll -----	30	6.7	581	13.6	39 405	11.9	5	9.9	400	18.5	15 860	14.0
Catoosa -----	7	9.7	47	20.1	5 080	16.2	3	9.5	160	5.3	8 480	5.2
Charlton -----	28	5.9	444	7.0	25 110	7.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chatham -----	4	16.3	70	19.7	6 100	20.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chattahoochee -----	4	16.5	70	10.6	4 112	5.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chattooga -----	36	4.6	1 182	5.7	127 004	5.3	3	11.1	145	16.1	5 350	13.7
Cherokee -----	7	12.0	71	15.9	5 255	17.0	2	14.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Clarke -----	1	36.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	5	9.8	863	2.9	25 980	4.6
Clay -----	24	3.5	1 806	1.7	160 850	1.0	14	—	2 066	—	67 398	—
Clayton -----	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Clinch -----	35	4.8	833	7.1	55 250	7.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cobb -----	7	11.2	83	14.0	3 950	15.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coffee -----	331	2.1	28 634	1.3	2 404 834	1.2	25	4.6	1 820	1.9	72 178	2.2
Colquitt -----	176	2.4	9 887	2.0	856 755	1.8	22	5.6	824	3.8	28 264	3.8
Columbia -----	4	14.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	33.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cook -----	112	2.8	9 204	1.9	735 091	1.8	7	9.5	329	1.9	13 748	1.5
Coweta -----	16	7.5	361	3.9	24 295	4.2	6	13.5	301	15.7	10 480	14.9
Crawford -----	6	10.8	304	7.9	33 160	6.5	11	6.2	3 878	2.2	162 175	2.8
Crisp -----	64	3.2	5 849	1.4	481 555	1.1	44	3.3	6 467	1.6	245 691	2.4
Dade -----	8	10.7	62	13.1	5 145	13.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dawson -----	12	6.6	664	8.5	58 410	8.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decatur -----	111	2.2	17 433	.8	1 912 044	.6	14	—	2 721	—	111 904	—
De Kalb -----	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dodge -----	130	3.1	7 557	1.7	638 817	1.2	27	6.2	1 515	3.1	49 481	4.0
Dooly -----	32	4.1	1 671	1.2	140 205	.7	62	2.1	12 165	.4	565 410	.4
Dougherty -----	26	4.3	3 104	.9	399 734	.6	14	4.2	2 429	.9	139 179	.6
Douglas -----	6	14.8	32	17.1	2 400	21.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Early -----	148	2.0	15 987	.8	1 685 270	.7	49	2.8	4 475	.8	222 814	1.0
Echols -----	18	7.9	804	2.6	76 425	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Effingham -----	76	3.1	3 506	3.5	285 451	3.6	8	10.7	390	5.8	20 230	6.1
Elbert -----	7	12.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	12	9.6	1 729	6.0	58 494	6.4
Emanuel -----	121	2.8	9 826	2.2	855 841	2.1	28	4.7	2 358	2.3	84 170	2.9
Evans -----	58	3.6	2 766	2.3	231 288	2.2	8	8.1	457	.8	12 055	1.1
Fannin -----	15	8.9	122	18.5	7 185	21.0	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Fayette -----	6	10.8	72	9.2	5 200	6.4	6	10.8	189	15.8	6 600	12.0
Floyd -----	25	5.7	2 657	4.0	279 283	4.0	8	7.3	1 137	2.7	39 312	1.1
Forsyth -----	15	6.4	472	1.7	33 010	.3	4	10.4	50	4.2	1 900	3.3
Franklin -----	18	8.1	241	12.0	21 220	14.9	14	6.5	574	1.9	18 365	1.2
Fulton -----	10	9.4	123	8.1	9 125	6.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gilmer -----	23	6.2	359	7.0	29 028	7.0	1	31.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Glascock -----	13	8.7	178	5.8	13 030	3.8	8	9.8	1 235	3.8	42 818	2.9
Glynn -----	6	15.7	40	16.1	2 236	17.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gordon -----	48	3.9	5 959	2.6	669 147	2.8	14	7.5	1 340	7.5	67 338	5.9
Grady -----	249	1.9	28 577	1.1	2 644 727	1.1	24	5.2	1 482	7.2	70 319	7.6
Greene -----	6	13.2	284	6.2	32 182	4.0	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Gwinnett -----	10	10.2	161	17.0	11 889	19.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Habersham -----	9	11.5	61	16.5	6 345	19.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hall -----	13	7.9	207	4.8	18 220	7.1	2	17.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Hancock -----	8	12.6	76	18.5	4 430	23.0	1	40.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Haralson -----	20	7.6	623	25.7	49 835	27.6	2	23.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Harris -----	12	9.6	164	12.8	6 171	11.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hart -----	8	9.7	373	3.5	40 053	2.5	28	5.9	2 998	7.6	113 375	6.3
Heard -----	15	8.8	192	11.0	11 251	10.3	2	15.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Henry -----	17	6.8	288	8.1	13 310	8.7	16	6.2	976	8.4	37 289	5.4
Houston -----	33	4.6	2 710	2.5	254 395	2.7	39	4.0	9 755	1.1	431 444	1.3
Irwin -----	196	1.7	25 922	.6	2 284 123	.6	39	2.5	4 082	1.9	149 975	2.5
Jackson -----	9	12.1	20	14.0	1 085	15.9	17	5.8	1 805	5.7	57 787	6.0
Jasper -----	5	10.7	308	1.0	30 703	.5	9	8.0	619	4.4	26 550	5.6

See footnotes at end of table.

C-36 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested											
	Corn for grain or seed						Wheat for grain					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	112	2.8	9 544	2.6	703 411	2.6	6	8.3	162	4.6	5 895	7.6
Jefferson -----	96	2.7	8 140	1.5	914 054	1.7	96	2.7	14 677	1.9	620 835	1.8
Jenkins -----	67	2.6	7 090	3.2	596 077	2.6	32	2.8	5 735	1.7	237 758	1.9
Johnson -----	39	4.6	1 750	2.2	159 336	1.1	50	4.2	6 178	2.4	231 602	2.0
Jones -----	9	11.6	284	19.6	22 208	21.2	4	17.0	162	17.7	5 970	17.4
Lamar -----	6	11.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	6	5.7	1 585	.3	91 460	(L)
Lanier -----	59	3.2	3 780	2.1	290 164	2.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Laurens -----	165	2.4	8 509	1.3	671 396	1.4	114	2.7	9 919	1.9	377 405	1.9
Lee -----	47	2.9	9 629	.6	1 078 239	.5	21	5.1	2 725	2.4	105 533	2.2
Liberty -----	5	12.9	62	4.7	4 915	5.3	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Lincoln -----	3	21.8	14	28.4	400	33.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Long -----	15	9.2	467	9.6	40 100	10.9	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Lowndes -----	111	3.3	7 952	2.1	539 882	2.5	2	24.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Lumpkin -----	15	7.2	673	13.1	53 794	14.9	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
McDuffie -----	11	8.6	350	3.4	34 866	2.7	3	20.2	28	8.7	858	9.1
McIntosh -----	1	50.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Macon -----	84	2.4	5 880	1.5	541 207	2.1	47	3.1	9 863	1.2	394 424	1.2
Madison -----	13	9.0	69	14.7	3 380	16.7	27	5.7	1 795	8.9	71 185	9.7
Marion -----	36	4.5	1 229	3.6	74 907	2.4	11	8.0	449	5.9	15 820	2.1
Meriwether -----	12	8.6	172	8.7	7 550	8.7	13	6.7	1 175	9.4	53 800	10.9
Miller -----	130	1.9	15 355	.7	1 743 459	.5	28	4.0	2 604	.8	101 910	.9
Mitchell -----	148	1.9	18 010	.6	1 925 004	.5	20	2.4	2 262	1.0	93 188	.3
Monroe -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	9.5	376	.6	(D)	(D)
Montgomery -----	71	3.1	2 321	3.6	157 268	4.0	4	10.5	100	12.6	3 300	13.4
Morgan -----	12	9.2	347	2.4	32 925	2.2	13	8.1	920	4.9	24 688	7.0
Murray -----	25	5.7	1 816	1.9	176 501	1.7	8	11.7	721	1.8	24 280	1.9
Muscogee -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Newton -----	7	9.2	181	8.0	9 880	5.7	8	9.6	1 082	9.8	38 615	9.8
Oconee -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	11	4.2	1 155	1.3	46 017	1.2
Oglethorpe -----	12	8.5	132	6.5	6 550	3.6	11	8.4	1 019	8.7	32 990	9.5
Paulding -----	3	18.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	31.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Peach -----	16	5.8	1 027	1.4	108 040	.8	21	5.4	5 293	3.2	217 881	3.0
Pickens -----	3	23.4	18	23.1	1 800	24.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pierce -----	142	2.7	9 834	2.5	888 226	2.5	5	13.4	189	19.6	7 005	21.1
Pike -----	11	8.2	294	7.4	17 767	5.0	11	6.8	1 540	4.9	39 756	5.6
Polk -----	17	7.6	279	4.9	24 386	5.5	3	17.5	93	17.4	5 218	17.4
Pulaski -----	35	4.3	2 321	3.0	210 780	3.2	34	4.3	6 632	1.1	307 977	.7
Putnam -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Quitman -----	4	8.3	469	.6	28 280	.1	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Rabun -----	16	6.4	96	3.9	10 701	3.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Randolph -----	47	2.5	6 033	.4	621 211	.3	60	2.4	10 507	.5	564 651	.5
Richmond -----	13	9.4	1 327	1.0	92 059	1.1	6	10.5	1 513	.2	53 540	.1
Rockdale -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	27.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Schley -----	28	5.0	1 514	3.1	119 350	2.4	14	6.6	1 468	1.2	73 636	1.0
Screen -----	131	2.1	18 048	.7	1 715 771	.6	41	3.1	7 004	1.3	307 504	1.1
Seminole -----	64	2.6	23 101	.4	3 106 465	.3	17	5.7	1 225	2.1	59 407	1.3
Spalding -----	2	13.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	4	18.2	504	10.7	26 200	6.7
Stephens -----	5	14.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Stewart -----	27	5.6	1 782	3.1	175 777	1.4	14	6.6	2 047	1.6	105 349	1.7
Sumter -----	107	2.0	15 653	.6	1 772 296	.5	76	2.4	13 665	.9	634 794	.8
Talbot -----	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Taliaferro -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tattnall -----	142	2.6	7 751	1.5	664 276	1.5	28	4.7	2 396	4.5	95 071	5.5
Taylor -----	21	6.0	890	5.4	60 193	6.7	21	6.4	1 984	3.6	81 829	3.6
Telfair -----	108	3.2	6 681	3.5	534 199	3.0	7	13.7	630	6.1	13 500	9.2
Terrell -----	90	2.2	10 673	1.1	1 081 041	.7	74	2.1	13 007	.6	608 414	.7
Thomas -----	146	2.5	20 219	1.2	1 688 901	1.3	30	4.8	1 891	4.4	72 659	3.3
Tift -----	129	2.5	9 387	1.1	883 205	1.2	21	4.5	1 432	1.2	66 292	.8
Toombs -----	115	3.1	8 096	1.7	637 258	1.6	8	8.7	2 292	1.0	88 262	.3
Towns -----	14	10.2	56	15.6	3 810	15.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Treutlen -----	34	5.5	714	7.7	50 372	8.4	6	13.8	1 720	3.1	46 221	5.3
Troup -----	4	18.0	22	22.3	(D)	(D)	2	30.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Turner -----	95	2.4	6 419	1.3	476 524	1.2	35	3.0	1 641	1.4	61 361	1.0
Twiggs -----	28	6.3	800	4.5	67 470	4.4	14	7.9	1 536	4.6	51 440	5.6
Union -----	55	4.4	1 030	5.3	85 515	5.4	1	32.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Upson -----	4	10.9	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Walker -----	19	6.4	540	5.4	45 050	4.5	8	10.3	1 175	10.5	55 080	10.2
Walton -----	20	5.9	252	7.0	13 170	6.6	19	4.4	1 742	4.9	61 017	5.1
Ware -----	93	3.3	5 151	2.7	393 823	2.4	4	22.6	116	26.3	2 098	24.7
Warren -----	10	9.5	313	2.8	24 660	1.1	4	—	397	—	11 350	—
Washington -----	94	2.9	4 624	1.5	438 663	1.3	71	3.2	9 602	2.0	418 195	2.0
Wayne -----	98	3.2	8 027	2.3	720 221	2.0	11	3.7	1 888	.7	79 029	.7
Webster -----	39	3.6	3 773	2.4	302 430	1.1	20	4.6	1 995	1.6	75 402	1.0
Wheeler -----	49	4.1	1 683	3.1	152 892	2.6	5	—	389	—	16 910	—
White -----	20	6.1	410	24.2	44 699	31.0	1	49.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Whitfield -----	23	7.9	1 135	12.9	69 930	14.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wilcox -----	90	2.7	6 883	1.1	644 875	.9	40	3.6	3 859	1.0	132 952	1.0
Wilkes -----	1	37.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	9	9.3	512	5.8	20 210	6.0
Wilkinson -----	20	7.0	656	8.1	43 491	7.8	5	13.1	242	12.9	10 600	13.1
Worth -----	182	1.9	15 910	.8	1 413 184	.9	55	2.2	6 398	.6	278 027	.6

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-37

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Cotton						Tobacco					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bales	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Pounds	
Georgia -----	2 015	.7	431 625	.2	668 950	.2	1 658	1.2	40 403	.4	88 150 533	.4
Appling -----	17	5.9	4 406	1.1	5 927	1.0	89	3.1	1 450	1.7	3 186 479	1.8
Atkinson -----	7	6.8	1 918	8.2	2 943	4.9	50	2.3	882	1.0	1 888 514	.8
Bacon -----	7	12.0	753	2.4	(D)	(D)	108	3.1	1 373	2.8	3 053 920	2.7
Baker -----	31	2.1	5 609	.9	10 194	.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Baldwin -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Banks -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Barrow -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bartow -----	6	9.4	2 268	1.1	2 722	1.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ben Hill -----	6	—	2 614	—	4 944	—	19	5.1	404	2.4	838 452	1.8
Berrien -----	54	3.0	6 385	1.2	9 582	1.1	122	2.3	2 503	1.2	5 529 137	1.1
Bibb -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bleckley -----	46	2.9	6 963	1.0	11 966	1.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Brantley -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	29	5.4	595	2.1	1 267 030	2.3
Brooks -----	105	1.9	24 491	.4	36 322	.4	36	3.0	989	.7	2 093 609	.8
Bryan -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	13.8	126	1.1	(D)	(D)
Bulloch -----	42	2.6	10 019	.7	14 424	.4	45	2.9	2 136	.5	4 816 212	.5
Burke -----	53	1.4	16 287	.2	23 180	.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Butts -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Calhoun -----	51	1.4	10 498	.3	18 087	.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Camden -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Candler -----	9	6.5	1 521	2.3	2 155	2.3	28	4.6	660	1.7	1 397 744	1.6
Carroll -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	34.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Catoosa -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	9.1	90	5.4	204 246	5.2
Charlton -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chatham -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chattahoochee -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chattooga -----	1	33.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cherokee -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clarke -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clay -----	14	3.5	3 015	.3	4 873	.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clayton -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	11.7	146	7.1	288 000	5.3
Clinch -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	25.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cobb -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coffee -----	22	4.3	2 815	3.2	4 189	2.5	149	2.3	3 309	.8	7 264 028	.7
Colquitt -----	140	1.8	32 102	.8	53 509	.7	131	2.0	3 745	.6	8 420 454	.5
Columbia -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cook -----	46	3.4	8 538	.8	14 216	.6	61	3.3	1 589	1.6	3 183 729	.9
Coweta -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crawford -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crisp -----	55	2.3	10 908	.6	16 677	.4	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Dade -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dawson -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decatur -----	45	2.2	13 058	1.0	20 075	.8	5	13.4	99	1.6	222 263	1.3
De Kalb -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dodge -----	48	3.5	7 679	2.0	13 928	1.3	5	10.0	219	2.0	602 749	1.6
Dooly -----	109	12	40 919	.3	56 581	.3	—	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Dougherty -----	5	8.7	1 595	1.7	3 107	1.3	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Douglas -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Early -----	70	1.9	11 868	.4	21 491	.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Echols -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	13	6.7	311	3.4	639 700	3.6
Effingham -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	7	12.8	130	12.5	273 860	12.5
Elbert -----	7	9.9	1 689	2.8	1 755	1.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Emanuel -----	26	3.3	5 057	.5	8 209	.4	15	4.1	759	1.0	1 761 433	1.1
Evans -----	3	15.7	168	12.1	134	10.2	18	5.7	423	1.5	1 028 365	1.5
Fannin -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fayette -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Floyd -----	8	7.8	1 422	2.4	1 707	2.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Forsyth -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Franklin -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fulton -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gilmer -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glascock -----	3	13.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glynn -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gordon -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grady -----	16	5.0	2 422	.9	4 002	1.1	23	4.4	589	1.1	1 242 529	1.1
Greene -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gwinnett -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Habersham -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hall -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hancock -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Haralson -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Harris -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hart -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Heard -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Henry -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Houston -----	16	3.7	5 542	.7	10 154	.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Irwin -----	42	2.5	5 901	.4	8 074	.6	53	2.2	1 244	.7	2 746 653	.5
Jackson -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jasper -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

C-38 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Cotton						Tobacco					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bales	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Pounds	
Jeff Davis -----	20	4.8	4 812	5.5	6 177	4.5	60	3.7	1 144	2.4	2 413 952	2.3
Jefferson -----	38	3.6	8 654	1.6	11 543	1.3	—	—	—	—	(D)	(D)
Jenkins -----	11	—	2 396	—	2 893	—	2	—	—	—	—	—
Johnson -----	4	—	720	—	1 119	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jones -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lamar -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lanier -----	16	6.1	1 335	5.3	1 974	5.4	42	3.6	974	1.5	2 055 069	1.7
Laurens -----	30	3.2	4 586	1.1	6 331	1.2	6	11.1	214	6.2	374 590	3.6
Lee -----	9	5.2	2 350	.4	3 878	.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Liberty -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	21.6	8	38.0	17 670	37.6
Lincoln -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Long -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	5	15.5	102	3.1	241 100	2.5
Lowndes -----	26	5.4	4 808	2.1	5 680	2.8	55	4.1	1 871	1.1	3 836 047	1.0
Lumpkin -----	—	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
McDuffie -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
McIntosh -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Macon -----	29	3.4	5 029	1.4	7 239	1.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Madison -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marion -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Meriwether -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Miller -----	37	3.0	7 090	1.3	12 455	1.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mitchell -----	71	1.8	17 194	.8	28 647	.6	29	1.6	1 471	.3	3 238 783	.1
Monroe -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Montgomery -----	5	—	967	—	1 316	—	11	5.2	548	.9	966 513	1.0
Morgan -----	8	10.7	941	3.2	939	6.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Murray -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Muscogee -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Newton -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oconee -----	3	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oglethorpe -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Paulding -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Peach -----	7	—	2 709	—	4 983	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pickens -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pierce -----	18	4.6	2 327	1.6	3 273	2.0	100	3.0	1 920	1.9	4 421 313	1.7
Pike -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Polk -----	11	7.1	2 925	5.6	1 926	4.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pulaski -----	51	3.1	16 964	.5	27 512	.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Putnam -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quitman -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rabun -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Randolph -----	24	4.0	5 051	.9	7 289	.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Richmond -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rockdale -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Schley -----	3	23.0	197	29.8	152	30.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sciven -----	24	3.2	3 748	.5	5 092	.5	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Seminole -----	36	3.4	7 018	.8	12 340	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spalding -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stephens -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stewart -----	3	—	1 040	—	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sumter -----	19	2.6	7 357	.5	12 398	.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Talbot -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Taliaferro -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tattnall -----	4	12.4	292	2.0	233	3.0	66	2.9	1 661	.7	3 939 144	.6
Taylor -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	9	10.1	134	2.6	239 813	3.4
Telfair -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Terrell -----	21	—	3 526	—	5 637	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thomas -----	56	2.5	14 063	.8	23 826	1.0	22	3.8	562	.6	1 332 780	.5
Tift -----	53	3.1	7 581	1.0	12 069	1.1	63	2.8	1 292	1.0	2 615 172	1.0
Toombs -----	4	—	528	—	(D)	(D)	24	3.6	922	1.3	1 982 602	1.2
Towns -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	19.6	16	33.8	18 672	37.8
Treutlen -----	6	13.7	983	12.0	1 370	12.5	6	8.3	571	1.4	1 129 720	1.5
Troup -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Turner -----	55	2.9	8 331	1.4	13 952	1.6	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Twiggs -----	4	—	786	—	1 484	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Union -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Upson -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Walker -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Walton -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ware -----	2	24.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	46	4.2	1 055	2.1	2 231 931	1.9
Warren -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Washington -----	26	3.6	3 836	1.6	5 373	1.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wayne -----	9	4.5	1 842	1.0	2 369	.8	27	4.2	758	2.0	1 759 002	2.0
Webster -----	4	—	317	—	301	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wheeler -----	3	16.4	95	15.6	132	11.6	5	16.2	129	2.9	257 300	2.7
White -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Whitfield -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wilcox -----	65	2.8	7 345	.9	11 054	.9	5	—	77	—	164 857	—
Wilkes -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wilkinson -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worth -----	74	1.6	17 361	.5	30 945	.5	36	4.3	917	.8	2 055 973	.8

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

TIFF [UPF] BATCH_547 [ACEN,C_ARLEDGE] 10/7/94 11:55 AM MACHINE: EPCV24 DATA:VOL1_TIPS_APX_58.TIPS:1 * 9/30/94 10:46:00 TAPE: NOreel FRAME: 33
TIFF:TIPS92-10463080.DAT;1 9/30/94 10:46:41 UFT:TIPS93-10463080.DAT;1 9/30/94 10:46:41 META:VOL1_TIPS96_APX_58.DAT;4 9/30/94 10:48:03

APPENDIX C C-39

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Soybeans for beans								Peanuts for nuts			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Pounds	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----												
Appling -----	4 193	1.2	513 781	.6	14 391 870	.6	6 095	1.2	630 305	.4	1 717 836 338	.3
Atkinson -----	141	2.8	13 481	2.3	399 208	2.1	5	9.9	243	4.9	630 056	4.3
Bacon -----	34	3.1	2 657	2.2	94 888	2.0	57	2.5	4 721	2.1	9 394 307	1.6
Baker -----	78	3.7	6 205	2.9	155 117	3.5	1	49.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Baldwin -----	12	7.5	1 313	2.3	45 539	2.3	83	2.4	11 992	.9	40 256 149	.7
Banks -----	1	35.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Barrow -----	4	16.1	136	16.7	3 680	21.4	2	25.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Bartow -----	2	19.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ben Hill -----	33	4.6	7 192	3.5	225 741	3.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Berrien -----	20	6.3	953	5.3	29 700	6.0	100	2.8	8 989	1.2	26 031 839	1.0
Bibb -----	76	3.2	6 382	2.5	190 630	2.1	150	2.2	13 703	1.2	32 955 991	1.1
Bleckley -----	8	11.7	720	10.1	16 790	6.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Brantley -----	54	3.2	6 510	1.5	196 479	1.9	76	2.9	5 899	1.3	17 084 978	1.3
Brooks -----	6	15.9	242	19.0	4 983	20.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bryan -----	79	2.8	5 340	1.9	166 833	2.2	135	1.8	6 153	.6	15 672 235	.6
Bulloch -----	8	13.1	854	3.2	20 408	3.7	4	12.4	189	1.3	322 531	1.8
Burke -----	257	2.0	41 318	1.0	1 264 681	.9	285	2.0	21 418	.7	53 530 591	.8
Butts -----	101	1.8	21 324	.6	525 798	.7	78	1.9	6 315	.6	17 588 279	.5
Calhoun -----	14	49.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Camden -----	—	4.6	1 568	1.3	54 700	1.1	73	1.7	18 659	.4	55 439 647	.3
Candler -----	81	3.1	7 439	2.1	208 834	2.0	51	3.0	1 228	2.3	3 012 204	1.9
Carroll -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Catoosa -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Charlton -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chatham -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chattahoochee -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	50.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Chattooga -----	8	10.2	596	9.3	18 220	8.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cherokee -----	1	28.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clarke -----	3	11.0	502	2.0	17 054	2.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Clay -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	29	2.8	8 165	.5	22 217 779	.3
Clayton -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Clinch -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cobb -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	25.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Coffee -----	143	2.9	11 491	2.7	389 061	2.7	262	2.1	13 720	.9	34 290 072	.9
Colquitt -----	79	3.0	5 325	2.6	188 656	2.0	258	1.9	15 869	.6	42 179 972	.6
Columbia -----	1	33.1	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cook -----	33	5.5	2 782	7.2	62 978	3.7	125	2.6	8 560	1.1	19 902 145	1.0
Coweta -----	3	12.0	400	9.9	9 700	11.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Crawford -----	10	4.9	2 725	1.9	80 270	1.9	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Crisp -----	50	3.0	7 857	1.3	218 179	1.4	116	2.0	25 784	.5	57 679 955	.4
Dade -----	2	25.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dawson -----	2	23.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Decatur -----	26	3.6	2 610	2.5	82 813	2.3	162	2.1	17 835	.9	55 104 436	.8
De Kalb -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dodge -----	42	4.7	2 451	3.3	85 721	2.4	142	2.8	8 181	1.4	22 577 900	1.4
Dooly -----	66	2.1	14 109	.4	375 948	.4	147	1.3	27 513	.3	64 539 657	.3
Dougherty -----	10	3.9	1 693	.2	51 186	.1	21	4.1	3 525	1.2	10 263 152	.8
Early -----	36	3.7	2 923	1.3	84 868	1.0	199	1.8	29 434	.6	85 618 117	.5
Echols -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Effingham -----	38	4.3	4 469	4.2	121 904	4.5	8	7.7	303	5.4	727 442	6.3
Elbert -----	6	9.9	1 281	4.1	30 065	5.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Emanuel -----	138	2.6	17 755	1.8	510 482	1.6	93	2.9	3 885	1.7	10 187 065	1.7
Evans -----	50	3.3	5 249	2.4	161 217	2.5	46	3.5	1 374	1.7	3 237 482	1.9
Fannin -----	1	38.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fayette -----	6	10.8	202	14.3	2 915	19.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Floyd -----	24	5.8	4 227	5.5	122 903	6.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Forsyth -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Franklin -----	7	9.5	433	5.9	9 779	5.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Fulton -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gilmer -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Glascock -----	9	8.7	1 515	5.0	30 950	3.1	3	16.6	62	8.8	91 522	11.3
Glynn -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gordon -----	38	4.9	7 717	3.4	239 273	3.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Grady -----	83	2.9	5 907	2.9	170 825	2.6	205	2.0	10 757	.9	29 570 844	.9
Greene -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gwinnett -----	1	34.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Habersham -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hall -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	35.0	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Hancock -----	1	40.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Haralson -----	2	32.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Harris -----	1	21.3	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hart -----	20	7.3	2 909	6.9	83 650	7.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Heard -----	1	31.4	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Henry -----	13	6.5	1 099	8.3	18 014	6.2	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Houston -----	39	3.8	10 155	1.2	240 922	1.3	49	3.4	4 923	1.1	10 784 093	1.3
Irwin -----	54	2.1	4 078	1.0	128 665	.9	239	1.6	22 535	.6	59 960 983	.6
Jackson -----	8	7.8	667	6.7	17 249	5.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jasper -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

See footnotes at end of table.

C-40 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.											
	Soybeans for beans								Peanuts for nuts			
	Farms		Acres		Quantity		Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Bushels	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Pounds	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	69	3.6	6 506	3.5	182 326	3.7	14	6.9	421	3.6	1 096 000	4.0
Jefferson -----	101	2.6	19 434	1.4	495 760	1.3	41	3.3	2 414	2.3	5 697 692	1.5
Jenkins -----	57	2.7	8 608	1.2	214 801	1.1	59	2.3	3 611	.7	9 508 467	.5
Johnson -----	77	3.6	12 790	2.2	345 479	2.3	21	5.3	565	4.4	1 441 111	4.0
Jones -----	2	24.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lamar -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lanier -----	22	4.8	2 668	2.9	76 104	3.1	6	7.5	410	2.8	1 200 500	3.8
Laurens -----	165	2.4	17 474	1.8	436 435	1.8	174	2.3	9 980	1.2	22 549 879	1.0
Lee -----	21	5.1	4 615	1.8	108 629	1.6	52	3.0	10 136	1.0	29 373 161	.7
Liberty -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lincoln -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Long -----	10	9.0	651	6.1	22 174	6.5	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lowndes -----	33	5.4	2 761	2.3	77 778	2.2	28	5.3	788	3.3	1 909 482	2.6
Lumpkin -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
McDuffie -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
McIntosh -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Macon -----	64	2.8	14 804	1.3	386 924	1.1	88	2.1	5 621	1.2	14 105 611	1.1
Madison -----	13	8.2	928	7.1	30 500	7.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Marion -----	11	6.5	622	3.1	27 192	1.6	42	3.5	3 477	1.3	9 304 907	1.2
Meriwether -----	4	16.4	355	22.9	6 465	27.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Miller -----	27	3.2	2 113	.8	61 153	1.0	170	1.9	20 401	.7	65 672 910	.6
Mitchell -----	50	2.3	5 577	2.0	189 386	2.4	214	1.8	24 703	.4	77 848 960	.4
Monroe -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Montgomery -----	52	3.5	3 989	2.2	101 036	2.4	28	4.0	958	2.4	1 979 979	2.2
Morgan -----	3	22.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Murray -----	14	8.4	1 868	2.4	54 988	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Muscogee -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Newton -----	5	12.2	470	12.7	12 000	15.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oconee -----	6	7.8	799	2.1	22 865	2.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oglethorpe -----	3	22.1	102	23.0	2 800	24.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Paulding -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Peach -----	27	4.4	5 138	3.2	142 190	3.2	4	—	210	—	488 860	—
Pickens -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pierce -----	64	3.8	3 733	3.1	90 967	3.2	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Pike -----	7	7.4	480	7.5	12 875	7.1	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Polk -----	15	6.3	1 426	6.6	49 130	7.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pulaski -----	38	4.0	5 818	1.5	160 052	1.4	65	2.7	12 559	.5	36 830 968	.5
Putnam -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Quitman -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	8	5.4	1 390	.4	4 161 115	.2
Rabun -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Randolph -----	19	3.7	2 690	1.0	69 998	.9	71	2.3	17 682	.4	48 122 877	.4
Richmond -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	2	21.7	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Rockdale -----	1	27.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Schley -----	5	—	883	—	21 162	—	32	4.2	2 569	1.8	6 561 041	1.7
Sciven -----	116	2.1	20 461	.9	537 156	.8	87	2.0	6 325	.6	17 599 159	.5
Seminole -----	21	5.2	3 098	.7	100 913	.8	91	2.6	15 318	.6	44 133 069	.5
Spalding -----	2	33.6	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stephens -----	—	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stewart -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	41	3.8	5 217	1.5	15 310 187	1.2
Sumter -----	62	2.6	9 764	1.4	268 113	1.1	139	2.0	21 624	.7	58 494 418	.6
Talbot -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Taliaferro -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tattnall -----	239	2.1	26 856	1.3	727 634	1.3	51	3.6	2 878	1.0	7 535 752	.9
Taylor -----	13	6.5	3 296	3.8	77 551	2.4	25	5.0	2 192	2.5	5 864 044	2.1
Telfair -----	48	4.5	3 441	6.1	78 271	5.3	99	3.2	4 552	2.5	11 161 780	2.2
Terrell -----	36	3.0	4 458	1.5	98 054	2.1	126	1.8	25 874	.6	66 480 697	.5
Thomas -----	82	3.0	10 241	1.6	306 973	1.1	117	2.3	4 506	1.0	10 930 352	.9
Tift -----	36	3.7	2 599	1.6	85 910	1.3	207	2.2	19 383	.9	51 824 850	.8
Toombs -----	68	3.6	8 122	3.8	219 861	3.5	45	4.4	1 529	2.7	3 723 006	2.4
Towns -----	4	16.5	196	22.0	4 480	21.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Treutlen -----	26	6.3	2 880	3.2	80 734	4.1	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Troup -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Turner -----	18	2.8	1 465	.5	42 104	.5	174	2.0	23 966	.9	68 517 783	1.0
Twiggs -----	20	7.2	1 603	5.5	50 151	6.0	27	5.1	1 402	3.1	3 727 190	2.6
Union -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Upson -----	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Walker -----	13	9.3	1 503	12.2	46 062	13.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Walton -----	13	7.5	1 237	9.5	29 580	10.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ware -----	20	7.0	1 703	4.1	48 260	3.9	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Warren -----	2	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Washington -----	96	2.6	13 128	1.7	353 311	1.6	34	4.1	1 809	1.8	5 368 521	1.9
Wayne -----	35	4.7	3 941	2.1	110 594	1.6	3	13.5	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Webster -----	7	9.5	210	9.4	2 960	5.8	47	2.9	8 728	1.0	24 101 483	.8
Wheeler -----	27	5.6	1 421	5.8	44 811	5.8	30	4.3	913	3.3	2 315 590	2.8
White -----	1	49.2	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Whitfield -----	6	14.0	444	19.0	16 054	19.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wilcox -----	34	3.8	2 939	1.7	86 714	1.8	165	2.1	20 208	.7	52 629 955	.8
Wilkes -----	1	—	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wilkinson -----	1	37.8	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	6	12.3	222	13.3	712 150	13.7
Worth -----	84	2.4	10 522	1.1	293 385	.9	245	1.6	42 593	.4	126 197 554	.3

See footnotes at end of table.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-41

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.					
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Georgia -----						
Appling -----	14 241	1.1	508 575	.9	1 221 143	.9
Atkinson -----	141	3.0	2 955	4.3	8 648	6.9
Bacon -----	58	3.0	1 288	2.3	3 545	3.2
Baker -----	72	3.9	1 749	3.4	4 325	3.1
Baldwin -----	26	4.8	1 201	3.9	3 229	4.6
Banks -----	65	2.7	2 523	4.1	8 098	4.1
Barrow -----	186	1.6	4 439	1.8	10 157	1.6
Bartow -----	180	1.6	5 029	2.3	9 827	1.8
Ben Hill -----	178	2.0	7 180	2.0	15 199	2.4
Berrien -----	43	4.5	1 365	3.7	2 380	5.2
Bibb -----	90	3.6	2 676	3.5	7 634	2.9
Bleckley -----	44	4.2	1 773	4.9	5 816	4.9
Brantley -----	67	3.5	2 262	3.9	6 118	3.5
Brooks -----	88	3.1	1 872	7.5	4 938	10.7
Bryans -----	63	3.7	2 893	2.3	9 538	2.8
Bryan -----	10	11.8	401	11.5	801	22.8
Bulloch -----	120	3.2	3 758	5.1	9 507	3.5
Burke -----	117	2.2	9 350	1.4	31 937	1.3
Butts -----	69	3.2	2 671	5.7	6 287	8.8
Calhoun -----	16	4.8	879	4.4	2 270	7.3
Camden -----	7	11.5	282	15.8	975	14.8
Candler -----	60	3.7	1 700	3.6	4 449	3.4
Carroll -----	346	1.9	8 217	2.6	16 706	2.2
Catoosa -----	120	2.1	6 266	2.3	10 468	2.5
Charlton -----	26	5.8	851	2.9	3 301	3.5
Chatham -----	12	8.0	605	11.5	1 329	15.6
Chattahoochee -----	12	6.5	299	11.4	631	13.3
Chattooga -----	145	2.1	6 447	4.2	9 818	3.7
Cherokee -----	124	2.3	2 797	2.6	5 184	3.9
Clarke -----	27	5.0	1 670	3.0	5 013	2.8
Clay -----	15	4.3	874	2.2	3 475	2.9
Clayton -----	25	5.1	631	7.2	1 260	9.2
Clinch -----	11	10.5	118	9.7	250	11.4
Cobb -----	51	3.6	1 628	4.9	2 495	3.9
Coffee -----	142	3.0	3 356	4.0	7 789	4.0
Colquitt -----	141	3.0	4 126	2.8	11 725	2.8
Columbia -----	83	2.9	2 806	3.5	8 190	4.6
Cook -----	73	3.6	1 538	3.9	4 223	3.8
Coweta -----	136	2.4	5 213	3.6	13 032	3.2
Crawford -----	34	5.0	1 726	4.4	4 255	4.6
Crisp -----	36	5.2	1 361	5.4	4 462	4.9
Dade -----	110	2.6	4 095	3.2	6 591	3.8
Dawson -----	42	4.1	1 152	3.4	3 235	2.6
Decatur -----	69	3.3	1 967	2.8	5 207	2.4
De Kalb -----	6	14.4	185	17.9	259	18.4
Dodge -----	134	3.1	3 488	3.3	8 859	3.4
Dooly -----	32	3.3	1 293	2.9	4 589	2.5
Dougherty -----	18	6.9	1 240	2.6	2 478	3.1
Douglas -----	28	6.7	781	7.3	1 563	10.6
Early -----	62	3.9	2 656	2.4	7 402	2.3
Echols -----	32	5.1	689	9.3	1 743	5.6
Effingham -----	62	3.7	1 996	3.7	7 467	4.5
Elbert -----	194	2.0	7 160	2.5	14 028	3.8
Emanuel -----	131	2.8	4 195	2.5	11 671	2.6
Evans -----	43	4.3	1 906	3.0	3 590	2.7
Fannin -----	85	3.1	1 848	3.7	3 825	4.2
Fayette -----	79	2.3	2 081	4.0	4 588	5.0
Floyd -----	217	1.8	7 747	2.4	15 224	2.4
Forsyth -----	162	2.0	3 767	4.2	7 324	4.1
Franklin -----	358	1.8	10 010	2.2	21 694	2.4
Fulton -----	88	3.0	3 226	3.0	7 021	2.6
Gilmer -----	70	2.9	1 535	2.9	3 943	3.2
Glascock -----	36	4.5	1 320	4.8	2 483	5.3
Glynn -----	17	7.5	351	7.3	1 126	7.8
Gordon -----	247	2.0	7 487	3.2	15 286	4.7
Grady -----	98	3.1	2 775	4.2	6 222	5.9
Greene -----	115	2.1	6 875	1.5	17 449	1.4
Gwinnett -----	104	2.6	2 168	3.6	4 711	3.7
Habersham -----	147	2.2	4 282	3.2	9 168	3.5
Hall -----	216	1.7	5 998	1.9	13 866	2.0
Hancock -----	53	3.9	2 084	3.2	6 398	2.9
Haralson -----	127	2.5	3 786	3.1	7 112	2.7
Harris -----	96	2.6	2 554	3.2	5 605	4.3
Hart -----	242	1.7	8 651	2.7	19 296	4.0
Heard -----	68	3.4	1 972	3.7	4 858	4.3
Henry -----	143	2.1	5 463	2.6	10 370	3.6
Houston -----	65	3.5	3 942	3.2	10 647	2.4
Irwin -----	45	3.5	1 608	1.5	4 116	1.7
Jackson -----	314	1.5	9 454	1.9	20 054	2.7
Jasper -----	85	2.6	4 716	2.5	14 956	3.4

See footnotes at end of table.

C-42 APPENDIX C

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

Table F. Reliability Estimates for the State and County Totals: 1992 —Con.

[For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Geographic area	Selected crops harvested —Con.					
	Hay—alfalfa, other tame, small grain, wild, grass silage, green chop, etc. (see text)					
	Farms		Acres		Quantity	
	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Number	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Tons, dry	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)
Jeff Davis -----	49	5.0	1 234	3.3	2 537	4.3
Jefferson -----	125	2.5	4 528	3.4	13 244	4.0
Jenkins -----	71	2.8	3 723	3.5	8 314	3.4
Johnson -----	89	3.3	2 405	3.2	7 567	4.4
Jones -----	86	3.1	4 965	3.5	13 358	3.0
Lamar -----	76	2.6	3 500	2.2	6 098	3.0
Lanier -----	20	6.2	483	5.9	1 562	4.1
Laurens-----	202	2.4	5 545	4.1	13 776	2.5
Lee -----	32	4.9	2 349	4.4	4 482	4.2
Liberty -----	8	11.5	318	5.8	421	7.3
Lincoln -----	93	3.1	3 619	3.3	7 333	3.7
Long -----	18	7.9	471	12.4	1 010	8.5
Lowndes-----	97	3.6	2 960	3.8	8 478	4.6
Lumpkin -----	73	3.1	1 849	4.6	3 939	4.1
McDuffle -----	116	2.6	3 863	3.8	9 134	3.4
McIntosh -----	7	11.4	53	13.5	120	20.7
Macon -----	66	2.9	3 867	2.5	9 773	2.1
Madison -----	285	1.6	7 817	2.4	19 228	2.7
Marion -----	46	3.7	1 617	4.5	4 239	4.3
Meriwether -----	122	2.2	5 403	2.3	13 328	2.8
Miller -----	69	3.2	3 466	3.9	10 836	2.8
Mitchell -----	100	3.0	3 342	2.2	8 508	3.4
Monroe -----	80	2.7	4 514	2.9	10 233	2.6
Montgomery -----	65	3.3	1 861	3.6	5 124	4.0
Morgan -----	213	1.7	14 206	1.5	31 958	1.6
Murray -----	107	2.3	3 549	2.8	5 509	2.6
Muscogee-----	12	9.6	467	30.5	1 152	36.9
Newton -----	128	2.1	4 890	2.6	12 071	3.8
Oconee -----	153	1.6	5 834	2.0	15 530	2.1
Oglethorpe -----	162	1.6	7 372	2.1	18 978	2.2
Paulding -----	99	2.0	2 557	2.3	5 105	2.7
Peach -----	51	3.8	1 680	3.3	4 156	2.9
Pickens -----	70	3.1	1 915	5.7	4 410	7.8
Pierce -----	86	3.6	3 378	4.1	9 157	2.9
Pike -----	89	2.5	3 563	2.9	7 450	3.1
Polk -----	125	2.7	5 540	3.4	9 355	2.9
Pulaski -----	30	5.3	1 275	3.1	2 388	4.8
Putnam -----	86	2.9	8 100	3.3	20 679	4.9
Quitman -----	5	8.7	263	4.5	1 126	1.3
Rabun -----	67	3.1	920	2.9	1 960	3.3
Randolph -----	38	4.1	1 692	2.6	4 799	1.7
Richmond -----	47	4.3	1 564	5.4	4 576	11.7
Rockdale -----	32	4.9	1 504	4.8	3 192	6.7
Schley -----	38	4.2	1 365	2.4	3 313	3.0
Screen -----	81	2.7	2 689	3.8	8 880	4.7
Seminole -----	26	4.5	950	3.4	3 419	1.9
Spalding -----	85	2.8	2 791	4.9	4 920	5.8
Stephens -----	86	2.6	2 019	3.4	3 434	4.2
Stewart -----	32	5.1	735	3.9	2 804	4.5
Sumter -----	73	3.1	3 975	2.4	12 992	2.2
Talbot -----	64	2.6	2 591	2.9	6 348	4.4
Taliaferro -----	37	3.4	2 409	2.9	6 399	4.6
Tattnall -----	113	3.1	6 430	1.5	15 781	1.3
Taylor -----	62	3.8	2 264	3.6	7 949	3.3
Telfair -----	75	3.9	2 631	3.3	6 564	3.8
Terrell -----	21	7.1	599	4.3	2 077	3.3
Thomas -----	85	3.4	2 946	3.8	9 635	4.5
Tift -----	42	5.4	1 648	2.5	4 832	2.1
Toombs -----	83	3.7	3 005	3.1	6 217	3.4
Towns -----	73	3.5	1 241	6.7	2 428	8.5
Treutlen -----	29	6.1	834	4.3	1 472	6.0
Troup -----	111	2.6	5 247	3.6	10 485	3.7
Turner -----	39	3.6	1 511	1.4	5 593	1.5
Twiggs -----	42	4.6	1 528	5.5	4 116	6.2
Union -----	126	2.5	3 025	3.5	6 686	4.2
Upson -----	85	2.6	3 057	3.9	6 128	4.4
Walker -----	305	1.6	13 811	2.2	24 388	2.4
Walton -----	228	1.4	6 927	1.8	18 351	2.2
Ware -----	59	4.2	1 744	5.1	4 829	6.8
Warren -----	75	3.1	3 324	2.7	9 568	3.5
Washington -----	123	2.5	4 454	2.1	15 420	2.1
Wayne -----	71	4.1	1 900	4.7	6 034	5.8
Webster -----	18	6.3	739	5.4	1 859	4.5
Wheeler -----	63	3.3	1 601	3.4	4 481	2.7
White -----	111	2.4	2 663	5.2	5 604	5.9
Whitfield -----	193	2.3	6 194	3.4	11 927	4.1
Wilcox -----	90	3.2	3 017	4.4	7 253	4.2
Wilkes -----	191	1.8	11 524	1.7	27 946	1.6
Wilkinson -----	41	3.9	1 868	5.3	4 701	5.7
Worth -----	71	3.5	2 020	3.6	4 809	4.5

¹Data are based on a sample of farms.

1992 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE

APPENDIX C C-43

**Table G. State Estimates of the Not on the Mail List Component of Farm Coverage Error:
1992**

[Detail may not add to total due to rounding. For meaning of abbreviations and symbols, see introductory text]

Item	Census published farms		Not on mail list ¹		Percent not on mail list ¹	
	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (number)	Relative standard error of estimate (percent)	Total (percent)	Standard error of percent
Farms ----- number -----	40 759	1.2	6 827	17.3	14.3	2.1
Land in farms ----- acres -----	10 025 581	.7	394 631	21.9	3.8	.8
Average size of farm ----- acres -----	246.0	1.3	57.8	18.0	(X)	(X)
Farms by size:						
Less than 10 acres -----	2 859	1.4	1 322	37.5	31.6	8.0
10 to 49 acres -----	10 443	1.3	3 462	22.7	24.9	4.2
Less than 50 acres -----	13 302	1.3	4 784	20.6	26.5	3.9
50 acres or more -----	27 457	1.2	2 043	28.6	6.9	1.8
50 to 99 acres -----	7 582	1.4	1 164	38.4	13.3	4.4
100 to 179 acres -----	6 888	1.5	521	51.8	7.0	3.4
180 acres or more -----	12 987	1.1	357	56.3	2.7	1.5
Harvested cropland ----- farms -----	27 177	1.2	3 035	23.2	10.0	2.1
acres -----	3 332 666	.5	66 691	34.0	2.0	.7
Farms by value of sales:						
Less than \$1,000 -----	6 248	1.6	3 949	23.4	38.7	5.5
\$1,000 to \$2,499 -----	5 822	1.5	1 696	33.3	22.6	5.8
Less than \$2,500 -----	12 070	1.5	5 645	20.3	31.9	4.4
\$2,500 or more -----	28 689	1.1	1 182	25.8	4.0	1.0
\$2,500 to \$9,999 -----	11 385	1.6	905	29.9	7.4	2.0
\$10,000 or more -----	17 304	1.1	277	52.6	1.6	.8
Market value of agricultural products sold -----\$1,000 -----	3 521 217	.2	39 284	73.4	1.1	.8
Farms by standard industrial classification:						
Crops (01) -----	15 192	1.2	1 799	27.9	10.6	2.6
Livestock (02) -----	25 567	1.2	5 028	19.7	16.4	2.6
Farms by type of organization:						
Individual or family -----	35 985	1.2	6 560	17.5	15.4	2.2
Partnership or corporation -----	4 470	1.0	32	(H)	.7	.7
Other -----	304	2.0	49	(H)	13.8	12.0
Farms by tenure of operator:						
Full owners -----	27 673	1.2	5 836	18.7	17.4	2.6
Part owners and tenants -----	13 086	1.1	805	39.6	5.8	2.1
Part owners -----	10 136	1.0	351	50.9	3.3	1.6
Tenants -----	2 950	1.3	454	58.6	13.3	6.8
Operators by place of residence:						
On farm operated -----	28 453	1.1	3 230	23.4	10.2	2.1
Not on farm operated -----	7 769	1.3	1 172	35.6	13.1	4.0
Not reported -----	4 537	1.2	2 425	28.1	34.8	6.2
Operators by principal occupation:						
Farming -----	18 817	1.0	1 393	34.6	6.9	2.2
Other -----	21 942	1.3	3 555	21.9	13.9	2.6
Operators by sex:						
Male -----	37 303	1.1	6 500	17.8	14.8	2.2
Female -----	3 456	1.3	327	61.9	8.6	4.9
Operators by race:						
White -----	39 582	1.1	4 435	20.0	10.1	1.8
Black and other races -----	1 177	1.8	512	51.7	30.3	10.9
Operators by years on present farm:						
4 years or less -----	4 880	1.4	2 488	24.2	33.8	5.5
5 years or more -----	26 867	1.1	1 323	35.4	4.7	1.6
Average years on present farm -----	18.7	1.6	7.3	30.5	(X)	(X)
Not reported -----	9 012	1.2	3 016	25.5	25.1	4.7
Average age of operator -----	55.0	1.7	54.3	17.8	(X)	(X)

Note: These estimates do not account for incorrectly classified farms or farms appearing more than once in the census and are subject to change in the 1992 Coverage Evaluation publication. See appendix C text for further explanation.

¹Estimates are based on a sample survey conducted independently of census data collection.